

Experimental Assessment of a Krohne Optimass 6400 Mass Flow
Meter for Determining CO₂ Vapour Quality
Final Year Project Report

Tym Pakulski
Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bath
In Collaboration with CERN

Supervised by Dr. Roger Ngwompo
Assessed by Dr. Martin Ansell

April 29, 2015

Abstract

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Dr. Roger Ngwompo, my academic supervisor, and Martin Ansell, FYP coordinator, for making my continued collaboration with CERN possible. I'd also like to thank Nicola Spadavecchia, Bart Verlaat and Jerome Noel for their technical support. Finally, my thanks to Paola Tropea, my industrial supervisor, for her sharp questions and crucial guidance throughout my research.

Terms and Acronyms

CERN The European Organization for Nuclear Research

Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands

HEP High-Energy Physics

CMS Compact Muon Solenoid - a CERN experiment

LHC Large Hadron Collider

2PACL Two-phase accumulator control loop A planned shutdown of the LHC between February 2013 and April 2015 for maintenance and upgrade.

CFCs Chlorofluorocarbons

TIF Tracker Integration Facility

PH-DT CERN Physics Department, Detector Technologies Group

EGM Entrained Gas Management

DAQ Data Acquisition

SCADA *sc*

PWM Pulse Width Modulation

R744 Refrigerant Code for CO₂

Sub-cooling *sub*

Interaction Point *point*

.csv Comma-Separated Value

C₆F₁₄ Perfluorohexane, a common industrial refrigerant and electrical insulator.

Symbols

Symbol	Property	Default Unit
H	Enthalpy	J
X	Vapour Quality	%
T	Temperature	°C
\dot{m}	Mass Flow Rate	kg s^{-1}
P	Pressure	bar abs
\dot{Q}	Heat Load	Watts
ϕ	Void Fraction	%
G	Mass Flux	$\text{kg s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}$
I	Current	Amperes
V	Voltage	Volts
p	Power	Watts
ρ	Density	kg m^{-3}
v	velocity	

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	CO ₂ Cooling at CERN	1
1.1.1	2-Phase Accumulator Controlled Loop	1
1.2	Vapour Quality and 2-Phase Density	3
1.3	The Krohne Optimass 6400	4
1.4	Aims, Objectives and Documentation	4
2	Literature Review	6
2.1	Vapour Quality in CO ₂ Cooling	6
2.2	Flow Behaviour of 2-Phase CO ₂	6
2.3	Determining Vapour Quality	6
2.4	Coriolis Flow Meters Performance in 2-Phase	6
3	Methods	7
3.1	Experimental Methods	7
3.1.1	Apparatus	7
3.1.2	Control and Data Acquisition	9
3.1.3	Variables and Assumptions	9
3.1.4	Test Protocol	10
3.2	Data Analysis Methods	11
3.2.1	Export Pre-Processing	11
3.2.2	Data Processing and Visualisation	13
3.2.3	Calculation of Reference Conditions	13
4	Results	15
5	Discussion	16
6	Conclusions	17
7	Future Work	18
A	The TIF Cooling System	20
B	Krohne Calibration and Sizing Documents	23
C	Dummy Load Heater Module Mechanical Design	26
D	MATLAB Code	30
E	Raw Data	31

1. Introduction

CERN's PH-DT group is undertaking extensive research and development in the area of evaporative CO₂ cooling for particle detectors. A crucial parameter in the design and commissioning of these systems is vapour quality - the mass fraction of fluid that is vapour. Krohne, a supplier of instrumentation for the process industry, has approached CERN with a new instrument with potential to indirectly determine vapour quality through a measurement of 2-phase density. This study seeks to evaluate this promising new technology that would be directly relevant to the coming decade of R&D.

1.1 CO₂ Cooling at CERN

The particle detectors at CERN's various experiments require cooling to manage the heat load of the detector electronics, radiation from the interaction point and heat leak from the ambient environment. Evaporative CO₂ cooling has become the predominant candidate technology for future particle trackers in HEP. CO₂'s combination of thermodynamic properties and radiation hardness make it ideal for HEP applications - it can be used in highly radioactive areas and is considered environmentally friendly. In addition, it cools efficiently in small-diameter tubes, minimising the material budget - a crucial metric representing the amount of non-instrumentation material inside the particle detector.

The C₆F₁₄ current cooling system for the pixel detector, the innermost layer of the CMS tracker, is being replaced with a CO₂ system and a transition to this technology is foreseen for the entire CMS tracker by the end of 2024.

