

Machine Learning

Homework 1

Tunyu Zhang PB21081566

September 26, 2023

1. Exercise 1: Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

1.1 Solution

We assume that

$$u \neq \sup C$$

This means $\exists u' \in \mathbb{R}$, u' is an upper bound of set C and $u' \leq u$. We have already known that: u is an upper bound of set C and

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in C, \text{ such that } u - a < \epsilon \quad (1.1)$$

Now we let $\epsilon_0 = u - u' > 0$ (Because $u' < u$). According to 1.1 we know that:

$$\exists a \in C, \text{ such that } u - a < \epsilon_0 = u - u'$$

It means that:

$$u' < a$$

This is inconsistent with the premise that u is an upper bound.

1.2 Solution

Let $a_1 = a$ and $b_1 = b$. We divide interval $[a_1, b_1]$ into 2 intervals: $[a_1, \frac{a_1+b_1}{2}]$ and $[\frac{a_1+b_1}{2}, b_1]$. After that we let $a_2 = a_1$ and $b_2 = \frac{a_1+b_1}{2}$, so we get the second interval: $[a_2, b_2]$. And it is obvious that $[a_2, b_2] \subset [a_1, b_1]$. Then we divide the second interval $[a_2, \frac{a_2+b_2}{2}]$ and $[\frac{a_2+b_2}{2}, b_2]$, and we let $a_3 = a_2$ and $b_3 = \frac{a_2+b_2}{2}$ to get the third interval $[a_3, b_3]$. We keep doing this operation and obtain a sequence of intervals $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$, and:

$$\dots [a_n, b_n] \subset [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}] \dots [a_2, b_2] \subset [a_1, b_1] \quad (1.2)$$

Besides, $b_n - a_n = \frac{b_{n-1}-a_{n-1}}{2} = \frac{b_{n-2}-a_{n-2}}{2^2} = \dots = \frac{b_1-a_1}{2^{n-1}}$, it means:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n - a_n = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

According to 1.2 and 1.3, we know the sequence $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$ is a closed nested interval. The principle of nested intervals tells us that:

$$\exists \xi \in [a_n, b_n], n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \xi \quad (1.4)$$

In every interval $[a_k, b_k]$, we choose a element of sequence (x_n) as x_{k_1} . Sequence (x_{n_k}) is a subsequence of (x_n) . Note that $a_k \leq x_k \leq b_k$. According to 1.4 and **Squeeze Theory**, we know:

$$\exists c \in [a, b] \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = c$$

2. Exercise 2: Limit and Limit Points

2.1 Solution

Lemma 1. A bounded sequence has at least one gathering point

Proof: **Exercise 1: 2** tells us that a bounded sequence (x_n) must have a sequence that converges to c . According to the definition of Limit point, we know c is the limit point of (x_n) .

Sufficiency is obvious, the following proves the necessity.

Assume that sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ doesn't converge to \mathbf{x} . For $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there are infinite points outside the ϵ -neighborhood of limit point \mathbf{x} , denoted $\{\mathbf{x}_{n_k}\}$. Obviously, sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_{n_k}\}$ is also a bounded sequence, so it must have a limit point \mathbf{y} . And $\mathbf{y} \notin N_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})$. It means $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ has 2 different limit points, which is inconsistent with the prerequisite.

2.2 Solution

(1) It is obvious that $\forall x \in [0, 1] \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad N_{\epsilon}(x) \cap \mathbf{C} \neq \emptyset$, and $N_{\epsilon}(x) \cap (0, 1) \neq \{x\}$

For $x = 2$, $\exists 0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$, $N_{\epsilon_0}(2) \cap \mathbf{C} = \emptyset$, so $x = 2$ is not limit point.

To sum up, $\mathbf{C}' = [0, 1]$ and $x = 2$ is isolated point of \mathbf{C}' .

(2) Let the set of limit points of \mathbf{C}' be $(\mathbf{C}')'$. According to the definition of limit points, we have:

$$\forall p_0 \in (\mathbf{C}')', \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists p_1 \in \mathbf{C}' \quad \text{such that} \quad p_1 \in N_{\epsilon}(p_0)$$

Because p_1 is also the limit point of \mathbf{C} , we have:

$$\exists p_2 \in \mathbf{C} \quad \text{such that} \quad p_2 \in N_{\epsilon-d(p_0, p_1)}(p_1)$$

It means $p_2 \in N_{\epsilon}(p_0)$. In other words, p_0 is the limit of \mathbf{C} . Or we can say $p_0 \in \mathbf{C}'$. To sum up, \mathbf{C}' contains all of its limit points. \mathbf{C}' is a closed set.

