

Technical Document Rubric

Topic of Technical Document Reviewing: Controllers

Reviewee: Yong Li

Date: 12/7/2018

Reviewer: Blaine Furman

Topics	Description	Scores
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The flow of the document is smooth and easy to follow.• The process of the design flows in a sequential order and can be easily replicated when necessary.• Same Ideas are group together and are consistent with one another	5
Writing	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• There are no grammatical or spelling errors.• The sentence structure and flow are well written and smoothly transitions with one another• Punctuations are used correctly and helps strengthen the paper in terms of transition, flow, and organization	4
Figures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All figures are related to the topic of the technical document• All figures in the paper were used and were referred to• Figures were easily understood and did not cause any impedance on the reading	5
Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The presentation of the technical document is organized and looks professionally written• Headers and titles can be easily identified	5

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subheaders are easily identified and are not mixed up with the main headers 	
Total (Out of 16)		19

Scores		Description
5	Excellent	Went above and beyond the basic responsibilities
4	Very Good	Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do
3	Acceptable	Usually did what he/she was supposed to do
2	Marginal	Sometimes failed to meet the basic responsibilities
1	Unacceptable	Failed to meet the basic responsibilities

Comments/Improvements: Ok, to start, the writing was quite on par. I was genuinely informed about how controllers work and would be able to reproduce what was demoed in the documentation.

His writing was concise and well thought out, with logical steps for each part of the document. It followed an order that made sense in my mind and each idea was flushed out with explanations for each step.

On the topic of writing, there were no grammatical errors. The flow of the sentences were good but could be improved on a grammar standpoint. Punctuations were correctly used and great effort was put in to make punctuation just as important as the information the document presented.

All of the figures Yong used were also relevant to the document and provided more insight into what was happening. The diagrams weren't boring either, grabbing the attention of the reader and informing him/her in the process.

The presentation looks like a college level presentation, with correct alignments for images and clean formatting, as to not distract the reader or confuse them. All titles were easily identified and great effort was taken into making sure the reader wouldn't get lost in the transition from step to step.

Overall Grade: 19