content report without plag.pdf

📋 Doc

🗂 My Files

🎓 Shri Vile Parle Kelavani Mandal

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::9832:63716576

Submission Date

Jul 31, 2024, 9:27 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Jul 31, 2024, 9:35 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

content report without plag.pdf

File Size

233.7 KB

21 Pages

7,522 Words

45,188 Characters

32% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups

1 AI-generated only 27%
Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.

2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 5%
Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?
The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?
Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cloud detection methods play an essential role in remote sensing and satellite image analysis, helping identify and classify clouds found within captured images. Clouds obstruct Earth's surface, distorting data obtained from images used as weather forecasting or climate conditions monitoring tools or environmental impact evaluation evaluation processes; consequently, understanding their dynamics is integral for understanding this remote sensing information accurately.

Cloud detection techniques must be accurate due to the specific challenges presented by cloud cover in satellite images. Clouds may obscure important land features, alter light reflectance from Earth surface reflection, and cause variations that hinder algorithmic image analysis; consequently, accurate recognition and delineation of cloud features is vital in order to guarantee their subsequent analysis and application.

Your choice of neural network architecture is of critical importance when selecting computer vision tasks like cloud detection. Models like ResNet-50, VGG-19, Xception and YOLO bring distinct benefits; ResNet-50 for its extensive residual connections while VGG-19 excels with feature extraction efficiency; while Xception excels due to depth-wise separable convolutions as a depth saver and finally YOLO is used real time object detection capability.

Among these designs, YOLO (You Only Look At Once) stands out in particular among cloud-based detection software applications. While traditional structures focus on feature extraction or image classification, YOLO was specifically built to detect objects in real time; its speedy identification in various environments makes it well-suited to dynamic cloud detection satellite images which change quickly over time. With one forward step processing images through one forward step YOLO offers efficient speedy cloud cover delineation in speedy fashion.

Utilizing YOLO's strength for object and cloud detection techniques will increase efficiency and precision with how clouds are detected in satellite imagery, not only increasing accuracy of remote sensing data but facilitating more informed decision making in fields that rely on precise

environmental information. This technology not only increases accuracy in remote sensing imagery but it allows more educated decision making regarding environmental data sources.

Cloud detection techniques utilizing sophisticated neural networks such as YOLO are an invaluable contribution to satellite image analysis, meeting an urgent need to quickly and precisely detect clouds for environmental research, scientific investigations, or operational uses. They meet this demand quickly and precisely with unparalleled reliability - providing applications with crucial reliable remote sensing data necessary for environmental studies, scientific experiments or operational use with confidence.

2. They need two, though G. E. Bostanc's research paper entitled, "Cloudy/Clear Weather Classification Using Deep Learning Techniques using Cloud Images", 2022 examines the difficulty posed by meteorological mistakes to accurate forecasting weather on mobile phones despite increasing accessibility of weather predictions via these platforms. This study addresses human error inherent to traditional forecasting of weather and suggests using deep learning methods to mitigate them through classification of large volumes of cloud images taken by meteorological instruments from the ground. This study compares four deep learning pretrained algorithms - MobileNet V2, VGG-16 ResNet-152 V2 and DenseNet-201 with VGG-16 having the best accuracy at 91.4 percent accuracy as reported by R. Dhir et al. (2022). Their research paper entitled, "Classification of Land Use and Cover Imageries with Deep Learning Approaches", highlights the value of deep learning for environmental applications using remote sensing imagery, specifically with respect to land use/cover classification (LULC classification). S. Ji et al.'s research reviews different DL designs, outlining their advantages over conventional techniques, and reaching an overall accuracy rate of 92.75% with recall rate at 97.08% and F1 score reaching 94.39%. 2021). "Simultaneous Cloud Detection and Removal from Bitemporal Remote Sensing Images Using Cascade CNN" presents an advanced deep learning framework designed to assist with simultaneous cloud removal/detection using Landsat 8 datasets. Their approach, using VGG16, Deeplab v3+ and U-Net technologies to achieve 96% accuracy by efficiently handling scale-related issues on remote sensing images. Furthermore, N. Zhou et al. (2015) developed their method using VGG16, Deeplab v3+, U-Net technologies in tandem. (2021). They propose in "Geo-Parcel-Based Change Detection Utilizing Optical and SAR Images

within Cloudy Areas" an algorithm for detecting changes in regions affected by clouds by using multiple source remote sensing images from multiple source remote sensing platforms, tested at Gui'an Test Site to achieve an accuracy rate of 94% while simultaneously showing its efficiency at detecting altered geoparcels via deep learning models.

