high-potential-fifa-prediction-report

November 25, 2023

1 Predicting high-potential FIFA players using individual performance data

Merete Lutz, Jake Barnabe, Simon Frew, Waleed Mahmood DSCI 522, Group 17

```
[1]: import os
     import requests
     import warnings
     import zipfile
     import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     import altair as alt
     from hashlib import shal
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
     from sklearn.compose import make column transformer
     from sklearn.dummy import DummyClassifier
     from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer
     from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
     from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
     from sklearn.model_selection import (
         GridSearchCV,
         RandomizedSearchCV,
         cross_validate,
         train_test_split,
     from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
     from sklearn.naive_bayes import BernoulliNB, MultinomialNB, GaussianNB
     from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline, make_pipeline
     from sklearn.svm import SVC
     from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
     from scipy.stats import loguniform, randint, uniform
     from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
     from src.cross_val_by_model import cross_val_by_model
     from src.download_unpack_zip_extract_csv import download_unpack_zip_extract_csv
```

```
from src.plot_numeric_distributions import plot_numeric_distributions
from src.preprocessor import preprocessor

warnings.filterwarnings('ignore', category=FutureWarning)
alt.data_transformers.enable("vegafusion");
pd.set_option('display.max_rows', 200)
```

1.1 Summary

We attempt to construct a classification model using an RBF SVM classifier algorithm which uses FIFA22 player attribute ratings to classify players' potential with target classes "Low", "Medium", "Good", and "Great". The classes are split on the quartiles of the distribution of the FIFA22 potential ratings. Our model performed reasonably well on the test data with an accuracy score of 0.84, with hyperparamters C: 100 & Gamma: 0.01. However, we believe there is still significant room for improvement before the model is ready to be utilized by soccer clubs and coaching staffs to predict the potential of players on the field instead of on the screen.

1.2 Introduction

One of the most challenging jobs for sports coaches is deciding which players will make a positive addition to the team (US National Soccer Players, 2023). A key step in evaluating which players to add to a team is predicting how their skill level will change over time. We can think of this in terms of their potential. FIFA22 by EA sports is the world's leading soccer video game. For each year's release, they rate players' skill levels in various aspects of the game such as shooting, passing, defending, etc. and give each player an overall rating as well as a rating of each player's potential.

Here we ask if we can use a machine learning model to classify players by their potential given their attribute ratings. Answering this question is important as developing a model that can accurately predict the potential of players on FIFA22 could then be applied to the evaluation of soccer players in real life and be employed by coaches and scouts to help soccer clubs make good decisions on which players to add to the team and which to let go.

1.3 Methods

1.3.1 Data

The data used in this analysis are from the video game FIFA22 by EA Sports. The data were downloaded with authentication from Kaggle and without authentication from Sports-Statistics.com. Within documentation, these were were scraped from a publicly available website (https://sofifa.com/) with a permissive robots.txt.

Each row of the dataset corresponds to a single player, and contains biometric information, ratings for various skills, like shooting accuracy, passing, dribbling, and player wages and market value.

1.3.2 Analysis

The Radial Basis Function (RBF) Support Vector Machine (SVM) RBF SVM model was used to build a classification model to predict whether a player has high potential or not (found in the potential column of the data set). The variables included in our model were selected from the list of different player statistics that are part of the dataset, including the statistics on their speed,

dribbling, shooting etc. These are the variables that were used as features to fit the model. The hyperparameters gamma and C were chosen through the use of the automated optimization method from scikit-learn called RandomizedSearchCV. The Python programming language (Van Rossum and Drake 2009) was used and the following Python packages were used to perform the analysis: Numpy (Harris et al. 2020), Pandas (McKinney 2010), altair (VanderPlas et al., 2018), SkLearn (Pedregosa et al. 2011) and SciPy (Pauli Virtanen, et al., 2020). The code used to perform the analysis and create this report can be found here: https://github.com/UBC-MDS/2023_dsci522-group17/.

