

Code hosting platforms

Overview

This analysis is of Q8, “Where have you shared the code and/or hardware designs for your open-source projects?”

Import packages and utilities

```
project_root <- here::here() # requires that you be somewhere in the
# project directory (not above it)
# packages
suppressMessages(source(file.path(project_root, "scripts/packages.R")))
# functions and objects used across scripts
suppressMessages(source(file.path(project_root, "scripts/utils.R")))
```

Define functions

get_counts_for_platform_type: Given a broad category of platform, e.g. “vc hosting service”, return a df with cols platform, count, and prop. By default, prop is the proportion of total survey respondents who selected that option, but actually it just counts the rows of whatever data frame you drop in for total_df, and divides by that.

```
get_counts_and_props_for_platform_type <- function(
  pf_type,
  long_df = platforms_long_labeled,
  total_df = platforms
) {
  pfcounts <- long_df %>%
```

```

    filter(platform_type == pf_type) %>%
    group_by(platform, platform_type) %>%
    summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
    select(-platform_type)

pfcounsts <- pfcounsts %>% arrange(desc(count))

pfcounsts$platform <- factor(
  pfcounsts$platform,
  levels = pfcounsts$platform
)

pfcounsts$prop <- pfcounsts$count / nrow(total_df)

return(pfcounsts)
}

```

Load data

```

platforms_raw <- load_qualtrics_data("clean_data/hosting_services_Q8.tsv")
other_quant <- load_qualtrics_data("clean_data/other_quant.tsv")
qual_raw <- load_qualtrics_data("qual_responses.tsv")

```

Wrangle data

Bind the columns we're interested in.

```

platforms <- cbind(platforms_raw, other_quant$campus, other_quant$field_of_study)
# Rename cols
names(platforms)[ncol(platforms)-1] <- "campus"
names(platforms)[ncol(platforms)] <- "field_of_study"

head(platforms)

```

	Bitbucket	Codeberg	GitHub	Gitea	GitLab	Launchpad	SourceForge	Other	Zenodo
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	
4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Dryad	Figshare	OSF	Mendeley	Data	Vivli	Dataverse	Custom	Website	Thingiverse
1	0	1	1		0	0	0		1	0
2	1	0	0		0	0	0		1	0
3	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0
4	1	1	1		0	0	0		1	0
5	1	1	0		0	0	0		0	0
6	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0
	Article	Supplement			campus		field_of_study			
1			1	UC Santa Barbara			Math and CS			
2			0	UC Santa Barbara			Life sciences			
3			0	UC Santa Barbara			Humanities			
4			1	UC Santa Barbara			Math and CS			
5			0	UC Santa Barbara			Life sciences			
6			0	UC Santa Barbara			Math and CS			

```
nrow(platforms)
```

```
[1] 332
```

Discard rows from people who didn't answer the Q about platforms.

```
keep <- which(rowSums(platforms_raw) != 0)
platforms <- platforms[keep,]
nrow(platforms)
```

```
[1] 233
```

Create a long data frame and label rows with category of platform (platform__type). The fact that the row exists means someone selected that combination of variables.

```
platforms_long <- platforms %>%
  pivot_longer(
    cols = -c(campus, field_of_study),
    names_to = "platform",
    values_to = "flag"
  ) %>%
```

```

filter(flag == 1) %>%
select(-flag)

platforms_long_labeled <- platforms_long %>%
mutate(
  platform_type = case_when(
    platform %in%
      c(
        "GitHub",
        "GitLab",
        "Bitbucket",
        "Codeberg",
        "Gitea",
        "Launchpad",
        "SourceForge"
      ) ~
      "vc hosting service",
    platform %in%
      c(
        "Zenodo",
        "Figshare",
        "Dryad",
        "Dataverse",
        "Mendeley Data",
        "OSF",
        "Vivli"
      ) ~
      "data repository",
    platform %in% c(
      "Custom Website"
    ) ~ "custom website",
    platform %in% c(
      "Article Supplement"
    ) ~ "article supplement",
    TRUE ~ "other" # TRUE ~ is like "else", basically
  )
)

platforms_long_labeled