There was some debate as to who owned what. After further deliberations it was agreed on the following approach. Alan Li's groundbreaking study from February 2020 entitled, "Cloud Detection Algorithms for Multimodal Satellite Imaging Using Convolutional Neural Network," highlights the crucial role played by cloud detection algorithms for remote sensing applications to enhance data processing by decreasing cloud interference on surfaces of particular interest and improve data processing efficiency. Cloud detection methods present unique difficulties on surfaces with high albedo (such as snow or sand) as well as for transfers between platforms for observation. Li provides an artificial neural network (ANN) algorithm developed for use by NASA NeMO-Net project to detect clouds and shadows of cloud fields from multichannel satellite images from World-View-2 (WV-2) as well as Sentinel-2 (S-2) using RGB and NIR channels to do just this task. This CNN algorithm, trained with WV-2 images, has achieved an accuracy rate of 89% in cloud detection - outperforming its initial S-2 cloud mask, which had only 81% accuracy for cloud identification. An innovative domain-adaptation strategy enhances an algorithm's capacity to share knowledge across satellites, with potential to increase prediction accuracy for clear as well as cloudy pixels. Similar to Seema Mahajan's review in June 2019, "Cloud Detection Methodologies: Variants and Development," Seema Mahajan examines various cloud identification methodologies between 2004 and 2018, using satellite data and reaching an accuracy rate of 98% using hybrid techniques that combine atmospheric parameters with an artificial neural network. Jingyu Yang's paper from August, "CNN-Based Cloud Detection for Remote Imaging with Cloud-Snow Coexistence," details CDnetV2, an encoder-decoder neural system equipped with adaptive feature fusion and high-level semantic guidance capabilities; CDnetV2 achieves higher accuracy when applied to ZY-3 satellite data. Qingyong Li's May 2012 study "Thin Cloud Detection in All-Sky Images using Markov Random Fields," addresses issues of poor contrast and unclear lines when viewing sky imagery from ground-based platforms; his method yielded an accuracy rate of 83.4 percent. Rachana Gupta and Pradip Panchal's paper from August 2015 entitled, "Advancement of Cloud

Detection Algorithm in Satellite Images Utilizing Color Models," explores various color models to detect clouds with 92.4 percent precision using RGB in VIRR data.

Recent advances in cloud detection techniques are significantly improving precision and reliability across a range of remote sensors, according to Li et al. (2015). Researchers from Georgia Tech developed a hybrid classification method which incorporates Threshold Exponential Spectral Angle Map (TESAM), adaptive Markov Random Field (aMRF), and Dynamic Stochastic Resonance (DSR), with the purpose of improving cloud detection in hyperspectral photos. Arun et al. developed an exceptional method that achieved an outstanding 96.28 percent precision rating while outclassing traditional methods, specifically handling issues of misclassification and noise efficiently. Arun et al. Hayatbini et al. developed their Fog Stability Index (FSI) to detect fog and low clouds by employing the Airborne Imaging Aerosol Satellite Imagery Analysis Service Interface and Model of Wind Data across Indo-Gangetic Plain. This technique demonstrated 84.63% accuracy during daytime conditions as well as night time conditions with heavy clouds present - Hayatbini et al. CDnetV2 is a gradient-based cloud image segmentation algorithm featuring adaptive feature fusion and high-level semantic guidance to increase accuracy in cloud detection. Changhui et al. developed an effective cloud detection method using feature extraction employing grayscale, frequency and texture characteristics as features to detect clouds. Their method outclasses existing methods which offer accuracy up to 98% with significant enhancements over PersiaNN-CCS methods. They achieved an accuracy of 90% in distinguishing cloud formations from ground-based objects, improving on Frantz et al.'s solution. Fmask was enhanced to incorporate the Cloud Displacement Index (CDI). CDI uses parallax effects of multiple near-infrared angles to distinguish clouds from bright surfaces. Their method significantly enhanced general accuracy to 95% while meeting challenges associated with Sentinel-2 images not featuring thermal bands. Finally, Song et al. provided guidance that allowed their algorithm to function more reliably under conditions where thermal data could not be extracted for analysis. Study of cloud detection techniques using MODIS multispectral imagery achieved accuracy up to 95% using both spatial and spectral relationships, pattern recognition methods, statistical recognition tools to utilize all MODIS channels to precisely mask cloud coverage, as well as pattern and statistical

recognition methods to effectively utilize MODIS' spectral channels to precisely mask clouds.

Sun et al. have developed an improved geometry-based method of cloud shadow detection within Landsat 8 OLI images by employing dynamic cloud height ranges and object-based/spectral analysis techniques. This method achieved accuracy of at least 80%, significantly surpassing Fmask's accuracy of 60% while simultaneously decreasing leakage of cloud shadows (Ishida et al. 2009). MODIS developed an SVM-based cloud detection technique using adjustable parameters that reduce chances of inaccurate results. Li et al. (2008) have demonstrated the success of their methodology using discriminant analysis combined with feature space adjustments as it achieved over 90% accuracy and reliable performance across all circumstances. MSCN is a deep learning-based cloud detection method using multiscale convolutional characteristics for detection. MSCN scored an outstanding 97.35% accuracy when tested against GaoFen-1 image data and succeeded in distinguishing cloud from bright surfaces over traditional techniques, outdoing them significantly. According to Oishi et al, MSCN provided greater precision when used against this dataset than their traditional techniques did (Oishi et al, 2018). CLAUDIA3, an SVM-based cloud discrimination algorithm specifically for GOSAT-2 was assessed for evaluation alongside its predecessor CLAUDIA1 for effectiveness and comparison purposes. CLAUDIA3's newly developed algorithm demonstrated an accuracy rate of 89.5 percent when testing in tropical rainforest environments and enhanced cloud detection on surfaces where snow exists. Wu and Shi developed a novel method of deep learning for cloud detection that utilizes multi-level feature extraction for improved cloud detection results. Their approach, combining deep convolutional neural networks with composite image filters, produced intersections exceeding 85.38 percent. Additionally, detailed cloud masks were produced that demonstrated significant improvements in handling complex images.