3. Exercise 3: Norms

3.1 Solution

(a) It is obvious that $|x_i|^p \geq 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0$, and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = 0$. (Nonnegative and definite)

Next we show the homogeneous:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \|t\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |tx_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |t|(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |t|\|\mathbf{x}\|_p$$

Finally we show it satisfies the triangle inequality. Let's set $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

When $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p = 0$, according to non-negativity, it is trivial. When $\mathbf{x} = 0$ or $\mathbf{y} = 0$, the inequality holds obviously. So we just think about those cases that $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$.

We know that the absolute value function satisfies the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |x_i + y_i| &\leq |x_i| + |y_i| \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \\ |x_i + y_i|^p &\leq (|x_i| + |y_i|)^p \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i| + |y_i|)^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|(x_i + y_i)^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|(x_i + y_i)^{p-1} \quad (|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|) \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

We let $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ which satisfies: $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. From Hölder's Inequality for Sums we know $\|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}^T\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q$. Thus we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^p &= \|\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_q + \|\mathbf{y}\|_p \|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Note that $q(p-1) = 1$, so $\|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^{p-1}\|_q = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-1}$. It means:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^p &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-1} + \|\mathbf{y}\|_p \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-1} \\ \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p + \|\mathbf{y}\|_p \end{aligned}$$

(b) We let $M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$. $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = M + N$ and obviously $N \geq 0$. Thus we know $M \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_p$. So we can say $M \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_p$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_p &= M \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{M} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{M}{M} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= M \end{aligned}$$

According to **Squeeze Theory**, we can derive $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_p = M$.

3.2 Solution

(a) Let's set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \\ \mathbf{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Ax} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of 1-norms, we know:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m |y_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \\ \frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |y_i|}{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{in}x_n|}{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| |x_j|}{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|}{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$, we can derive:

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1} \leq \frac{M \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|}{\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|} = M$$

It is obvious that the range of $\frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1}$ is a nonempty subset of real numbers, so its supremum exists and its supremum is M .

So $\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1} = M = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|$.

(b) According to the definition of ∞ -norms, we know:

$$\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_n|\}$$

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

Let $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = |x'|$, and thus $|x'| \geq |x_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. According to triangle inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |y_i| &= |a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}||x_j| = |y'_i| \leq |x'| \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \\ \frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty} &= \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|y_1|, |y_2|, \dots, |y_n|\}}{|x'|} \\ &\leq \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{|x'| \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|, |x'| \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \dots, |x'| \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|\}}{|x'|} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \\ \|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty &= \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \end{aligned}$$

4. Exercise 4: Open and Closed Sets

4.1 Solution

(b) to (a): According to the definition of closed set: A set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed if its complement set is open, it is obvious from (b) to (a).

(a) to (c): Assume $\exists \mathbf{x}' \notin C$, it satisfies $\forall \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}') \cap C \neq \emptyset$. We let:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_k) &= B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}') \cap C \\ \exists N = 1, \text{ s.t. } \text{ when } k \geq N, \quad \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}'\| &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of limit point, we know \mathbf{x}' is a limit point. Because C is a closed set that contains all of its limit points, we can derive that $\mathbf{x}' \in C$. This contradicts our premise.

(c) to (b) Let \mathbf{x}_0 be the limit point of C^C . It means:

$$\exists(\mathbf{x}_k) \subseteq C, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, k > N, \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2 \leq \epsilon$$

In other words:

$$B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_0) \cap C^C \neq \emptyset$$

According to **(c)** we know:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 \notin C^C$$

Thus we can say: C^C is an open set.

4.2 Solution

(a) $\exists \mathbf{x} = 1 \in [0, 1]$. $\forall \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \cap \mathbb{R} \not\subseteq [0, 1]$. Thus $[0, 1]$ is not an open set in \mathbb{R} .

$\forall 0 < \epsilon < 1 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], B_\epsilon(x) \cap B \subset [0, 1]$, it is consistent with the definition of open set. Thus $[0, 1]$ is an open set in B .

On the other hand, $[0, 1] \setminus B = \{2\}$. $\forall x \in \{2\}, \forall \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(x) \cap B = \emptyset \not\subseteq \{2\}$. So 2 is not an open set in B . And according to the definition, $\{2\} \setminus B = [0, 1]$ is closed in B .

$[0, 1]$ is both open and closed in B .

(b) Let us first prove the sufficiency.

U is open in \mathbb{R}^n , it means:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in U, \exists \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$$

Because $C = A \cap U$, we have:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in C, \text{ it satisfies } \mathbf{x} \in U$$

According to the definition, we know:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \epsilon > 0, \text{ s.t. } B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) &\subset U \\ B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \cap A &\subset U \cap A = C \end{aligned}$$

C is open in A .

Next we prove the necessity.