```

A tibble: 582 x 4

campus	field_of_study	platform	platform_type
--------	----------------	----------	---------------

	<chr>	<chr>	<chr>	<chr>
1	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	GitHub		vc hosting service
2	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	GitLab		vc hosting service
3	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	Zenodo		data repository
4	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	Figshare		data repository
5	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	OSF		data repository
6	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	Custom Website		custom website
7	UC Santa Barbara Math and CS	Article Supplement		article supplement
8	UC Santa Barbara Life sciences	GitHub		vc hosting service
9	UC Santa Barbara Life sciences	Zenodo		data repository
10	UC Santa Barbara Life sciences	Dryad		data repository

i 572 more rows

Qualitative responses

```
qual <- qual_raw$hosting_services_10_TEXT
qual_clean <- qual[nzchar(qual)]
qual_clean
```

```
[1] "PyPi"
[2] "CRAN"
[3] "stackexchange.com,webwork.maa.org"
[4] "R"
[5] "packages.debian.org"
[6] "Forgejo - FOSS Fork of gitea also git.lsit.ucsb.edu"
[7] "email diffs, bugzilla bug reporting"
[8] "github.berkeley.edu"
[9] "NIH"
[10] "google drive for my college"
[11] "Software Heritage, and local Github Enterprise Server"
[12] "Software Heritage"
[13] "Printables"
[14] "R-Forge"
[15] "gnu.org"
[16] "NIH Managed Data Repository"
[17] "nemar.org"
[18] "Higher Ed Community called SAKAI"
[19] "CRAN, PyPI"
[20] "sourcehut.org"
[21] "ARXIV"
```

```
[22] "Mailing list (x264), Direct to maintainer (Linux kernel)"
[23] "sourcehut"
[24] "Wolfram Mathematica notebook archive"
[25] "Private institutional Git repository"
[26] "CRAN"
```

I'm just going to manually tally the ones that I find interesting right here.

A private or institutional git server: 4

CRAN/R: 4

PyPi: 2

Software Heritage: 2

SourceHut: 2

Printables (similar to thingiverse): 1

R-forge: 1

Wolfram Notebook Archive: 1

Well, definitely some lessons learned for the next time we run this survey. I think the omission of PyPi/CRAN and private git servers was an oversight. We should note this as a “threat to validity”.

Exploration

First, I'd like counts for both individual platforms and broader categories of platforms: version control hosting services, data repositories, custom website, article supplement, other.

```
counts <- data.frame(colSums(platforms_raw))
names(counts)[1] <- "count"
counts <- counts %>% arrange(desc(count))
counts
```

	count
GitHub	222
Custom Website	71
GitLab	69
Article Supplement	35
Zenodo	34
Bitbucket	33
Other	26
SourceForge	18

OSF	14
Thingiverse	12
Dryad	11
Figshare	11
Gitea	7
Codeberg	6
Dataverse	6
Launchpad	5
Mendeley Data	2
Vivli	0

```
# Includes all platforms, not just hosting services
ordered_platforms <- rownames(counts)
```

Unsurprisingly, GitHub is very popular. Perhaps surprising, perhaps not, Custom Website is basically tied with GitLab for the second-most popular way to share code.

```
counts["GitHub","count"]/nrow(platforms)
```

```
[1] 0.9527897
```

```
counts["Custom Website","count"]/nrow(platforms)
```

```
[1] 0.304721
```

```
counts["GitLab","count"]/nrow(platforms)
```

```
[1] 0.2961373
```