1.1.1 2-Phase Accumulator Controlled Loop

The CO₂ systems at CERN implement the 2PACL design. This involves pumping liquid coolant into the detector, where it is expanded and cools its surroundings by partially evaporating. After cooling the detector with the latent heat of vaporisation, the mix of liquid and vapour returns to the plant by way of a condenser, which returns it to pure liquid phase for pumping. An accumulator filled with 2-phase fluid sits on the return line of the circuit, its pressure determining the position of the coolant temperature (and pressure as both




Figure 1.1: A diagram of the CMS particle detector on the LHC. [?]

are saturated), and therefore the position of the cooling cycle on the P-h diagram. Accumulator pressure is regulated using an array of heaters and a heat exchanger with an independent chiller circuit that also cools the condenser. The cycle and a schematic of the 2PACL concept are shown in figure 1.2.





Figure 1.2: A schematic of the 2PACL concept as implemented in Thermal Control System of the LHCb Velo project at CERN [?]



1.3 The Krohne Optimass 6400

The Optimass 6400 is a new twin bent tube coriolis mass flow meter. It features 3 independent measurements:

- Mass Flow Rate - Coriolis principle.
- Density - Natural frequency of an oscillating tube.
- Temperature - Internal probe.

By making calculations from these measurements it is able to output 7 different signals. But we are interested in fundamental signals only????

Like other coriolis flow meters, The Optimass 6400 employs the coriolis principle to induce a phase shift in the forced oscillations of a bent tube. Such a flow meter involves half of the tube directing flow outwards radially from the axis of oscillation, and the second half returning pulling the flow inwards radially. The resultant phase shift is a function of the mass flow rate of the fluid through the instrument.

The Optimass 6400 is a physical copy of the Optimass 6000, an older flow meter. What makes it unique is the MFC400 electronics chip that it interfaces with. These electronics deliver the new technology of Entrained Gas Management. A typical flow meter cannot handle 2-phase flow because the slip planes between the liquid and vapour phases damp the oscillations, causing the instrument to stop reading. Entrained Gas Management employs advanced signal processing and a completely digital drive signal to allow the instrument to continue measuring in 2-phase flow.

The instrument is also able to measure density in 2-phase flow, although accuracy is not specified. The fundamental principle for measuring density relies on measuring the natural frequency of an oscillating tube filled with fluid. The natural frequency is a function of the mass of the fluid in the tube, and the density of the fluid in the tube is calculated from its mass and the tube's known volume.

The instrument's accuracy has been measured using liquid water and air bubbles, but never with a single fluid in 2 phases.

1.4 Aims, Objectives and Documentation

This study is an initial evaluation of the Optimass 6400's steady-state measurement accuracy over a wide operating envelope. Its aim is to evaluate the validate the strategy for determining vapour quality, quantify the attainable measurement under various conditions, and identify performance trends and areas for further research. Specific goals are given below:

- Install, cable, leak-test and commission the instrument on one of the manifold loops at TIF.
- Validate the instrument's steady-state performance in pure liquid flow.
- Compare mass flow readings with reference instrumentation to over a range of temperatures and vapour qualities.
- Compare density readings with analytically derived reference density over a range of temperatures and vapour qualities.
- Quantify the instrument's steady-state performance and, if possible, identify an effective performance envelope.
- Quantify the instruments performance in terms of vapour quality accuracy.
- Investigate the physical phenomena behind any performance trends.
- Identify areas for further research.

This report documents the background to the problem and relevant literature, describes the method employed to test and analyse the sensor's performance in ??, and its performance in ??. Further, it documents speculation as to the cause of performance trends in ??, and identifies areas for further research in ??.

2. Literature Review

$$\phi = \frac{V_{vapour}}{V_{fluid}} \quad (2.1)$$

$$X = \phi \frac{\rho_{vapour}}{\rho_{fluid}} \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 Vapour Quality in CO₂ Cooling

2.2 Flow Behaviour of 2-Phase CO₂

2.3 Determining Vapour Quality

2.4 Coriolis Flow Meters Performance in 2-Phase

3. Methods

3.1 Experimental Methods

Laboratory research was carried out using the future CMS pixel detector cooling system located in the Tracker Integration Facility clean room at CERN. This cooling system, hereafter referred to as *TIF*, employs the *2PACL* for evaporative CO₂ cooling. It allows active control of the coolant temperature, its flow rate and the heat load applied to it.