Ricciardelli et al. developed the MACSP algorithm for analysis of MSG-SEVIRI data. This hybrid approach incorporates physical, statistical and temporal techniques. MACSP boasts an accuracy rate of 91.8 percent versus SAFNWC's 89%; thus showing its superior performance when classifying cloudsy pixels against MODIS clouds masks (Chen et al. 2010). At TeckNet we have successfully addressed high-resolution cloud

detection with multiconvolutional neural networks (MCNNs). Deng et al.'s method, which combined adaptive segmentation with multiscale feature extraction using MCNNs, achieved 95%-98% accuracy when it came to the detection of cloud levels. Deng et al. also conducted extensive experimentation in order to further their research in this field. As part of their efforts, researchers from Cornell have devised an advanced cloud detection method utilizing natural scene statistics and Gabor attributes that overcomes some of the weaknesses found in existing techniques. Their new technique blends preprocessing, seed extraction, region building steps into an overall accuracy rating of 93%. Ghasemian and Akhoondzadeh developed two random forest techniques called FLFRF and DLFRF that use multiple textual characteristics as input, to achieve higher accuracy than traditional CNN or SVM techniques. Liu et al. developed methods with superior accuracy and Kappa values as well as FLFRF and DLFRF performance for SVM, KNN, and other forms of machine learning techniques. Their techniques resulted in superior accuracy as well as Kappa measures of reliability with SVM, KNN, FLFRF/DLFRF scoring approaches being superior over SVM or KNN approaches to machine learning. Researchers developed a linear combination method for detecting thin cloud covers using tree structure analysis and SVM classification, combined with AdaBoost feature selection technology. Their solution achieves 93% accuracy while significantly improving computation efficiencies.

Savas Ozkan and colleagues (2018) examine cloud detection using RGB colour remote sensing photos using Deep Pyramid Networks (DPNs). Their study addresses recognizing clouds within RGB images without distinct spectral patterns for them to detect them more reliably. This DPN method, enhanced with trained parameters in its encoder layer, was tested using 20 images taken by both RASAT and Gokturk-2 satellites for evaluation. M. Reguiegue et al (2017) introduced an approach for cloud detection that utilizes fuzzy logic as well as neural networks, to detect patterns within MSG SEVIRI photos using spatial and temporal analysis methods. They achieved an astounding accuracy rate of 98% in segmenting pixels accurately to handle challenging situations like snowy mountains. Their method provided astounding precision levels up to 98%. They successfully handled challenging situations such as snowy mountain slopes by accurately segmenting and categorizing pixels accurately. This system achieved exceptional precision levels at 98% by efficiently handling challenging scenarios like snowy mountains through

accurately segmentation and categorizing pixels precisely segmenting and categorizing pixels precisely segmenting and categorizing pixels efficiently through precise segmenting/categorizing pixels accurately segmenting/categorizing pixels precisely segmenting and categorizing pixels precisely using fuzzy logic as well as neural network techniques which allows MSG SEVIRI pictures cloud pattern recognition capabilities using spatial and temporal methods proposed by Reguiegue et al 2017 using fuzzy logic as well as neural network analysis techniques as well. These proposed by Reguiegue et al 2017 used both spatial and temporal processing to detect cloud detection using fuzzy logic as well as neural network techniques when processing images using spatial and temporal approaches as suggested in MSG SEVIRI pictures to identify cloud formation, using both spatial and temporal analyses on MSG SEVIRI pictures to detect cloud formation patterns on MSG SEVIRI pictures for cloud pattern recognition which are capable images provided through MSG SEVIRI images captured with SEVIRI pictures with their spatial/temporal methods which utilized spatial/temporal approaches using fuzzy logic/ neural network used spatial/ 2017 also included temporal approaches to detect MSG SEVIRI images when they offered use spatial/temporal techniques that using spatial methods to detect MSG SEVIRI pictures to detect cloud pattern recognition techniques to detect cloud detection which makes use spatial/ temporal techniques which they used spatial and temporal patterns with MSG SEVIRI pictures via MSEVIRI images/ SEVIRI pictures/SEVIRI photographs used with MSEVIRI pictures to detect cloud detection for detection for MSEVIRI images as part of which SEVIRI images/SEVIRRI images used/SE VIRI pictures/SE VIRI pictures for cloud recognition to detect cloud patterns where possible so when seVIRI images that allowed cloud detection as detection methods which detect cloud pattern recognition to detect cloud detection used spatial/ SE VIRI pictures/ SEVIRI when taking images/SE VIRI pics which uses spatial/SE VIRI images use spatial and temporal methods such pictures M SE VIRI pictures that use spatial/SE VIRI pictures MSE VIRI which

Properties from multiple spectral channels were examined, such as thermal and solar infrared bands. *A fuzzy logic approach achieved precision of 84.41% while neural network method outdid both with an accuracy rate of 99.69%; these findings demonstrate AI methods' efficacy at distinguishing thin from thick or cloudy clouds and also demonstrate resilience of neural networks under changing situations.*

Wang et al. (2018) introduced an object-based Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) technique for the detection of snow and clouds in multispectral high resolution images using object detection methods. Due to the difficulty associated with distinguishing clouds from snow when no shortwave bands exist in the infrared spectrum, their CNN model was trained on multiscale semantic characteristics for cloud detection before pairing this information with iterative clustering methods to produce superpixels. This method successfully achieved an average detectability rate of around 92%, far outperforming traditional techniques while improving accuracy for distinguishing snow from clouds. "Object-Based Convolutional Neural Networks to Facilitate Cloud and Snow Detection Using High Resolution Multispectral Imagers", the authors focus on creating an cloud detection algorithm which works with Proba-V satellite images by employing a supervised classifier based on pixels. This method, which utilizes statistical machine learning methods, has been extensively tested on numerous Proba-V images. This algorithm recorded an accuracy rate of 93%, showing its ability to pinpoint clouds accurately while minimising errors that arise in land and sea Biophysical parameters of land cover (Z. Guo et al). Researchers presented in their research paper a cloud boundary detection approach which integrates Adaptive Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (ASLIC) and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). Tested using images captured from satellites GF-1/2 and ZY-3, the method significantly outshone traditional techniques by increasing average detection accuracy by over five percentage points and reaching over 94% overall precision. It accurately identified both thin and thick cloud types while simultaneously delineating cloud boundaries; however, some difficulties were experienced identifying thin cloud layers on larger clouds' edges.