If U is not open in \mathbb{R}^n , $U^C = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n : $\forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in U^C \cap A, \exists \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset U^C$. Let ϵ_0 is the largest ϵ that meets this condition. If we let $d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_0) = \epsilon_0$ and $\mathbf{x}_1 \in A$, we can know $\mathbf{x}_1 \notin U^C \cap A$. In other words, $\mathbf{x}_1 \in U \cap A = C$.

Because C is open in A ,

$$\exists \epsilon_1 > 0, B_{\epsilon_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \subset U \cap A = C$$

In other words, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in B_{\epsilon_1}(\mathbf{x}_1), \mathbf{x} \in U$. But we easily find a $\mathbf{x}_2 \in B_{\epsilon_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \subset U$, it satisfies $d(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_0) < \epsilon_0$. That is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_2 &\in B_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \mathbf{x}_2 &\in U^C\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts $\mathbf{x}_2 \in U$.

5. Exercise 5: Extreme Value Theorem

5.1 Solution

f is continuous, thus for $\epsilon = 1, \mathbf{x} \in C$, there exists a neighborhood of $\mathbf{x} \cap U_{\mathbf{x}}$, s.t. $\forall \mathbf{x}' \in C \cap U_{\mathbf{x}}, |f(\mathbf{x}') - f(\mathbf{x})| < 1$. $|f(\mathbf{x}')| < |f(\mathbf{x})| + 1$. In other words, there exists upper bound of $|f|$ in $C \cap U_{\mathbf{x}}$.

The set of open sets $\{U_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{x} \in C\}$ forms an open cover, which has finite subcovers: $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$. Let's set the upper bound of $|f|$ in $C \cap U_n$ is $|f(\mathbf{x}_n)| + 1$. There exists upper bound of $|f|$ in C : $M = 1 + \max\{|f(\mathbf{x}_1)|, |f(\mathbf{x}_2)|, \dots, |f(\mathbf{x}_n)|\}$.

Let's set y_0 to be a limit point of $f(C)$. There exists a sequence $(y_n) \subseteq f(C)$, and $y_n \neq y_0, y_n \rightarrow y_0$. Let's set $f(\mathbf{x}_n) = y_n$. Because C is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , there exists a point \mathbf{x}_0 that a subsequence of (\mathbf{x}_n) converges to: $\mathbf{x}_{n_k} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0$.

Because f is continuous, we have:

$$y_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\mathbf{x}_{n_k}) = f(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n_k}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) \in f(C)$$

$f(C)$ is closed and bounded, thus $f(C)$ is compact. According to the compactness, f can attain its maximum and minimum:

$$\begin{aligned}\exists \mathbf{a} \in C, f(\mathbf{a}) &= \max f \\ \exists \mathbf{b} \in C, f(\mathbf{b}) &= \min f \\ \forall \mathbf{x} \in C, f(\mathbf{a}) &\leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{b})\end{aligned}$$

5.2 Solution

Using the result of (5.1), we know $f([a, b])$ is bounded and closed. we can set the range of f is $[c, d]$. Besides, we know there exists some $x \in [a, b]$ that make f attain c and d .

6. Exercise 6: Basis and Coordinates

6.1 Solution

$\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ is a basis, thus $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V$:

$$\mathbf{x} = \beta_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + \beta_n \mathbf{a}_n$$

The coefficients (β_k) are uniquely determined by \mathbf{x} . We let $\beta_k = \gamma_k \lambda_k$,

$$\mathbf{x} = \gamma_1(\lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1) + \gamma_2(\lambda_2 \mathbf{a}_2) + \cdots + \gamma_n(\lambda_n \mathbf{a}_n)$$

6.2 Solution

\mathbf{P} is invertible, let's set $\mathbf{P}^{-1} = (p_{ij})$. $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_i &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ki} \mathbf{b}_k \\ \forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \mathbf{x} &= \beta_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \mathbf{a}_n \\ &= \beta_1 \sum_{k=1}^n p_{k1} \mathbf{b}_k + \cdots + \beta_n \sum_{k=1}^n p_{kn} \mathbf{b}_k \\ &= (\beta_1 p_{11} + \cdots + \beta_n p_{n1}) \mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + (\beta_1 p_{1n} + \cdots + \beta_n p_{nn}) \mathbf{b}_n \\ &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{b}_n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we know that $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ is the basis of V .

6.3 Solution

(a) Using the result of (6.1), we know $\gamma_k = \frac{x_k}{\lambda_k}$. It means the coordinates of \mathbf{v} is $(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{x_2}{\lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n})$.

(b) Under $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$, the coordinates is $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Under $\{\lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1, \lambda_2 \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \lambda_n \mathbf{a}_n\}$, the coordinates is $(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_n})$.