1.4 Results and Discussion

To look at whether each of the predictors might be useful to predict the class of the target variable potential, we plotted the distributions of each predictor from the training data set and coloured the distribution by class (Low: blue, medium: orange, Good: red, Great: green). These distributions are drawn up after we have scaled all of the features in the training dataset. In doing this, we can see that most of the distributions, for the features we have filtered to keep, have overlap but their spreads and centers are different, except for height_cm and weight_kg - which overlap almost completely across the different classes of potential - and value_eur - which has no distribution for classes other than great for potential. We chose to not omit these features from our model as they could still prove informative through interactions.

Through our model selection process, we were able to determine that the best model in our case would be an RBF SVM model. To determine the values for the hyperparameters that would give us the best estimator, we used the hyperparameter optimization method RandomizedSearchCV to perform a 5-fold cross validation, so that we are able to get the most suitable hyperparameters to obtain the best possible model to estimate and predict the class for potential.

We observe that the optimal hyperparameter values are C: 100 and Gamma: 0.01. The training accuracy obtained with these hyperparameters is 0.786. And the accuracy of our model is 0.837, i.e. it predicted quite well when run on the test data.

```
[2]: # Downloading and extracting the relevant file
url = "https://sports-statistics.com/database/fifa/fifa_2022_datasets.zip"
filename = "players_22.csv"
df_raw = download_unpack_zip_extract_csv(url, filename, path="data")
```

```
"defending",
                                    "physic",]]
     # Dropping observations with missing values
     df_processed = df_processed.dropna()
     # Binning the target class 'potential' into 4 categories
     df_processed['potential'] = pd.cut(x=df_processed['potential'], bins=[0, 67,__
      →71, 75, 100],
                           labels=['Low', 'Medium', 'Good', 'Great'])
     df_processed
                                                                          weak foot
[3]:
           potential
                        value eur
                                    wage_eur
                                              age height_cm weight_kg
                       78000000.0
                                    320000.0
     0
               Great
                                               34
                                                          170
                                                                      72
                                                                                  4
     1
               Great 119500000.0
                                    270000.0
                                               32
                                                          185
                                                                      81
                                                                                  4
     2
                       45000000.0 270000.0
                                                                      83
                                                                                  4
               Great
                                               36
                                                          187
                                                                                  5
     3
               Great 129000000.0 270000.0
                                               29
                                                          175
                                                                      68
     4
               Great 125500000.0 350000.0
                                               30
                                                          181
                                                                      70
                                                                                  5
                          70000.0
     19234
                 Low
                                      1000.0
                                                          180
                                                                      64
                                                                                  3
                                               22
     19235
                 Low
                         110000.0
                                       500.0
                                                                      70
                                                                                  3
                                               19
                                                          175
                                                                                  3
     19236
                 Low
                         100000.0
                                       500.0
                                               21
                                                          178
                                                                      72
     19237
                 Low
                         110000.0
                                       500.0
                                               19
                                                          173
                                                                      66
                                                                                  3
                                       500.0
                                                                                  3
     19238
                 Low
                         110000.0
                                               19
                                                          167
                                                                      61
            skill moves pace
                              shooting passing dribbling defending
                                                                         physic
                      4 85.0
                                    92.0
                                             91.0
                                                                    34.0
                                                                            65.0
     0
                                                        95.0
     1
                      4 78.0
                                    92.0
                                             79.0
                                                        86.0
                                                                    44.0
                                                                            82.0
     2
                      5 87.0
                                    94.0
                                                                    34.0
                                             80.0
                                                        88.0
                                                                            75.0
     3
                      5 91.0
                                    83.0
                                             86.0
                                                        94.0
                                                                    37.0
                                                                            63.0
     4
                      4 76.0
                                    86.0
                                             93.0
                                                        88.0
                                                                    64.0
                                                                            78.0
                      •••
                                                                    42.0
                                                                            49.0