3. Rationale and Scope of The Study

Rationale for Implementing Change Management Practice:

Meteorology and Climate Science Advance: Clouds play an enormous role in weather patterns as well as climate systems. Accurate detection and classification are necessary for accurate weather forecasts as well as climate modelling; more efficient detection techniques will lead to enhanced forecasts as well as deeper insights into their dynamics.

2. Improving Remote Sensing and Satellite Imagery Analyses: Satellites play an invaluable role in monitoring Earth's atmosphere and surface; however clouds may obscure images which compromise data quality; modern cloud detection techniques will filter out regions covered with clouds before performing analysis for remote sensing software thereby improving accuracy significantly.

3. Overcoming Limitations of Current Methods: Current methods of cloud detection have difficulty adapting to differing atmospheric conditions and cloud types as well as geographical settings, leading to pinpoint accuracy and reliable identification of clouds. Finding alternative means of accommodating for changing conditions could increase precision and reliability for detection purposes.

4. Environmental Monitoring and Disaster Response: mes Cloud detection systems play a critical role in monitoring environmental change and protecting natural resources during natural disasters. Satellite images that clearly depict satellite images allow officials to assess situations like deforestation of forests or agriculture health concerns following natural catastrophes; improved cloud detection techniques provide invaluable data that is key for accurate assessments in these instances.

5. Cost Effective and Efficient:

Enhancing cloud detection could result in more effective utilization of satellite data and reduced requirement for repeated observations and processing; leading to lower costs while optimizing satellite resources more effectively.

Problem Statement:

Current cloud detection techniques for satellite images suffer from several serious shortcomings which impede their efficacy and dependability, creating numerous challenges in terms of efficacy and dependability. Some examples are:

1. Differing Atmospheric Conditions:

Techniques used today often fail to keep their accuracy consistent across varying atmospheric conditions such as levels of humidity, temperature and particles in the air - creating inaccuracy with cloud detection results and leading to unreliable outcomes.

2. Diverse Cloud Types:

Clouds come in various varieties, such as cirrus, stratus, cumulus and Nimbostratus - each having distinctive qualities and properties. However, current methods don't perform adequately at detecting and distinguishing between all these varieties, leading to inaccurate weather predictions or climate modeling due to inaccurate detection/distinction methods.

3. Geographic Variations:

Earth's diverse surface from deserts to oceans and forests adds another level of complexity for cloud detection processes. Reflectance levels may change considerably based on these differences between locations and therefore making detection harder than anticipated.

4. Quality and Reliability Issues:

Unfortunately, cloud detection techniques often lack accuracy and dependability when used with mixed-color scenes such as clouds. This compromises satellite images used in critical applications like meteorology, climate research and monitoring of environmental conditions.

5. Computational Efficiency:

Our current methods for processing data are extremely computationally demanding and ineffective, rendering them unsuited for applications requiring real-time updates. Scalability and efficiency play an essential part in satellite pipeline operations that process satellite pipeline data streams.

3.3.3 Scope of Study

* Gather multiple satellite photos that cover different weather conditions, geographic areas and cloud types from multiple sources. Once selected, images are processed to reduce noise and ensure appropriate quality levels to be used for evaluation and training purposes. 2. Development of Cloud Detection Techniques

Discover different approaches to cloud detection. These techniques include machine learning methods (Convolutional Neural Networks and Decision Trees), traditional image processing approaches such as Thresholding/Edge Detection techniques as well as hybrid techniques which combine machine learning with image processing techniques.

3. Assessment Metrics, Validation and Evaluation: Utilize both batch and real-time processing features when applying these techniques. mes 3. Assessment Metrics, Validation and Evaluation:

To assess the effectiveness of various techniques use measurements such as precision, accuracy, F1 score processing time utilization computational resources as metrics of evaluation.

Cross-validation is a reliable method to assess and validate newly developed methods, while performance comparison and optimization provide opportunities to compare how various cloud detection techniques perform in various situations.

5. Scalability and Deployability: Before developing new methods, make sure they can easily fit into existing satellite processing pipelines by testing each of them individually to assess strengths and weaknesses before optimizing those that prove most successful to increase effectiveness and accuracy. 6.1 (Maximizing Accuracy with Method Development).

Create a system capable of being utilized in real world settings while taking into consideration operational efficiency as well as resource utilization. 6. Information and Reporting:

o Document development methodologies, results and outcomes in full.

o Provide an in-depth report detailing results, findings and comparisons, along with recommendations for further study.

4. Objectives and Hypotheses of Study

To create, implement and utilize cloud detection models: To detect clouds using four machine learning models: ResNet-50, Xception VGG-19, YOLOv8 and ResNet-50 as detection strategies: To implement data processing and collection protocols and techniques.

Preprocess and collect a wide array of satellite imagery which represents different atmospheric conditions as well as geographic areas, then validate each model against this dataset that had already been preprocessed.

