1). Assume x is guaranteed to be the amount of coal booked at index D of the optimal solution list.

Let L be the optimal solution list of amount of coal boaded by adding x to an optimal solution with train station broation $S=\{S_1, S_3, \cdots S_n\}$

Suppose there is some solution L' that uses less amont of Coal than L. Since some optimal solution exists that uses X, we may assume L' uses X cus well.

This means that $L' \{ x \}$ uses less amount of coal than $L \{ x \}$, defined to be the optimal solution to the problem with train station $S = \{ s_2, s_3, ..., s_n \}$.

Honever, L'EX3 is also a very to bord minimum amont of coal, contraditing that the solution to the problem with train station $S=\{52,53...5n\}$ is optimal.

- 2). [2,0,2,0] total time = (2+2)x1=4.
- 3). [1,1,0,5,1,5] total time = (|+1+0,5+1,5)x1=4.
- 24) When C=2, x=25, and $S=\{0,10,20\}$ Algorithm A: [2.0.2] total time = C>+2>+2=+4Algorithm B: [1,1,0.5] total time = $(1+1+0.5)\times1=2.5$ The localing time of algorithm A is greater than the booking time of algorithm B.