     19234
                      2 58.0
                                             46.0
                                                        48.0
                                    35.0
                      2 59.0
                                    39.0
                                             50.0
                                                                    41.0
                                                                            51.0
     19235
                                                        46.0
     19236
                      2 60.0
                                    37.0
                                             45.0
                                                        49.0
                                                                    41.0
                                                                            52.0
     19237
                      2 68.0
                                    46.0
                                             36.0
                                                        48.0
                                                                    15.0
                                                                            42.0
                      2 68.0
                                                                            48.0
     19238
                                    38.0
                                             45.0
                                                        48.0
                                                                    36.0
     [17041 rows x 14 columns]
[4]: # Create the split
     fifa_train, fifa_test = train_test_split(df_processed, test_size=0.3,_
      ⇔random_state=123)
     fifa_train.to_csv("data/processed/fifa_train.csv")
     fifa_test.to_csv("data/processed/fifa_test.csv")
```

```
[5]: # Pre-processing
     passthrough_feats = ["potential"]
     numeric_feats = ['value_eur', 'wage_eur', 'age', 'height_cm', 'weight_kg',
            'weak_foot', 'skill_moves', 'pace', 'shooting', 'passing', 'dribbling',
            'defending', 'physic']
     # Creating the Column Transformer
     fifa_preprocessor = preprocessor(passthrough_feats, numeric_feats)
     fifa_preprocessor.fit(fifa_train)
     scaled fifa train = fifa preprocessor.transform(fifa train)
     scaled_fifa_test = fifa_preprocessor.transform(fifa_test)
     column_names = (passthrough_feats + numeric_feats)
     scaled_fifa_train = pd.DataFrame(scaled_fifa_train, columns=column names)
     scaled_fifa_test = pd.DataFrame(scaled_fifa_train, columns=column_names)
     # Saving scaled data
     scaled_fifa_train.to_csv("data/processed/scaled_fifa_train.csv")
     scaled_fifa_test.to_csv("data/processed/scaled_fifa_test.csv")
[6]: # Exploratory data analysis and visualizing numeric feature distributions.
      ⇔across classes
     eda_plots = plot_numeric_distributions(fifa_train, 'potential', ___
      →numeric_features=numeric_feats)
     eda_plots
[6]: alt.RepeatChart(...)
[7]: # Separating target from other features
     X_train = scaled_fifa_train.drop(columns = ['potential'])
     y_train = scaled_fifa_train['potential']
     X_test = scaled_fifa_test.drop(columns = ['potential'])
     y_test = scaled_fifa_test['potential']
[8]: # Looking for the best model for our data
     models = {
         "dummy": DummyClassifier(random_state=123),
         "Decision Tree": DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=123),
         "KNN": KNeighborsClassifier(),
         "RBF SVM": SVC(random_state=123),
         "Naive Bayes": GaussianNB(),
         "Logistic Regression": LogisticRegression(max_iter=2000, multi_class="ovr", __
      →random_state=123),
     }
```

```
[9]: # Conducting cross validation for each possible model
     results = cross_val_by_model(models, X_train, y_train)
     results
 [9]:
                                         Decision Tree
                                                                      KNN \
                              dummy
                  0.003 (+/- 0.000) 0.040 (+/- 0.001) 0.008 (+/- 0.000)
     fit_time
                  0.001 (+/- 0.000) 0.002 (+/- 0.000) 0.125 (+/- 0.002)
     score_time
                  0.276 (+/- 0.000) 0.822 (+/- 0.005) 0.567 (+/- 0.005)
     test score
     train_score 0.276 (+/- 0.000) 1.000 (+/- 0.000) 0.728 (+/- 0.004)
                            RBF SVM
                                           Naive Bayes Logistic Regression
                  1.176 (+/- 0.016) 0.007 (+/- 0.000)
                                                         0.058 (+/- 0.003)
     fit_time
                  0.526 (+/- 0.008) 0.002 (+/- 0.000)
                                                         0.002 (+/- 0.000)
     score_time
     test_score
                  0.751 (+/- 0.009) 0.570 (+/- 0.007) 0.705 (+/- 0.005)
     train_score 0.786 (+/- 0.002) 0.570 (+/- 0.002) 0.709 (+/- 0.002)
[10]: # Hyperparamater optimization for RBF SVM model as that model performed best
     param_dist = {
          "svc_C": [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100],
          "svc_gamma": [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]
     }
     pipe = make_pipeline(SVC(random_state=123))
     random_search = RandomizedSearchCV(pipe,
                                param_dist,
                                n iter=36,
                                n_{jobs=-1},
                                return_train_score=True,
                                random_state=123)
     random_search.fit(X_train, y_train)
     rankings = pd.DataFrame(random_search.cv_results_)[
          "mean_test_score",
              "mean_train_score",
              "param_svc__C",
              "param_svc__gamma",
              "mean fit time",
              "rank test score",
     ].set_index("rank_test_score").sort_index().iloc[:5]
     rankings
```

```
[10]:
                        mean_test_score mean_train_score param_svc__C \
      rank_test_score
                                                  0.836351
      1
                               0.811787
                                                                     100
      2
                               0.779678
                                                                     100
                                                  0.948357
      3
                               0.778169
                                                  0.876069
                                                                      10
      4
                               0.772132
                                                  0.785127
                                                                      10
      5
                               0.752095
                                                  0.759369
                                                                     100
                                         mean_fit_time
                       param_svc__gamma
      rank_test_score
                                   0.01
                                               1.649862
      1
      2
                                    0.1
                                               2.967779
      3
                                    0.1
                                               1.652927
      4
                                   0.01
                                               1.633298
      5
                                  0.001
                                               1.776910
[11]:
      pd.DataFrame(results["RBF SVM"]).T
[11]:
                         fit_time
                                           score_time
                                                               test_score \
      RBF SVM 1.176 (+/- 0.016) 0.526 (+/- 0.008)
                                                       0.751 (+/- 0.009)
                      train_score
      RBF SVM 0.786 (+/-0.002)
[12]: best_model = random_search.best_estimator_
      best model
[12]: Pipeline(steps=[('svc', SVC(C=100, gamma=0.01, random_state=123))])
     best_model.score(X_test, y_test)
[13]: 0.8374413145539906
```

