We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** — it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over - because it's clear what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**. We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to **compete** in the world. When **China** is going through an educational **renaissance**, when **India** is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, **too**, if we want to **mend** our broken society. Because education doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** ال المرابع الم or by **play**? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now **over** – because it's **clear** what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**.

what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've  $^{
m H}$   $^{
m H}$  been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get on with it – and we don't have any time to lose

been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear What works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations If the complete state of the complete state of the complete state of the complete state of the conomic science and complete state of the complete state of the conomic states of doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, **too**, if we want to **mend** our broken society. Because education  $\mathcal{H}$ We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**.

or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to is churning out **science** graduates **any** complacency right **now** would be completely **fatal** to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**.

who.

be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class **education** for every **child**. Now, of course, **everyone**'s **agreed** about that. But the trouble is for **years** we've been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** the been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** or by **play**? **Elitism** or all winning **prizes**? Frankly, I think these debates are now **over** – because it's **clear** or by **play**? **Elitism** or all winning **prizes**? Freedom for **schools** works. Having high **expectations** H doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic H

We want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**. ance of itious if the in India conomic conomic diffe, to diffe, to rest-class trs. we've trs we've trs we've trs we've trs clear trs. clear

been **bogged down** in a **great** debate about how we **get** there. **Standards** or **structures**? Learning by **rote** or by **play**? **Elitism** or all winning **prizes**? Frankly, **I** think these debates are now **over** – because it's **clear**.  $\mu$   $\mu$   $\mu$  what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've t t doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class  $\mu$  prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, **too**, if we want to **mend** our broken society. Because education  $\frac{l}{l}$  is churning out **science** graduates **any** complacency right **now** would be completely **fatal** to our economic |J| | |J| | We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if  $\mu$   $\iota$  we want to **compete** in the world. When **China** is going through an educational **renaissance**, when **India**  $\mu$  works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**.

what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, **too**, if we want to **mend** our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it – and we don't have any time to lose.

or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living - it gives them the character to live a good life, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it – and we don't have any time to lose.

or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over - because it's clear what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living — it gives them the character to live a good life, to be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it - and we don't have any time to lose.

W M Marks. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations H H education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class H H is churning out **science** graduates **any** complacency right **now** would be completely **fatal** to our economic prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education We want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India  $\mathbf{H}$   $\mathbf{H}$   $\mathbf{H}$  We want to want to create an **education** system based on **real** excellence, with a **complete** intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if works. So **now**, frankly, we've got to get **on** with it – and we don't have any time to **lose**.

We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it – and we don't have any time to lose. or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over - because it's clear been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic we want to **compete** in the world. When **China** is going through an educational **renaissance**, when **India** education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to

The first one seemed easier

what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, Think these debates are now over - because it's clear education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've be good cifizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it - and we don't have any time to lose been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if

works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it - and we don't have any time to lose what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over - because it's clear been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've be good citizens. So for the future of our economy, and for the future of our society, we need a first-class doesn't just give people the **tools** to make a good **living** – it gives them the **character** to live a good **life**, to prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic we want to **compete** in the world. When **China** is going through an educational **renaissance**, when **India** failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of

Market Sale

make fewer

works. So now, frankly, we've got to get on with it - and we don't have any time to lose. what works. Discipline works. Rigour works. Freedom for schools works. Having high expectations or by play? Elitism or all winning prizes? Frankly, I think these debates are now over – because it's clear been bogged down in a great debate about how we get there. Standards or structures? Learning by rote education for every child. Now, of course, everyone's agreed about that. But the trouble is for years we've be good **citizens**. So for the future of our **economy**, and for the future of our **society**, we need a first-class doesn't just give people the tools to make a good living – it gives them the character to live a good life, to prospects. And we've got to be ambitious, too, if we want to mend our broken society. Because education is churning out science graduates any complacency right now would be completely fatal to our economic we want to compete in the world. When China is going through an educational renaissance, when India failure. Yes, this is ambitious. But, frankly, today we've got to be ambitious. We've got to be ambitious if We want to want to create an education system based on real excellence, with a complete intolerance of