

# ECJ Preliminary Ruling Request (VAT): *Pensioenfondsvoor Fysiotherapeuten* (Case C-642/22) – Gelderland District Court Submits Referral on Whether Pension Fund Can Be Classified As Special Investment Fund for VAT Exemption Purposes

30 January 2023

Report from Fernanda Santos Moura, VAT Associate, IBFD

On 30 January 2023, a preliminary ruling request lodged on 12 October 2022 was published in the OJEU. By this, the Rechtbank Gelderland (District Court of Gelderland, the Netherlands) made reference to the Court of Justice of the European Union (ECJ) for a preliminary ruling in the case *Stichting Pensioenfondsvoor Fysiotherapeuten v. Inspecteur van de Belastingdienst Maastricht* (Case C-642/22) on whether unit-holders in a compulsory occupational pension fund for physiotherapists can be regarded as bearing investment risk, and if it means that the pension fund constitutes a special investment fund for the purposes of the exemption provided by article 135(1)(g) of the [VAT Directive \(2006/112\)](#).

The Rechtbank Gelderland requested the ECJ to answer the following question:

"Must Article 135(1)(g) of the VAT Directive [[VAT Directive \(2006/112\)](#)] be interpreted as meaning that unit-holders in a pension fund such as the one at issue in the main proceedings can be regarded as bearing investment risk, and does this mean that the pension fund constitutes a 'special investment fund' within the meaning of that provision? Is it relevant in that regard:

- whether unit-holders bear an individual investment risk or is it sufficient that unit-holders as a collective – and no one else – bear the consequences of the investment results?
- what the magnitude of the collective or individual risk is?
- to what extent the amount of the pension benefit depends also on other factors, such as the number of years of pension accrual, salary level and the actuarial interest rate?"