Plots: vc hosting services

Get counts and proportions (of total respondents) for usage of each version control hosting service.


```
hosting_platform_data <- get_counts_and_props_for_platform_type("vc hosting service")
```

```
basic_bar_vc <- basic_bar_chart(  
  df = hosting_platform_data,  
  x_var = "platform",  
  y_var = "prop",  
  title = "Usage of version control hosting platforms",  
  ylabel = "Percent of Respondents",  
  show_bar_labels = TRUE,  
  label_position = "above",  
  label_color = "black",  
  percent = TRUE  
)  
  
basic_bar_vc + scale_y_continuous(  
  labels = percent,  
  expand = expansion(mult = c(0, .15))  
) # Expands y-axis by 15% on the upper end
```

Scale for y is already present.

Adding another scale for y, which will replace the existing scale.

Usage of version control hosting platforms



Save the plot

```
save_plot("vc_hosting.tiff", 10, 6, p=basic_bar_vc)
```

By campus

Now let's do the same thing, but including campus. Let's only include campuses that have at least 10 responses from experienced contributors.

```
campus_counts <- data.frame(table(platforms$campus))
campus_counts <- campus_counts %>%
  rename(campus = Var1, total = Freq)
at_least_ten <- as.character(
  subset(campus_counts, total > 10)$campus
```

```

)

ordered_campuses <- campus_counts %>%
  filter(campus %in% at_least_ten) %>%
  arrange(desc(total)) %>%
  pull(campus)

platforms_campus_long_valid <- subset(platforms_long_labeled, campus %in% at_least_ten)

# Reorder factor levels
platforms_campus_long_valid$campus <- factor(
  platforms_campus_long_valid$campus,
  levels = ordered_campuses
)

campus_counts

```

	campus	total
1	Other UC	19
2	UC Berkeley	26
3	UC Davis	29
4	UC Irvine	2
5	UC Los Angeles	40
6	UC Merced	8
7	UC San Diego	9
8	UC San Francisco	7
9	UC Santa Barbara	61
10	UC Santa Cruz	32

```
nrow(platforms_long_labeled)
```

```
[1] 582
```

```
nrow(platforms_campus_long_valid)
```

```
[1] 532
```

```
unique(platforms_campus_long_valid$campus)
```

```
[1] UC Santa Barbara UC Los Angeles    UC Davis                UC Santa Cruz
[5] UC Berkeley      Other UC
6 Levels: UC Santa Barbara UC Los Angeles UC Santa Cruz ... Other UC
```

Select only vc hosting services and get counts.

```
hosting_campus_counts <- platforms_campus_long_valid %>%
  filter(platform_type == "vc hosting service") %>%
  group_by(platform, platform_type, campus) %>%
  summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
  select(-platform_type)

hosting_campus_counts <- hosting_campus_counts %>% arrange(desc(count))

hosting_campus_counts
```

```
# A tibble: 32 x 3
  platform campus      count
  <chr>    <fct>    <int>
1 GitHub  UC Santa Barbara    59
2 GitHub  UC Los Angeles     37
3 GitHub  UC Santa Cruz      31
4 GitHub  UC Davis           26
5 GitHub  UC Berkeley        26
6 GitLab  UC Santa Barbara    20
7 GitHub  Other UC           19
8 GitLab  UC Los Angeles     12
9 GitLab  UC Santa Cruz      11
10 Bitbucket UC Santa Barbara    8
# i 22 more rows
```

Get proportion of respondents from each campus that selected each platform type.

```
hosting_campus_data <- hosting_campus_counts %>%
  left_join(campus_counts, by = "campus") %>%
  mutate(prop = count / total) %>%
  select(platform, campus, count, prop)
```