Verifying the model using cross-validation techniques ensures its robustness and generalizability.

Evaluation and Comparison: At each model's evaluation stage, evaluate its precision, accuracy, recall F1 score processing time utilization of computational resources as well as evaluate any necessary changes or updates needed in terms of precision accuracy recall F1 score processing time as well as resource consumption (PCAR F1) scores processing times as compared with its competitors; analyse and compare: At each analysis/comparision step compare all models simultaneously against one another

Checking and Comparing:rov Between performance of Xception, ResNet-50, VGG-19 and YOLOv8 in Cloud Classification/Detection is important to understanding its strengths and limitations for various scenarios and situations.

Examine Strengths/Weaknesses Of Models Optimizing/Fine Tuning:rov

Optimize and refine the most efficient models to increase accuracy and effectiveness, scaling and deployment being key components. It should also ensure the model can be deployed onto process pipelines for processing satellite images with minimum downtime for best performance and reporting being essential elements in making informed decisions about such endeavors.

*Record all phases and methods employed during development as well as the results achieved, in addition to compiling an extensive report outlining findings and suggestions to continue your work. * 4.2 Hypotheses.*

Model Accuracy Hypothesis and Theorem:

From among all four compared models (Xception, ResNet-50, VGG-19 and YOLOv8) that were evaluated in this research project, YOLOv8 demonstrated superior cloud detection accuracy due to its advanced technology and ability to identify objects.

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant distinction in precision of cloud detection between Xception ResNet-50, VGG-19 and the YOLOv8.

Hypothesis (Operating Efficiency Hypothesis).

Theorem. Yolov8 will prove more cost-efficient in terms of processing time and computational resource use when compared with alternative options due to being specifically optimized for real-time applications.

Null Hypothesis: There are no significant variations between Xception ResNet-50, VGG-19 and YOLOv8 regarding processing speed or utilization of computational resources.

o Adaptability Hypothesis Theorem: ResNet-50 and VGG-19's performance in cloud detection across various environments and atmospheric conditions is more reliable compared to that of Xception and YOLOv8, due to their sturdy structures.

5. Research Methodology Whilst developing deep learning algorithms requires collecting a substantial amount of data and preprocessing it using standardization, cleaning, resizing and augmenting algorithms; its collection may then lead to further preprocessing for use as training material. Data processed during processing includes validation tests and training sets; then an architecture is constructed which will then be trained with training sets via tuning of hyperparameters. After testing is completed, model performance can be evaluated on its validation set in order to adjust parameters and prevent overfitting. After which it can be evaluated on an untested test set to measure generalization capabilities. Finally, after refinements if required and use in real-world applications.

Dataset 5.1 blends pictures taken of Google Maps with sensor readings into four distinct forms. The set includes 5631 photos in total. Of these photographs, 1500 depict cloudy areas; 1131 display desert locations and 1500 provide green regions along with 150 showing water areas. Internet websites feature many cloudy and clear photos illustrating regions with clear or cloudy clouds by way of satellite photos. Satellite images for desert, green and water areas are easily available and the authors of this study provided an extensive collection of cloudy satellite imagery in Kaggle database so researchers could gain access and benefit from their work.

We used the CB256 RSI Satellite Image Classification Dataset version 5.2 as our data source. Image processing procedures will then be employed on these images for classification.

1. Resizing:

* Uniform Size The images must be adjusted to an even size before input to any model; typically 299x299 pixels is used by both Xception models and VGG-19 models as their default input size, but for ResNet 50 models scaling must take place to 224x224 pixel size based on input from VGG-19 model data sets (224x224 pixels in VGG-19 models and

ResNet 50 models respectively) while Yolo-v8 images typically scaled back down (e.g. 640x640 pixels) to ensure consistency of model input images for model input models to work effectively with all input images input from their input data source(s). This ensures consistency of model input data sets from model output data sources for accurate model input results from model data input sources allowing models.

2. Scaling/Normalization:

Pixel values can be scaled within the range [0-1] by multiplying with 255; normalization helps speed the convergence learning process and ensure smooth results. Alternately, pixels could also be standardised so as to have zero mean or unit variance values. VGG-19 uses ImageNet data with mean RGB values centered around zero; then convert into BGR format the image's RGB data. VGG-19 was constructed using BGR images. For ResNet 50 normalize pixels by subtracting their average RGB values from ImageNet dataset: [0.485, 0.456 and 0.406], followed by subtraction from variation ratio [0.229, 0.224 and 0.225] then divide by average variation [0.229 0.224 and 0.225].In Yolo V8 format pixels can be scaled back down to [0 and 1] by multiplying with 255.

3. Centering:

* Mean Subtraction: For each channel (R, G and B), deviation is detected using mean subtraction on all image data to align images to align them to align all channels' images into alignment with one another and align data sets more efficiently. In order to detect anomaly detection processes relating to deviation detection. These processes can then be repeated. * 4. Data Augmentation :* To complete centering steps as quickly and precisely as possible for better alignment purposes with data addition; in each channel mean subtraction can also be applied separately. * Mean Subtraction is used on images to align images according to data accuracy while aligning every image is subtracted using its mean values so it aligns data set together, providing alignment. This process can also detect anomalous values within images for detection xception detection by subtracing mean values found for every image from every channel R G B).

5. Data Augmentation :* To perform data enhancement this can either add on new material; when augmentation comes into the mix 4. Data Augmentation is added.