1.5 Further Improvements

To improve our model further in the future, with the hopes of better predicting the potential of a player, there are a few improvements that can be made. First of all, we could include the growth of a player over the years, based on their performance in games. This would somewhat lead to us having a time-series dataset which we can use to create a feature that captures the growth of a player over the years. Second, we would include the effort that the player puts into their training. This can be a beneficial improvement that could lead to better predictive power in our model. Finally, we could include the reporting of the probability estimates of the prediction of the classes in for the potential of a player, so that a player scout knows with how much certainty a player might be classified as a Great player (for example).

1.5.1 References

US National Soccer Players. (2023). (rep.). How to evaluate soccer players. Retrieved from https://ussoccerplayers.com/soccer-training-tips/evaluating-players.

Harris, C.R. et al., 2020. Array programming with NumPy. Nature, 585, pp.357–362.

McKinney, Wes. 2010. "Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python." In Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, edited by Stéfan van der Walt and Jarrod Millman, 51–56.

Pauli Virtanen, et al., and SciPy 1.0 Contributors. (2020) SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python. Nature Methods, 17(3), 261-272.

Pedregosa, F. et al., 2011. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. Journal of machine learning research, 12(Oct), pp.2825–2830.

VanderPlas et al., (2018). Altair: Interactive Statistical Visualizations for Python. Journal of Open Source Software, 3(32), 1057, https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01057

Van Rossum, Guido, and Fred L. Drake. 2009. Python 3 Reference Manual. Scotts Valley, CA: CreateSpace.