Reorder factor levels


```

hosting_campus_data$platform <- factor(
  hosting_campus_data$platform,
  levels = ordered_platforms
)

vc_hosting_campus_plot <- ggplot(
  hosting_campus_data,
  aes(
    x = platform,
    y = prop,
    fill = campus
  )
) +
  geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = "dodge") +
  ggtitle("Usage of hosting services by campus") +
  labs(y = "Percent of campus\nrespondents") +
  scale_fill_manual(values = COLORS) +
  scale_y_continuous(labels = scales::percent) +
  theme(
    axis.title.x = element_blank(),
    axis.title.y = element_text(size = 24),
    axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 60, vjust = 0.6, size = 18),
    axis.text.y = element_text(size = 18),
    axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
    legend.title = element_blank(),
    legend.text = element_text(size = 18),
    panel.background = element_blank(),
    panel.grid = element_line(linetype = "solid", color = "gray90"),
    plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0, size = 24, face = "bold"),
    plot.margin = unit(c(0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3), "cm")
  )

vc_hosting_campus_plot

```



Save the plot

```
save_plot("vc_hosting_campus.tiff", 10, 6, p=vc_hosting_campus_plot)
```

By field of study

Get counts of total (experienced) participants for each field of study.

```
academics <- subset(platforms, field_of_study != "")

field_counts <- data.frame(table(academics$field_of_study))
field_counts <- field_counts %>%
  rename(field_of_study = Var1, total = Freq)

field_counts
```

```
field_of_study total
```

```

1      Humanities      4
2      Life sciences   34
3      Math and CS     72
4 Physical sciences    27
5      Social sciences  10

```

```

# Total number of academic experienced contributors
sum(field_counts$total)

```

```
[1] 147
```

```
ordered_fields <- field_counts$field_of_study
```

Limit our data to just vc hosting services and academics, and get counts.

```

academics_long <- subset(platforms_long_labeled, field_of_study != "")

hosting_field_counts <- academics_long %>%
  filter(platform_type == "vc hosting service") %>%
  group_by(platform, platform_type, field_of_study) %>%
  summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
  select(-platform_type)

hosting_field_counts <- hosting_field_counts %>% arrange(desc(count))

hosting_field_counts

```

```

# A tibble: 22 x 3
  platform    field_of_study    count
  <chr>        <chr>          <int>
1 GitHub      Math and CS         70
2 GitHub      Life sciences       31
3 GitHub      Physical sciences    27
4 GitLab      Math and CS         21
5 Bitbucket   Math and CS         13
6 GitHub      Social sciences     10
7 GitLab      Life sciences        7
8 SourceForge Math and CS         4
9 Bitbucket   Physical sciences     3
10 GitHub     Humanities           3
# i 12 more rows

```

Get proportions from counts.

```
hosting_field_data <- hosting_field_counts %>%
  left_join(field_counts, by = "field_of_study") %>%
  mutate(prop = count / total) %>%
  select(platform, field_of_study, count, prop)

# Reorder factor levels
hosting_field_data$platform <- factor(
  hosting_field_data$platform,
  levels = ordered_platforms
)
hosting_field_data$field_of_study <- factor(
  hosting_field_data$field_of_study,
  levels = ordered_fields
)

head(hosting_field_data)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 x 4
  platform field_of_study count prop
  <fct>    <fct>         <int> <dbl>
1 GitHub  Math and CS         70 0.972
2 GitHub  Life sciences       31 0.912
3 GitHub  Physical sciences   27 1
4 GitLab  Math and CS         21 0.292
5 Bitbucket Math and CS    13 0.181
6 GitHub  Social sciences     10 1
```


```
vc_hosting_field_plot <- ggplot(
  hosting_field_data,
  aes(
    x = platform,
    y = prop,
    fill = field_of_study
  )
) +
  geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = "dodge") +
  ggtitle("Hosting service usage by field") +
  labs(y = "Percent of respondents in field") +
  scale_fill_manual(values = COLORS) +
  scale_y_continuous(labels = scales::percent) +
```

```

theme(
  axis.title.x = element_blank(),
  axis.title.y = element_text(size = 24),
  axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 60, vjust = 0.6, size = 18),
  axis.text.y = element_text(size = 18),
  axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
  legend.title = element_blank(),
  legend.text = element_text(size = 18),
  panel.background = element_blank(),
  panel.grid = element_line(linetype = "solid", color = "gray90"),
  plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0, size = 24, face = "bold"),
  plot.margin = unit(c(0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3), "cm")
)

vc_hosting_field_plot

```



Meh, not super interesting.

