# Functional Analysis

#### Adrian Perez Keilty

#### HTN10

## Problem 1 (p.251 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

Let  $H_1$  be any subspace of a Hilbert space H and let  $H_2 = H \ominus H_1$  so that every element  $h \in H$  can be represented as  $h = h_1 + h_2$ . Then the projection operator  $Ph = h_1$  is completely continuous if and only if the subspace  $H_1$  is finite-dimensional.

Proof

- Since  $P(H) = H_1$ , the image of P is finite dimensional and therefore P has finite rank which implies that P is completely continuous (theorem C).
- For convenience, suppose that  $H = l_2$  (no loss of generality since  $H \cong l_2$ ) and that  $H_1$  is infinite-dimensional. Then, since  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a base for  $l_2$  there exists a subset  $\{e_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{B}$  which is a base for  $H_1$ . Now, since  $\{e_j\}_{j \in J} \subset H_1$ ,  $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$  is fixed under P, i.e,  $\{P(e_j)\}_{j \in J} = \{e_j\}_{j \in J}$  and is a bounded sequence that cannot contain any convergent subsequence since  $||e_j e_k|| = \sqrt{2}$  for all  $j \neq k$ . Thereby P is not completely continuous.

## Problem 2 (p.251 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

The operator

$$A: l_2 \longrightarrow l_2$$
 
$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...) \longmapsto (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, ..., \frac{x_n}{2^{n-1}}, ...)$$

is completely continuous.

*Proof* As hinted, since every bounded set is contained in a sphere it suffices to check that the images of spheres are relatively compact, and by the linearity of A this reduces to the case of the unit sphere. For all  $x \in B_1(0) = \{x \in l_2 : ||x|| < 1\}$  we have

$$|(Ax)_i| = \left|\frac{x_i}{2^{i-1}}\right| < \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \implies \left[A(B_1(0))\right] = \Pi \equiv \text{ Hilbert cube}$$

Now, by theorem 11.3.3, a subset of a complete metric space (such as  $l_2$ ) is relatively compact if and only if it is totally bounded, i.e, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $\epsilon$ -net associated to it.

Now, given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose n such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and to each  $x \in \Pi$  associate the point  $x^* = (x_1, ..., x_n, 0, 0, ...)$  so that

$$\rho(x, x^*) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_k^2} \le \sqrt{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

The set  $\Pi^* = \{x^* : x \in \Pi\}$  is then a bounded set in a n-dimensional space so it is also totally bounded and thereby it possesses a finite  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -net which is in fact a  $\epsilon$ -net for  $\Pi$  since for all  $x \in \Pi$  there exists a  $\tilde{x}$  belonging to this  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -net such that

$$\rho(\tilde{x}, x) \le \rho(\tilde{x}, x^*) + \rho(x^*, x) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Thereby, A is completely continuous (see ex 5 p.98).

A sufficient condition on the sequence  $a = \{a_n\}$  so that  $Ax = (a_1x_1, ..., a_nx_n)$  is completely continuous is that  $\{a_n\} \in l_2$  since in this case given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$$

and similarly as before associate to each  $x \in [A(B_1(0))]$  the element  $x^* = (a_1x_1, ..., a_nx_n, 0, 0, ...)$  to obtain

$$\rho(x, x^*) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k^2 x_k^2} \le \sqrt{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

For all  $x \in B_1(0)$ ,  $||Ax|| \le ||a||$  so the set  $\Pi^* = \{x^* : x \in [A(B_1(0))] \subset [A(B_1(0))]\}$  is bounded and finite dimensional so totally bounded. Same as before, by taking a  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -net of  $\Pi^*$  we obtain a  $\epsilon$ -net for  $[A(B_1(0))]$  which proves it is relatively compact.

## Problem 3 (p.251 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

The integral operator

$$A \colon C_{[-1,1]} \longrightarrow C_{[-1,1]}$$
$$\phi(y) \longmapsto (A\phi)(x) = \int_{-1}^{x} \phi(y) dy$$

maps the closed unit sphere in  $C_{[-1,1]}$  into a non-compact set.

