

ECJ Preliminary Ruling Request: *Belgian Association of Tax Lawyers and Others v. Premier ministre/ Eerste Minister* (Case C-623/22); Cour Constitutionnelle Submits Referral on Reporting Obligations of Cross-Border Arrangements and DAC6 Definitions

30 January 2023

Report from Dr René Offermanns, Principle Associate, IBFD

The Constitutional Court (*Cour Constitutionnelle*) made reference to the Court of Justice of the European Union (ECJ) on 29 September 2022 for a preliminary ruling in the case of *Belgian Association of Tax Lawyers and Others v. Premier ministre/Eerste Minister* (Case C-623/22) on the compatibility of the reporting obligation of cross-border arrangements under [Amending Directive to the 2011 Directive on Administrative Cooperation \[on reportable cross-border arrangements\] \(2018/822\) \(DAC6\)](#) with the [Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union](#).

The Constitutional Court requested the ECJ to answer the following questions:

"(1) Does DAC6 infringe Article 6(3) of the Treaty of the European Union and Articles 20 and 21 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and, more specifically, the principles of equality and non-discrimination as guaranteed by those provisions, in that DAC6 does not limit the reporting obligation in respect of cross-border arrangements to corporation tax, but makes it applicable to all taxes falling within the scope of Council Directive 2011/16/EU of 15 February 2011 on administrative cooperation in the field of taxation and repealing Directive 77/799/EEC, which include under Belgian law not only corporation tax, but also direct taxes other than corporation tax and indirect taxes, such as registration fees?

(2) Does DAC6 infringe the principle of legality in criminal matters as guaranteed by Article 49(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 7(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights, the general principle of legal certainty and the right to respect for private life as guaranteed by Article 7 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, in that the concepts of 'arrangement' (and therefore the concepts of 'cross-border arrangement', 'marketable arrangement' and 'bespoke arrangement'), 'intermediary', 'participant', 'associated enterprise', the terms 'cross-border', the different 'hallmarks' and the 'main benefit test' that DAC6 uses to determine the scope of the reporting obligation in respect of cross-border arrangements, are not sufficiently clear and precise?

(3) Does DAC6, in particular insofar as it inserts Article 8ab(1) and (7) into Directive 2011/16/EU, infringe the principle of legality in criminal matters as guaranteed by Article 49(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 7(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights, and infringe the right to respect for private life as guaranteed by Article 7 of the Charter of Fundamental

Rights of the European Union and by Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, in that the starting point of the 30-day period during which the intermediary or relevant taxpayer must fulfil its reporting obligation in respect of a cross-border arrangement is not fixed in a sufficiently clear and precise manner?

(4) Does Article 1(2) of DAC6 infringe the right to a private life as guaranteed by Article 7 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, in that the new Article 8ab(5) which it inserted in Directive 2011/16/EU, provides that, where a Member State takes the necessary measures to give intermediaries the right to a waiver from filing information on a reportable cross-border arrangement where the reporting obligation would breach legal professional privilege under the national law of that Member State, that Member State is obliged to require the intermediaries to notify, without delay, any other intermediary or, if there is no such intermediary, the relevant taxpayer, of their reporting obligations, in so far as the effect of that obligation is to oblige an intermediary bound by legal professional privilege subject to criminal sanctions under the national law of that Member State to share with another intermediary, not being his client, information which he obtains in the course of the essential activities of his profession?

(5) Does DAC6 infringe the right to a private life as guaranteed by Article 7 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, in that the reporting obligation in respect of cross-border arrangements interferes with that right of intermediaries and relevant taxpayers which is not reasonably justified or proportionate in the light of the objectives pursued and which is not relevant to the objective of ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market?"

Belgium; European Union - ECJ Preliminary Ruling Request: Belgian Association of Tax Lawyers and Others v. Premier ministre/ Eerste Minister (Case C-623/22); Cour Constitutionnelle Submits Referral on Reporting Obligations of Cross-Border Arrangements and DAC6 Definitions (30 Jan. 2023), News IBFD.

Exported / Printed on 9 Mar. 2024 by hkermadi@deloitte.lu.