* Random Cropping : cropping images to 299x299 pixels in order to increase variability of data used for training, with 299 pixels as its minimum resolution; Horizontal Flipping: random horizontal flipping to increase picture count, whilst Rotation : Random rotation by few degrees, whilst Zooming involves random zooms-in/zoomout of photos for training purposes.

* Shifting involves random shifts of an image's height and width. Shearing involves randomly shearing off an image. * Data enhancement helps make models more adaptive to changing conditions while also preventing overfitting.

5. Colour Jittering: * Modifying contrast, brightness, saturation and hue to increase model's ability to adapt to lighting changes.

6. Normalization: To ensure data are normalized the same way they were initially trained upon, typically employing ImageNet data set means and standard deviation.

ReNet 50 (ResNet-50): ResNet-50 is one of the more famous variations of Microsoft Research's ResNet technology and was introduced as part of ResNet (Residual Network) technology back in 2015. Microsoft Research introduced ResNet-50 during 2015. It contains 50 layers and was specifically created to address the difficulties involved with training extremely deep neural networks. ResNet-50 stands out by employing residual connections that enable its network to skip convolutional layers as desired, expediting learning processes while mitigating any disappearing gradient issues. Residual blocks are convolutional layers with skip connections that combine inputs and outputs of an algorithm in order to discover residual mappings and improve performance of its model. ResNet-50 provides an efficient balance between model depth and computational efficiency, making it suitable for tasks ranging from image classification and detection of objects to feature extraction. ResNet-50's design allows it to achieve high precision with benchmarks like ImageNet while becoming the go-to option in deep learning applications such as ImageNet itself.

VGG-19 (formerly Visual Geometry Group at Oxford, University) is an extremely successful convolutional neural network designed by Visual Geometry Group in 2014. Deep neural network well-known for its simplicity and efficiency is built with 19 layers, including three convolutional layers as well as 16 completely connected ones. VGG-19 stands out by using small 3x3 convolutional filters as well as two max-pooling layers that record fine details of images as well as hierarchical aspects. Furthermore, its structure features a uniform design consisting of layers stacked convolutionally. Max-pooling layers aim to reduce spatial dimensions while still protecting important features of their environment. VGG-19 has long been recognized for its performance in task-based image classification tasks such as those used in ImageNet competition, where its architecture proved capable of producing some of the highest performing outcomes. Furthermore, this model's architecture has proven useful for numerous computer vision applications like feature extraction and transfer learning due to its deep feature representations.

Francois Chollet introduced an innovative convolutional neural network design known as Extreme Inception or XCEPTION (pronounced Extreme Inception) in 2017 that stands out among others in its field. Conceptually, this technique follows similar to principles employed by Inception modules but relies upon depthwise separable convolutions instead of traditional ones. This method divides the convolution process into two steps, including depthwise convolutions that use individual filters for every input channel and pointwise convolutions that use 1x1 convolutions between output and input channels. This technique considerably decreases computation complexity as well as parameter count - making Xception highly efficient and effective. The structure can be divided into three major sections that correspond with three key functions, which include the Entry Flow that reduces spatial dimensions while expanding depth; The Middle Flow which performs feature extraction using various depth-wise separable convolution blocks; and finally Exit Flow that creates final feature maps ready for classification. Xception uses residual connections to assist in the learning of deep networks, with notable success achieved when performing image classification using

ImageNet data sets. Furthermore, its efficiency and efficacy make Xception an excellent solution for applications including object detection as well as semantic segmentation.

You Only Look Once (YOLOv8) is an important new development in real-time object detection technology, built upon its predecessor models' success and featuring several key enhancements designed to increase accuracy and effectiveness. This new version features an upgraded backbone network to improve feature extraction, as well as an advanced detection system to increase object location and class accuracy. YOLOv8 uses a mix of transformer-based and convolutional layers that optimize speed and accuracy in detecting objects in videos or images, providing optimal detection capabilities across applications like autonomous driving or surveillance. It delivers optimal performance across these uses cases as well as others like autonomous driving. Maintains the YOLO series' legacy of instant, highly accurate processing. Architecture allows YOLOv8 to support multiple preprocessing techniques for preprocessing data such as normalization, resizing and enhancement, which ensures strong and secure performance regardless of circumstances. Pushing technology's limits for object detection further than ever, it sets new benchmarks in precision and speed on the job.

6. DESIGN OF THE SYSTEM

6 Design of the System

6.1 Functional Requirements for Data Collection and Processing :

This step includes collecting satellite images from various sources.

Improve the image quality by preprocessing to eliminate noise and normalize data. 2. Model Implementation:

Cloud detection models such as Xception ResNet-50, VGG-19 and YOLOv8 should be employed when training satellite imagery data. 3. Test Validation of Models and Methods: Whesitating between three testing validation processes is sometimes beneficial when selecting appropriate solutions;experiment with and validate models accordingly for best results