*Proof* See hint p.252. the sequence of functions in the unit sphere of  $C_{[-1,1]}$ 

$$\phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0 \\ nx & \text{if } 0 < x \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

is bounded ( $\|\phi_n\|_{\infty} = 1$ ) and the sequence  $\{(A(\phi_n))\}$  converges to

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0\\ x & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

which having a discontinuous derivative at 0 cannot be the image under the integral operator of any function in  $C_{[-1,1]}$ . If the domain was the set of all functions then the pre-image under A would be

$$\tilde{\chi}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \le x \le 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1 \end{cases} \notin C_{[-1,1]}$$
(3)

To reconcile this with Theorem 24.1.1, according to it, the operator  $(\tilde{A}\phi)(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \phi(y)dy$  would be completely continuous since the kernel K(x,y) = 1 is bounded and has no discontinuities. If we look back at the preceding sequence,

$$(\tilde{A}\phi_n)(x) = \int_{-1}^0 \phi_n(y)dy + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \phi_n(y)dy + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 \phi_n(y)dy = \dots = 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1 \in C_{[-1,1]}$$

# Problem 5 (p.252 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

a) A linear combination of completely continuous operators on a Banach space is itself a completely continuous operator.

*Proof* Let A, B completely continuous on a Banach space E and let  $M \subset E$  a bounded set. Then,  $\alpha M$  and  $\beta M$  are also bounded, so given  $\epsilon > 0$ , by hypothesis there exists finite  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -nets  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  of  $A(\alpha M)$  and

 $B(\beta M)$  respectively. But then  $\Pi_3 = \{x^* + \tilde{x} : x^* \in \Pi_1, \tilde{x} \in \Pi_2\}$  is a finite  $\epsilon$ -net of  $(\alpha A + \beta B)(M)$  since for all  $x \in M$  there exists  $x^* \in \Pi_1$  and  $\tilde{x} \in \Pi_2$  such that

$$\|\alpha A(x) + \beta B(x) - (x^* + \tilde{x})\| \le \|A(\alpha x) - x^*\| + \|B(\beta x) - \tilde{x})\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Thereby,  $(\alpha A + \beta B)(M)$  is totally bounded, or equivalently, relatively compact.

b) The set  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  of all completely continuous operators mapping a Banach space E into itself is a closed subspace of the linear space  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$  of all bounded linear operators mapping E into E.

Proof Since every completely continuous operator is linear and continuous,  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  is a a subset of  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$  and by the preceding section,  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  is also a linear space so it is a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$ . Furthermore, since every sequence of completely continuous operators  $\{A_n\}$  that converges in norm to an operator A, i.e,  $\|A - A_n\| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ , satisfies the property that A is itself a completely continuous operator (theorem 24.2.2), we have that  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  is also closed in  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$ .

## Problem 6 (p.252 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

a)  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$  is a ring when equipped with the usual operations of addition and multiplication of operators.

*Proof* We check the properties that need to be satisfied for addition and multiplication, namely:

- $-A + B \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$  (additive closure)
- -O + A = A + O = A where O is the zero operator (additive identity element)
- -A + (-A) = (-A) + A = O where  $-A(x) = (-1)A(x) \in \mathcal{L}(E,E)$  (additive inverse)
- -(A+B)+C=A+(B+C) since the sum in E is associative (additive associative property)
- $-A \circ B \in \mathcal{L}(E,E)$  composition is well defined in  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$  (multiplicative closure)
- $-I \circ A = A \circ I = A$  where I is the identity operator (Multiplicative identity)
- $-A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C$  (multiplicative associative property)
- $-A \circ (B+C)(x) = A(Bx+Cx) = A(Bx) + A(Cx) = A \circ B(x) + A \circ C(x)$  since A is linear (right distributive property)
- $-(A+B)\circ C(x)=(A+B)(Cx)=A\circ C(x)+B\circ C(x)$  addition of operators used (left distributive property)
- b)  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$ .

*Proof* Verifying the same properties as before we have that  $\mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  is a sub-ring of  $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$ . Moreover, given  $A \in \mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(E,E)$  we have that  $AB \in \mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  and  $AB \in \mathfrak{C}(E,E)$  (theorem 24.2.3).

## Problem 11 (p.253 Kolmogorov, Fomin)

An example of a completely continuous operator A mapping a Hilbert space H into itself, such that A has no eigenvectors:

(Hint p.253) Consider the operator

$$A: l_2 \longrightarrow l_2$$
  
 $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, ...) \longmapsto (0, x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, ..., \frac{x_{n-1}}{n-1}, ...)$ 

which is completely continuous since the closure of  $A(B_1(0))$  is totally bounded (use the exact same reasoning as in Problem 2 but given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose n such that  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , associate to each  $x \in []$ ). Then  $Ax = \lambda x$  implies that x=0 as described in the hint. To reconcile this with theorem 24.3.7 we conclude that a completely continuous operator on a Hilbert space with no eigenvectors cannot be a self adjoint operator of H.