What if your computer didn't have a built-in function to compute square roots? Could you program a function which computes it using only basic arithmetic operations? The problem: given X > 0, find x > 0, such that

$$x^2 = X$$
.

Program all three methods below and compare their convergence rates. Try X = 0.01, 4, 1000.

Bisection. You must first transform this problem into a problem of finding roots of some equation, f(x) = 0. (Don't use the square root function!) Here is one possibility: $f(x) = x^2 - X$; can you think of any other? To set up the bisection algorithm, you must first find an initial interval [a, b] which contains \sqrt{X} . Hint: you may use that $X \le \sqrt{X}$ for $X \le 1$ and $\sqrt{X} \le X$ for $X \ge 1$.

Newton's method. Show that Newton's method (with f(x) as above) amounts to iterating

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{X}{x_n} \right). \tag{1}$$

What will you pick as the initial guess, x_0 ?

Functional Iterations. Here you need to transform the relation, $x^2 = X$ into x = f(x) (again, without explicitly using the square root function). Here is one way to do this:

$$x = X/x, \tag{2}$$

Is this relation adequate to compute \sqrt{X} for any *X*?

More generally, we can set up the functional iterations, e.g., via

$$x = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha X/x$$
, $\alpha \neq 0$ is an arbitrary number. (3)

Do you spot similarity with Newton's method? Is there a way to pick *the optimal* value of the parameter, α ? Try $\alpha = 1/4$, 1/2, 3/4.

Comparison. Compute $\sqrt{2}$ using these three methods up to some given precision, i.e., stop the iterations when the difference between the two most recent approximations is less than 10^{-13} (or some other small number). Compare the number of iterations needed to achieve the chosen precision in these methods.

I recommend checking out the Wikipedia page on "Methods of computing square roots" — you may find lots of useful information.