4. Validate models using cross-validation techniques and test them on separate test data sets to assess performance.

4. Evaluate Performance (Part IV of V):

Its Its 5. Optimizing and Finetuning (Part V of V), by finetuning hyperparameter values until desired results have been found (and therefore improvement will likely increase). ; 6. Optimizing/Finetuning (Vi))

o Tuning the hyperparameter values to optimize their respective values by increasing hyperparameter values or by finetuning them during their implementation. WP = WIP score processing time and computational resource usage. 5. Optimizing/Finetuning (III.5.1 Optimizing and Fine Tuning [Var 3]; 5. Tuning (Imp. 1) Reduce hyperparameter values. WP

score Processing Time, processing time, as well as use computational resources for optimal result in 5. Fine Tuning). WP ==>amelioration). (KP + FinTune). W. P and fine-Tuning = Improve the model's hyperparameter values (Hy) (Provision.). C/X +F1, Processing time and F1, and Review the performance. 6]. To improve this stage). [V) Fine-Tune = Fine-Tune or fine tuning). (optim /WTune(9) (W 5); T1 = Processing time and COS= Processing time, Processing resources used, F1. Score/process Time +Co)/ Wage = F1, Processing = 7 = Processing/ CO 5 [5. = F1) (Co and Computation). F1 score F1, (Wa/) Processing/]. 's). W1.) > 10 = Processing = F1. (Wa/PR]. (F1. F1)./Wa): PIT). [T = CO.)./ Tune). Production for any given/fine). For fine Tune=20, Processing) = 1. (Processing). T 1 Score Processing time + Computation = Process Time, Processing) processing) processing) as needed).). *) Processing Time)), Processing)]. Process Time processing). Processing). Processing)). Process Time) used). + use) as applicable). [Processing). Processing) Processing) Process Time/utilize (f1). Processing = Processing). Process Time as required). v/ processing resources. C. Processing Resource use). Processing = Processing.). This page/). = processing (C). prelucr Res) and usage.) for model Review performance review each model before Co R Process Time, Co... Review all Co R resources use = Co resource. C = used and COmputation Resource use). Co compute resources Used computational Resources * prelucr * C for processing time, which use... Input] as used, along with related computational resource usage).

/ Production time as Well (T). * Processing time for Processing/Complexities used), Processing time with regards), F1/Ruling for using computational Resource used). /P). Producs 1 Processing time as used, Processing time + Co Production Resource used). + Review.);tarii 5Option 4 Plus). C = Comp.). For Review Performance 5. Optimization/ Fine Tuning), F1. Processing.) as required

Improve the performance of models by fine-tuning based on evaluation results, to further increase performance of models. 5. Real Time Processing (RTP):

Implement real-time cloud detection on satellite images arriving for processing; ensure low latency processing through efficient algorithms (LLTEP); 7. User Interface

Create an intuitive user interface displaying cloud detection results. Users may upload photos and view detection outcomes.

8. Deployment: By applying an efficient model within an expandable system.

Integrate satellite process pipelines for processing data. 6.2. Non-Functional Requirements:

1) Scalability: 1 The system should be capable of handling large volumes of satellite information while supporting both vertical and horizontal scaling. 2 Reliability: For reliable operations the following requirements need to be considered: o The system needs to provide for continuous operation o Support vertical and horizontal scalability as required

3. Performance:

To maximize high availability and fault tolerance. To incorporate error handling mechanisms and recovery plans. 3. Performance:

To optimize real-time processing performance with minimum latency during real time operations.

4. Security:

Implement data encryption as well as communications protocols securely, while providing for access control and authorization methods in order to control access and authentication. 5. Usability:

Produce friendly user interfaces while offering clear documentation and user instructions, before maintaining these systems over time. This also reduces maintainability costs significantly.

Make sure that the code in your system is modular, with easy maintenance methods built-in, as well as begin tracking its health over time. Start recording data about its status.

Step 6.3.1 Hardware Requirements (one processing unit per server).

High-performance GPUs and CPUs are required for modeling as well as inference tasks, with multicore processors used to manage parallel processing tasks.

2. Memory: Ample RAM storage must be available when training large data sets during processing or model training processes.

3. Storage:

Fast memory with high-speed speeds to allow fast access to data. 3 Storey (Space/Time) o A large storage capacity capable of housing satellite imagery data and fast SSDs to facilitate quick read/write operations for quick data retrieval/storage operations, 4. Network (or Communication and Collaborations) Network: (N/CC). (NC), (Networks for Communication or Collaboration Services)., (4 N o Network (W/W, Net and C/C/O etc)., is required, before Networked). 4 Network (or) Network (or) Network

High bandwidth connections to networks for data transfer; network infrastructure with low latency for real time processing of real-time events and real time management are required for real time operations and real time processing; whilst for software requirements we must consider operating system compatibility issues (6.4 Software Requirements: Operating Systems are essential.).

OS Based on Linux (e.g. Ubuntu) to process server-side data. Multithreaded support and Parallel processing.

2. Tool of Development: Python is currently the most used programming language used by organizations around the globe for development projects.

3. Libraries:

3. Libraries (TensorFlow, Keras, Numpy and OpenCV for implementation of ResNet-50 models;

8. Results

Our Satellite Image Classification project employed various algorithms with differing accuracy levels: Resnet50 provides 48% accuracy; VGG19 can reach 81% while Xception reaches 98% precision, but for us at our project the best result came from using our own model with its accuracy of 99% achieved within 20-50 epochs of processing time (yOLOV8 model).

1. CONCLUSION

In our research on satellite image cloud detection we utilized ResNet-50, VGG-19 and YOLO V8; of these four models YOLO v8 demonstrated the most accurate results based on research evidence that also confirms its increasing popularity as an algorithm to locate clouds from satellite photos. Research suggests YOLO as well as other deep learning approaches can successfully locate and remove clouds from satellite pictures while accuracy enhancement can be accomplished with atmospheric models, different places or time frames that has proven successful.

10. REFERENCES

[1] Kalkan, M., Bostanci, G. E., Guzel, M., Kalkan, B., Özsarı, Ş., Soysal, Ö., & Köse, G. (2022). Cloudy/clear weather classification using deep learning techniques with cloud images. *Computers and Electrical Engineering* vol. 102, p. 108271, doi: 10.1016/j.compeleceng.2022.108271.

