Answers on specification, Design by Contract, Spec#

```
David Lightfoot, October 2011
1)
static int What0(int x)
 requires x \ge 0;
 ensures result * result \leq x & x < (result+1)*(result+1);
       What is the value of What0(26)?
a)
5
b)
       What does the precondition mean?
x must not be negative
       Why do we have that particular pre-condition?
c)
Can't satisfy post-condition if x < 0
d)
       What does the post-condition mean?
Result is 'integer square root' of x.
       What does What0 do?
e)
Returns integer square root of x
2)
What does the following method do?
static void What1 (int [] a)
 requires a != null
ensures forall {int i in (0:a.Length); a[i] == 0};
Note: in Spec#, int k in (m: n) means m \le k \le n
Sets every element of a to zero.
3)
static float AverageLength(string[] s)
 requires ??;
ensures result = (float) (sum {int k in (0: s.Length); s[k].Length()}) / s.Length;
```

a) What does AverageLength do?

Returns average length of strings in s

b) What should its pre-condition be? (Pay particular attention to possible null values).

```
requires s != null && s.Length != 0 && forall {int i in (0: s.Length); s[i] != null};
```

c) How could you rewrite *AverageLength* in *Spec#* to make use of facilities for protection against null values?

```
static float AverageLength(string! [] ! s)
requires s.Length!= 0;

4)

static int Min(int[] a)
requires a != null;
ensures forall {int i in (0:a.Length); min <= a[i]};

{ int min = 0;
  for (int j = 0; j != a.Length; j++)
    if (a[j] < min) min = a[j];
  return min;
```

a) Does the implementation satisfy the specification of *Min*?

No, only works if minimum in a is less than zero.

b) If not, how would you fix it?

Tempting to fix by setting initial value of min to MAX_VALUE, but specification is wrong. If array is empty this returns a value that is not in the array.

c) Does the implementation that consists simply of the body

```
return MIN_VALUE; // the smallest value of type int satisfy the specification above?
```

yes! We have not specified that the value returned is one of those in the array.

d) Write an improved specification.

```
static int Min(int[] a)

requires a != null && a.Length != 0;

ensures forall {int i in (0..a.Length); min <= a[i]} && exists {int j in (0:a.Length); min == a[j]};
```

}

```
static int What2(int[] a, int x)

requires a != null;

ensures (0 <= result && result < a.Length &&

exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} && a[result] == x) ||

(!exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} && result == -1);
```

a) What does the pre-condition of What2 mean?

a must not be null

b) What does the post-condition of What2 mean?

Returns an index to an occurrence of x in a, or -1 if there is none

Given the declaration:

- c) What is the value of What1(a, 40)?
- -1
- d) What is the value of What1(a, 19)?

static int What3(int[] a, int x)

2 or 5

6)

```
requires a != null;
ensures (0 <= result && result < a.Length &&
exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} &&
```

(!exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} && result == -1);

for all $\{int | in (0: result); a[j] != x \} && a[result] == x) ||$

What3 is the same as What2 but with an extra term in the post-condition:

```
forall {int j in (0: result); a[j] != x }
```

a) What does this additional term mean?

Returns index of first (lowest-indexed) occurrence of x, or -1 if none.

b) Which is easier to implement, *What1* or *What2*?

What2 is no harder to implement if you do the obvious linear search from start of array.

7)

```
static int What4(int[] a, int x)

requires a != null && forall {int i in (0: a.Length-1); a[i] <= a[i+1]};

ensures

(0 <= result && result < a.Length &&

exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} &&

(forall {int j in (0: result); a[j] != x} && a[result] == x) ||

(!exists {int k in (0: a.Length); a[k] == x} && result == -1);
```

What4 is the same as *What3* but with an extra term in the pre-condition:

```
forall {int i in (0: a.Length-1); a[i] \le a[i+1]};
```

a) What does this additional term mean?

a is in ascending order of increasing index.

b) How does the presence of this extra term affect a possible implementation?

Can do this by a binary search. But note need for lowest-indexed – can be done by simple choice of algorithm.

Assertions in Java

We can simulate the effect of *requires* and *ensures* in languages that have assertion handling. Eiffel has a similar feature, with the same keywords. In Java we can use the built-in method:

assert Boolean-expression;

or

assert Boolean-expression: string;

When the program is run if the Boolean expression is true when the assert method is executed then nothing happens. If it is false a message is issued, including the string used in the method call and the program halts. Assertions are very good documentation because they assert what you believe should be true at a particular point in the program. If your assertion is incorrect then you soon get to know about it and can fix the program.

We can simulate the effect of *requires* and *ensures* in languages implementations that do not have those features:

Where you have *requires pre*; include *assert pre*; as the first statement of the methods.

Where you have *ensures post;*, put *assert post;* just before the return statement or the textual end of the method.

Note however, that there is no provision for quantifiers, such as *forall*, *exists*, *sum*, so we will need to write our own methods to simulate the effect of these.

Enabling assertion handling in Netbeans:

By default assertion handling is turned off and your calls to *assert* are ignored. To turn on assertion handling:

- Select menu File
- Select menu item Project Properties
- Select category node Run
- Select text field VM Options
- Type -ea or -enableassertions

You can check that assertion checking is working by running the a program with assert false; as the first line of the main method.

8)

static boolean isSmallest (int []a, int min)

that returns true if and only if min is the smallest value in a.

a) Write a specification for *isSmallest*.

```
static boolean isSmallest (int []a,int min)

requires a != null;

ensures result ==

forall {int i in (0..a.Length); min <= a[i]} && exists {int j in (0:a.Length); min == a[j]};
```

9)

Use your method *isSmallest* to write a Java implementation of *Min* using Java assertions to achieve the effect of the *requires* and *ensures* of your corrected specification of *Min*.

```
static int Min(int[] a)
  requires a != null && a.Length != 0;
  ensures forall {int i in (0..a.Length); min <= a[i]} && exists {int j in (0:a.Length); min == a[j]};
{
  int val;
  assert a != null && a.Length != 0: "pre-condition failure";
  val = a[0];
  for (int i = 1; i < a.Length; i++)
    if (a[j] < min) min = a[j];
  assert isSmallest(a, val): "post-condition failure";
  return val;
}</pre>
```