TIF consists of a membrane pump routing liquid CO₂ through a concentric transfer line to a manifold where the flow is split and can be heated. *Dummy Load* heaters represent the detector heat load, evaporating the CO₂ in the manifold. An accumulator containing 2-phase fluid on the return line of the manifold regulates the pressure set point using an array of heaters and a heat exchanger. A freon chiller cools the accumulator and the condenser at the pump inlet.

A full Process and Instrumentation Diagram is given in Appendix ??.

3.1.1 Apparatus

The TIF cooling system services 8 cooling loops in the manifold and includes several bypasses, heaters and instrumentation that are mostly relevant to the start-up procedure and are configured using a series of pneumatic, manual and electro-mechanical valves. The plant was configured the same way for all tests: with all bypass loops closed, and a single loop, number 7424, open in the manifold. This configuration ensured all fluid leaving the plant passed through this loop and the Optimass instrument, and allows the experimental apparatus to be represented by a simplified P & I diagram given in figure ??.

The Optimass instrument was mounted using three pipe clamps on a test stand close to the *TIF* manifold. Following guidance from Krohne, it was mounted upside-down, with the electronics module closer to the floor. The instrument's discharge was connected with ?? inch VCR fittings through a flexible ?? mm pipe to the return lines of loop 7424 in the *TIF* manifold. On the supply side, the instrument was connected using ?? VCR fittings directly to the discharge of the heating section. The heating section of loop 7424 consists




Figure 3.1: The TIF cooling plant[L] and dummy load heater [R]. [?]




Figure 3.2: A simplified process and instrumentation diagram of the TIF cooling plant in the configuration relevant to the tests, displaying only relevant instrumentation. A diagram of the whole TIF is given in appendix A

of a ?? mm vertical pipe welded to two tee unions. Two cartridge heater inside ?? mm pipes are axially inserted into the pipe through the tee fittings. The flow enters the heating section radially with respect to the heaters through the lower tee union. It is forced into an annular shape encircling the heater volume, and flows upward to the discharge tee-union. It then enters a short unobstructed circular series of fittings before reaching the Optimass instrument. Guidance from Krohne engineers and the instrument documentation indicated that the inlet flow conditions would be satisfactory. The vacuum-insulated instrument, as well as all exposed piping, was covered with a 20 mm layer of *Armaflex* insulation to mitigate heat pick-up. All fittings were leak tested at 50 bar gas using a CO₂ sniffer prior to testing, and the instrument's position and inlet conditions respected the guidelines given in Appendix, as well as input from Krohne engineers.

3.1.2 Control and Data Acquisition

All of the instrumentation on the TIF plant, the dummy load and the Optimass flow meter is cabled to a central PLC in the TIF clean room. Each instrument communicates a 4-20 mA analogue current signal, which is mapped by the PLC to produce a value in the correct units. All of the data is continuously logged to a server, day and night. The data is logged using a change or duration algorithm - logging new data only when one of the signals has changed. This produces a sampling rate of several Hz during transient conditions - meaning hundreds of thousands of data points over the 3-week test campaign. The Optimass sensor was configured by Krohne Italy to deliver mass flow, density and temperature signals through a 4-20 mA bus in the following measurement ranges:

- Mass Flow Rate: 1.4-115 g/s
- Density: 100.6 - 1200 kgm^{-3}
- Temperature: ????

The sensor was cabled to the same PLC controlling TIF and logging all of its instrumentation, and the signals were mapped to the values configured by Krohne. This approach streamlined control and post-processing by consolidating the plant, heaters and instrument on a single Scada interface, and logging the data in a single location at common timesteps.

3.1.3 Variables and Assumptions

The instrument's performance was to be assessed in relation to three independent variables:

- Coolant temperature - regulated by the accumulator set point.

- Mass flow rate - set by the pump speed and stroke.
- Heat Load - set by the heaters' rms current.

The coolant temperature set point determines the position along the vapour dome on a P-h diagram of the 2PACL cycle, and therefore the local pressure in the instrument. This influences the evaporative behaviour of the coolant, as well as the vapour quality for a given density. While a wide range of temperature was to be explored, the invariance of the temperature during a tests was an essential control variable.

The mass flow rate was set by the speed and stroke of the pump, but not regulated during tests. This meant that as the vapour quality of the coolant increased, the resultant increase in pressure drop decreased the effective flow rate for given pump conditions. The resulting fluctuations in true flow rate affect the trends plotted by nominal flow rate, but because flow rate is measured in real time, they did not effect error signal calculations.