6.4 Solution

(a) $\mathbf{v} = y\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{c}$. Therefore the coordinates under the \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b} is $(-x, y)$. It is not unique. There may be other coordinates under other basis.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= (x' - z', y') \\ y' &= y, x = x' - z' \end{aligned}$$

It means: $\mathbf{v} = x'\mathbf{a} + y\mathbf{b} + (x' - x)\mathbf{c}, x' \in \mathbb{R}$.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x', y', z')\|_1 &= |x'| + |y'| + |z'| \\ &= |x'| + |x' - x| + |y| \\ &\geq |x' - (x' - x)| + |y| \\ &= |x| + |y| \end{aligned}$$

When $x' = 0$ or $x' = x$, it attains the minimum.

7. Exercise 7: Derivatives with Matrices

7.1 Solution

(a) Let $L = \mathbf{a}^T$,

$$\forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} = 0$$

Thus f is differentiable and $f'(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}^T$.

(b) Let $L = 2\mathbf{x}^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{x}_0 - 2\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} &= \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is differentiable and $f'(\mathbf{x}) = 2\mathbf{x}^T$.

(c) Let $L = -2(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - L(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) &= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &\quad + 2(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_0 - 2\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= -\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ &= -(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= -\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - L(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} &= \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)\|_2^2}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is differentiable. $f'(\mathbf{x}) = -2(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{A}$.

7.2 Solution

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $(\mathbf{X}_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a point, and let $L : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear transformation. We say that f is *differentiable* at (\mathbf{X}_0) with derivative L if we have

$$\lim_{\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_0; \mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{X}_0} \frac{\|f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{X}_0) - L(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0)\|_2}{\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_0\|_2}$$

We denote this derivative L by $f'(\mathbf{X}_0)$.

7.3 Solution

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{A}^\top$$

8. Exercise 8: Rank of Matrices

8.1 Solution

(a) Suppose $\mathbf{PAQ} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{I}_r, 0)$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Q}^\top = \text{diag}(\mathbf{I}_r, 0)$. Thus $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^\top) = r$.

(b) We let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{AB}$, $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ and the row vectors of \mathbf{B} be: $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ and the row vectors of \mathbf{C} be: $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m$.

$$\mathbf{c}_i = a_{i1}\mathbf{b}_1 + a_{i2}\mathbf{b}_2 + \dots + a_{in}\mathbf{b}_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

It means that the vector groups $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m\}$ can be represented by the combinations of $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$. Thus $\text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})$. On the other hand $\text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^\top) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

When \mathbf{B} is Identity matrix, the equal sign is true.

8.2 Solution

(a) Let's set the column vectors of \mathbf{A} are $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$. According to the definition of rank, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ equals the number of vectors in the maximal independent group of vector groups $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$, denoted by r .

Suppose the maximal independent group is $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r\}$, it is a basis of column space. And we know the dimension of a linear space equals the number of basis. $\dim(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})) = r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

(b) Suppose $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$, $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{Ay} = \mathbf{0}$. It means $\mathbf{A}(\mu\mathbf{x} + \lambda\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$. Thus $\mu\mathbf{x} + \lambda\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$. $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

We do elementary row transformation to system of linear equations $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ to get $\mathbf{Jx} = \mathbf{0}$. \mathbf{J} is a matrix in ladder form. And we know $\text{rank}(\mathbf{J}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = r$. The solution of $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ is:

$$\mathbf{x} = t_1\alpha_1 + \dots + t_{n-r}\alpha_{n-r}$$

It is not difficult to find $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-r}\}$ is linear independent. Thus $\dim(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})) = n - r = n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

9. Exercise 9: Linear Equations

9.1 Solution

(a)

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There exists unique solution: $\mathbf{x} = (1, 0)^\top$.

(b)

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There aren't any solutions.

(c)

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$. We can get $x_1 + x_3 = 1$ and $x_2 = 0$. It means there exists more than one solution.

9.2 Solution

Suppose the columns of \mathbf{X} are $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d)^\top$. $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$ can be written as:

$$w_1\mathbf{a}_1 + w_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + w_d\mathbf{a}_d = \mathbf{y}$$

The system of linear equations has solution $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} \in \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d \rangle \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})$.

The solution is unique $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$ are linear independent and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) = d$.

9.3 Solution

10. Exercise 10: Properties of Eigenvalues and Singular Values

10.1 Solution

Suppose $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}^\top\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}$. \mathbf{P} is orthogonal matrix and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is eigenvalue matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} &= (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}) \\ &\leq \lambda_{max}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \lambda_{max} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} &= (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{\Lambda} (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}) \\ &\geq \lambda_{min}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \lambda_{min} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A}) = \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}_0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}$.