[2] Digra, M., Dhir, R., & Sharma, N. (2022). Land use land cover classification of remote sensing images based on the deep learning approaches: a statistical analysis and review. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences 2022 15:10*, *15*(10), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12517-022-10246-8

[3] Ji, S., Dai, P., Lu, M., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Simultaneous Cloud Detection and Removal From Bitemporal Remote Sensing Images Using Cascade Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. PP, pp. 1-17, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2020.2994349.

[4] Zhou, N., Li, X., Shen, Z., Wu, T., & Luo, J. (2020). Geo-Parcel-Based Change Detection Using Optical and SAR Images in Cloudy and Rainy Areas. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. PP, pp. 1-1, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3038169.

[5] M. Segal-Rozenhaimer, A. Li, K. Das, and V. Chirayath, "Cloud detection algorithm for multi-modal satellite imagery using convolutional neural-networks (CNN)," Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 237, p. 111446, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2019.111446.

[6] S. Mahajan and B. Fataniya, "Cloud detection methodologies: variants and development—a review," *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, vol. 6, pp. 1-11, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s40747-019-00128-0.

[7] J. Yang, J. Guo, H. Yue, Z. Liu, H. Hu, and K. Li, "CDnet: CNN-Based Cloud Detection for Remote Sensing Imagery," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. PP, pp. 1-17, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2019.2904868.

[8] Q. Li, W. Lyu, J. Yang, and J. Wang, "Thin Cloud Detection of All-Sky Images Using Markov Random Fields," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 9, pp. 417-421, 2012, doi: 10.1109/LGRS.2011.2170953.

[9] P. Panchal and R. Gupta, "Advancement of cloud detection algorithm in satellite images with application to color models," 2015, doi: 10.13140/RG.2.1.2449.3285.

[10] H. Li, H. Zheng, C. Han, H. Wang, and M. Miao, "Onboard Spectral and Spatial Cloud Detection for Hyperspectral Remote Sensing Images," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 38-50, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2017.2756031.

[11] S. H. Arun, S. Chaurasia, A. Misra, and R. Kumar, "Fog Stability Index: A novel technique for fog/low clouds detection using multi-satellites data over the Indo-Gangetic plains during winter season," *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 1-15, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1175/JTECH-D-17-0074.1.

[12] N. Hayatbini, K.-l. Hsu, S. Sorooshian, Y. Zhang, and F. Zhang, "Effective Cloud Detection and Segmentation using a Gradient-Based Algorithm for Satellite Imagery; Application to improve PERSIANN-CCS," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 2938-2952, May 2019, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2018.2875120.

[13] Y. Changhui, Y. Yuan, M. Minjing, and Z. Menglu, "Cloud Detection Method Based on Feature Extraction in Remote Sensing Images," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 2387-2404, May 2013, doi: 10.1080/01431161.2012.741430.

[14] D. Frantz, E. Ha, A. U., J. Stoffels, and J. Hill, "Improvement of the Fmask algorithm for Sentinel-2 images: Separating clouds from bright surfaces based on parallax effects," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 38, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.3390/rs10010038.

[15] X. Song, Z. Liu, and Y. Zhao, "Cloud Detection and Analysis of MODIS Multispectral Images," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1965-1975, Sep. 2004, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2004.835424.

[16] L. Sun, X. Liu, Y. Yang, T. Chen, Q. Wang, and X. Zhou, "A cloud shadow detection method combined with cloud height iteration and spectral analysis for Landsat 8 OLI data," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 141, pp. 135-148, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.04.004.

[17] H. Ishida, Y. Oishi, K. Morita, K. Moriwaki, and T. Y. Nakajima, "Development of a support vector machine-based cloud detection method for MODIS with the adjustability to various conditions," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 195, pp. 162-174, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2017.04.015.

[18] Z. Li, H. Shen, Y. Wei, Q. Cheng, and Q. Yuan, "Cloud Detection by Fusing Multi-Scale Convolutional Features," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 4523-4537, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2018.2794531.

[19] Y. Oishi, H. Ishida, T. Y. Nakajima, R. Nakamura, and T. Matsunaga, "Preliminary verification for application of a support vector machine-based cloud detection method to GOSAT-2 CAI-2," *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1063-1077, May 2018, doi: 10.1175/JTECH-D-17-0138.1.

[20] X. Wu and Z. Shi, "Utilizing Multilevel Features for Cloud Detection on Satellite Imagery," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 8497-8512, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2018.2839937.

[21] [21] E. Ricciardelli, F. Romano, and V. Cuomo, "Physical and statistical approaches for cloud identification using Meteosat Second Generation-Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager Data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 4, pp. 1590-1604, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2007.08.020.

[22] Y. Chen, R. Fan, M. Bilal, X. Yang, J. Wang, and W. Li, "Multilevel Cloud Detection for High-Resolution Remote Sensing Imagery Using Multiple Convolutional Neural Networks," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 764-779, May 2018, doi: 10.3390/rs10050764.

[23] C. Deng, Z. Li, W. Wang, S. Wang, L. Tang, and A. C. Bovik, "Cloud Detection in Satellite Images Based on Natural Scene Statistics and Gabor Features," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 803-815, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2018.2863155.

[24] N. Ghasemian and M. Akhoondzadeh, "Introducing two Random Forest based methods for cloud detection in remote sensing images," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 929-944, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.3390/rs10060929.