The dummy load heaters are resistive cartridge heaters, whose power is controlled by pulse width modulation. The user specifies a Watt value on the Scada interface, and the PLC applies the correct PWM signal to achieve the demand power output. Heater control is open-loop, but their performance has been validated in the past.

A broad range of all three variables was explored. But because this experiment sought only to evaluate steady-state performance, transient data was to be minimised. The control variables were therefore closely monitored to minimise fluctuations.

3.1.4 Test Protocol

A test protocol was developed to ensure consistency of data. A change to any of the independent variables caused a significant transient response. But the process of fine-tuning the values and waiting for their transient reponses to settle differed for each variable. Tests were designed accordingly.

Variable	Control Method	Settling Time
Temperature	Accumulator Set Point	30-90 minutes
Mass Flow Rate	Pump speed and stroke	15-30 minutes
Vapour Quality	Dummy Load Power	5-15 minutes

Altering test conditions employed a nested approach. First, the coolant temperature was set in the accumulator. Then various heat loads were applied at a given pump speed to explore a range of vapour qualities at a given flow rate, before changing the pump conditions. A typical test segment: various heat loads at a given flow rate and temperature, is shown in Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3: A typical test. The steps in heat load are shown as vertical red lines.

3.2 Data Analysis Methods

A method, summarised in Figure 3.4, was devised for the export, pre-processing and process of data. This approach filtered through the hundreds of thousands of data points to reach a small subset of steady-state data during test conditions.

3.2.1 Export Pre-Processing

Including time, 12 signals out of the dozens logged in the PLC were identified as being key for this study. These are summarised in figure ??.




Figure 3.4: A summary of data processing and analysis.

Signal Type	Signal Name	Thermodynamic Symbol	Description
Optimass	FT7524	\dot{m}	Mass flow rate measured by Optimass 6400
Optimass	DT7424	ρ_5	Density measured by Optimass 6400
Optimass	TT7424	T_5	Temperature measured by Optimass 6400
Reference	FT3020	\dot{m}_{ref}	Liquid mass flow rate measured in plant.
Reference	PT7024	P_3	Manifold supply pressure.
Reference	TT7024	T_3	Manifold supply temperature.
Reference	EHDL1	\dot{Q}_a	Dummy load heater 1 power.
Reference	EHDL2	\dot{Q}_b	Dummy load heater 2 power.
Reference	PT7450	P'_5	Pressure at instrument discharge.

A page of plots tracking these signals was created in the Scada interface. Then, .csv data for each signal was exported by navigating to the time of a given test and exporting a 4 hour window of data. These .csv files for each day of testing were then pre-processed using modified MATLAB scripts developed by Bart Verlaat. Pre-processing involved merging the data from each test into a single database, handling empty cells and overlapping timesteps, and saving the cleaned data in a new database to be called by MATLAB.

3.2.2 Data Processing and Visualisation

With the key signals filtered to leave only steady state conditions, these were processed to assess the sensor's performance. The sensor was evaluated by comparing its readings to a combination of reference instrumentation and analytically computed reference conditions.

Analysis employed an theoretical MATLAB model to compute thermodynamic state variables in the sensor, and called a refrigerant simulation program called REFPROP to compute the local conditions of interest. REFPROP, developed by National Instruments, is a widely used program that simulates refrigerant performance using a combination of analytical and empirical methods.

3.2.3 Calculation of Reference Conditions

The local density and vapour quality in the Optimass sensor are calculated using the local pressure and specific enthalpy. A pressure and an enthalpy give the fluid's exact location in the vapour dome, and the vapour quality and density can be read from the chart, as shown in Figure ???. This is implemented using REFPROP. With the following syntax:

$$Q = \text{refpropm}('Q', 'P', iP_5 \text{ [kPa]}, 'H', ih_5 \text{ [J/kg]}, 'CO2')$$

The local enthalpy at the sensor, h_5 , is given by the sum of the manifold inlet enthalpy, h_3 and the change in enthalpy due to the heat load, Δh .

h_3 is determined with REFPROP from the inlet manifold inlet conditions (Pressure and Temperature in pure liquid), while the change in enthalpy is calculated from the heat load and reference mass flow rate.

$$h_3 = \text{refpropm}('H', 'P', iP_3 \text{ [kPa]}, 'T', iT_3 \text{ [K]}, 'CO2')$$

$$p = \text{Heat Load [W]}$$

$$\Delta h = \frac{p}{\dot{m}} \quad (3.1)$$




Figure 3.5: The analytic model for calculating local 2-phase conditions at the flow meter.

4. Results

5. Discussion

[1] [2] [3]

6. Conclusions


7. Future Work

larger flow meter, dedicated test rig, volumetric flow rate of gas phase? flow regimes, frequency domain analysis, flow meter positioning. real time reference condition calculation. flow velocity. test low flows using bypass. chiller management. definition of true performance envelope. two-phase signal what does it mean?

Bibliography


- [1] L. Cheng, G. Ribatski, J. M. Quibn, and J. R. Thome, “New prediction methods for {CO₂} evaporation inside tubes: Part i a two-phase flow pattern map and a flow pattern based phenomenological model for two-phase flow frictional pressure drops,” *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 111 – 124, 2008.
- [2] L. Cheng, G. Ribatski, J. M. Quibn, and J. R. Thome, “New methods for {CO₂} evaporation inside tubes: Part i a two-phase flow pattern map and a flow pattern based phenomenological model for two-phase flow frictional pressure drops,” *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 124–144, 2009.
- [3] L. Cheng, G. Ribatski, J. M. Quibn, and J. R. Thome, “New prediction for {CO₂} evaporation inside tubes: Part i a two-phase flow pattern map and a flow pattern based phenomenological model for two-phase flow frictional pressure drops,” *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 111 – 124, 2008.

A. The TIF Cooling System



Note:
labeling as on BPIX system at p5
Nomenclature changed (9xxx to 3xxx)
according to PVSS software

Designed by	Checked by	Approved by	Date	Modified by	Date
J. V. H. B.			2013-06-20	L. F. Z. H. B.	2013-06-24
CERN - CMS					
CMS TIF CO2 Cooling Plant					
EDMS 1295530					
Version 1.1.1					



B. Krohne Calibration and Sizing Documents

KROHNE KROHNE Ltd
Wellingborough UK

OPTIMASS 6400C S08
Manufactured: 2015-02-26
S/N: G150000007100376
TAG:



Primary -1/60 barg -70/230C
Secondary -1/100 barg -70/20C
Not certified

PED/G1

Wetted Material: 316/L

See handbook for additional application conditions
See calibration certificate for calibration details

KROHNE KROHNE Ltd
Wellingborough UK

OPTIMASS 6400C S08

Wetted Material: 316/L
Manufactured: 2015-02-26
S/N: G150000007100376
TAG:
Electronics Revision: ER1.0.5
CG: CG330814AA HART®



VE714S0AC0K000000G03000
VE5344106200104AA000060
12 - 24 VDC 12 W

Primary -1/60 barg -70/230C
Secondary -1/100 barg -70/20C
Not certified

PED/G1

⚠ DO NOT OPEN WHEN ENERGISED

See sticker inside terminal cover for output connections and parameters
See handbook for additional application conditions
See calibration certificate for calibration details
Protection Class: IP66/67

S/N: G150000007100376 CG: CG330814AA A = Active P = Passive NC = Not connected		TEMP		DENSITY		FLOW	
POWER	PE (FE) L(L+) N(L-)	PULSE OUT / STATUS OUT Imax = 100 mA@f<=10 Hz; V0 = 20 mA@f<=12 kHz Vo = 1.5 V @ 10 mA; Vnom = 24 VDC					
		CURRENT OUT (HART)					
		CURRENT OUT					
		CURRENT OUT					
INPUT / OUTPUT	D- D C- C B- B A+ A- A	I <= 22 mA; RLmax = 1 kohm					
		I <= 22 mA; RLmax = 1 kohm					
		I <= 22 mA; RLmax = 1 kohm					
		I <= 22 mA; RLmax = 1 kohm					

KROHNE CE

KROHNE Ltd Wellingborough UK
S/N: G150000007100376

KROHNE KROHNE Ltd
Wellingborough UK

OPTIMASS 6400C S08

Wetted Material: 316/L
Manufactured: 2015-02-26
S/N: G150000007100376
TAG:
Electronics Revision: ER1.0.5
CG: CG330814AA HART®



VE714S0AC0K000000G03000
VE5344106200104AA000060
12 - 24 VDC 12 W

DO NOT OPEN WHEN ENERGISED

See sticker inside terminal cover for output connections and parameters
See handbook for additional application conditions
See calibration certificate for calibration details
Protection Class: IP67

Primary -1/60 barg -70/230C PED/G1
Secondary -1/100 barg -70/20C
Not certified

C TEMPERATURE 4 mA = 0°C 20 mA = -15°C
A FLOW 4 mA = 1.4 gr/sec 20 mA = 150 gr/s
B DENSITY 4 mA = 0 Kg/l 20 mA = 1.005 Kg/l

► OPTIMASS 6000 - H 08

KROSS Sizing

2014-12-18

Project

Project	cern
---------	------


Fluid

Aggregate state	Formula	Fluid
liquid	CO2	Carbondioxide (liquid)

Process data

	Minimal	Nominal	Maximal	Unit	
Density (operational)		1.005		kg/l	
Viscosity		0.128		mPa.s	
Temperature		-15.0		°C	
Pressure (gauge)		20.0		bar	
Flow rate	1.4	30.0	250.0	g/s	(Mass)

Velocity




Flow rate [g/s]	Velocity [m/s]
1.389	0.0564
1.4	0.0568
20.0	0.812
30.0	1.218
45.0	1.827
72.5	2.943
100.0	4.06
127.5	5.176
155.0	6.293
182.5	7.409
210.0	8.526
237.5	9.642
250.0	10.15

C. Dummy Load Heater Module Mechanical Design

DESSIN - RUGOSITE - TOLERANCES
SELON NORMES ISO
DRAWING - RUGOSITY - TOLERANCES
ACCORDING TO ISO STANDARDS




ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR
LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE
EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH
CERN
Le dessin ne peut être utilisé à des fins commerciales sans autorisation écrite
This drawing may not be used for commercial purposes without written authorization




Front view
Scale 1:5



Section view A-A
Scale 1:5



B
Scale 1:1



Isometric view
Scale 1:5


2	Heatre pipe	3			
1	CO2 pipe	2			
2	TEE	1			
QUANT.	DESCRIPTION	POS	MAT.	OBSERVATIONS	REF. CERN
	ENS/ASS			S. ENS/ S. ASS	
NEW HEATER DESIGN				ECHELLE SCALE	DES/DRA. T. KATOPODIS 2013-09-25
				CONTROLLED	
				RELEASED	
				APPROVED	
				\\	
				REPLACE/REPLACES	
NON VALABLE POUR EXECUTION NOT VALID FOR EXECUTION			QAC		SIZE IND.
			-		3

DESSIN - RUGOSITE, TOLERANCES
SELON NORMES ISO
DRAWING - RUGOSITY, TOLERANCES
ACCORDING TO ISO STANDARDS




ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR
LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE
EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR
NUCLEAR RESEARCH
CERN
Le dessin ne peut être utilisé à des fins commerciales sans autorisation écrite
This drawing may not be used for commercial purposes without written authorisation


Front view
Scale 1:3



Section view A-A
Scale 1:3



Section view B
Scale 2:1




1	Heater tube cap	2			
1	Heater tube	1			
QUANT.	DESCRIPTION	POS	MAT.	OBSERVATIONS	REF. CERN
	ENS/ASS			S. ENS/ S. ASS	
HEATER PIPE				ECHELLE SCALE	DES/DRA. T. KATOPODIS 2013-09-25
				CONTROLLED	
				RELEASED	
				APPROVED	
				REPLACE/REPLACES	
NON VALABLE POUR EXECUTION NOT VALID FOR EXECUTION		OAC	-	SIZE	IND.
				3	


DESSIN - RUGOSITE - TOLERANCES
SELON NORMES ISO
DRAWING - RUGOSITY - TOLERANCES
ACCORDING TO ISO STANDARDS




ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR
LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE
EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH
GENEVE
LE DESSIN NE PEUT ETRE UTILISE A DES FINS COMMERCIALES SANS AUTORISATION ECRITE
THIS DRAWING MAY NOT BE USED FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES WITHOUT WRITTEN AUTHORISATION



Front view
Scale 1:4



Section view A-A
Scale 1:4



Section view B
Scale 1:1

1	C02 pipe	2			
2	TEE	1			
QUANT.	DESCRIPTION	POS	MAT.	OBSERVATIONS	REF. CERN
	ENS/ASS			S. ENS/ S. ASS	
C02 PIPE WELDED ON TEE				ECHELLE SCALE	DES/DRA. T. KATOPODIS 2013-09-25
				CONTROLLED	
				RELEASED	
				APPROVED	
				\\	
				REPLACE/REPLACES	
	NON VALABLE POUR EXECUTION NOT VALID FOR EXECUTION		QAC -		SIZE IND. 3

D. MATLAB Code

E. Raw Data