Save the plot


```
save_plot("vc_hosting_field.tiff", 10, 6, p=vc_hosting_field_plot)
```

Side note: when I saw this, I was a bit confused about the humanities, because it doesn't total up to 100%. The reason is that I'm not showing all options here, just the VC hosting platforms. So of the 4 humanities people, 3 use GitHub, and the 4th said "Article Supplement" only. In other words, I'm just showing what percent of people in this field ticked this option, so the numbers across options don't necessarily add up to 100%, because not all options are shown.

```
subset(platforms, field_of_study == "Humanities")
```

	Bitbucket	Codeberg	GitHub	Gitea	GitLab	Launchpad	SourceForge	Other	Zenodo
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
38	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
196	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
253	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Dryad	Figshare	OSF	Mendeley	Data	Vivli	Dataverse	Custom	Website	Thingiverse
3	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0
38	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	1
196	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0
253	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0

	Article Supplement	campus	field_of_study
3	0	UC Santa Barbara	Humanities
38	0	UC Los Angeles	Humanities
196	0	UC Santa Barbara	Humanities
253	1	UC Santa Cruz	Humanities

It might be interesting to show the broad category breakdown by field: vc hosting platform vs. custom website vs. article supplement?

Tables: custom website and article supplement, by field

```
subset(platforms, field_of_study == "Social sciences")
```

	Bitbucket	Codeberg	GitHub	Gitea	GitLab	Launchpad	SourceForge	Other	Zenodo
28	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
56	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

73	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
78	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
88	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
104	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
112	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
147	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
325	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Dryad	Figshare	OSF	Mendeley	Data	Vivli	Dataverse	Custom Website	Thingiverse
28	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
56	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
73	0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0
78	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
88	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
104	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
112	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0
147	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
325	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	Article	Supplement		campus	field_of_study				
28			1	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
44			0	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
56			0	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
73			1	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
78			0	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
88			0	UC Los Angeles	Social sciences				
104			0	UC Berkeley	Social sciences				
112			0	UC Berkeley	Social sciences				
147			0	UC Berkeley	Social sciences				
325			0	UC Berkeley	Social sciences				

Meh, I dunno. Maybe just custom website would be interesting.

Select only custom website, and then get counts.

```
website_field_counts <- academics_long %>%
  filter(platform_type == "custom website") %>%
  group_by(platform, platform_type, field_of_study) %>%
  summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
  select(-platform_type)

website_field_counts
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 3
```

	platform	field_of_study	count
	<chr>	<chr>	<int>
1	Custom Website	Life sciences	10
2	Custom Website	Math and CS	29
3	Custom Website	Physical sciences	6
4	Custom Website	Social sciences	2

Get propotion of total respondents in each field

```
website_field_prop <- website_field_counts %>%
  left_join(field_counts, by = "field_of_study") %>%
  mutate(prop = count / total) %>%
  select(platform, field_of_study, count, prop)

website_field_prop
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 4
  platform      field_of_study    count  prop
  <chr>         <chr>          <int> <dbl>
1 Custom Website Life sciences      10 0.294
2 Custom Website Math and CS       29 0.403
3 Custom Website Physical sciences    6 0.222
4 Custom Website Social sciences     2 0.2
```

```
# Also note the total proportion of academics who
# have shared code on a custom website
sum(website_field_prop$count)
```

```
[1] 47
```

```
nrow(academics)
```

```
[1] 147
```

```
sum(website_field_prop$count) / nrow(academics)
```

```
[1] 0.3197279
```

That's mildly interesting. On average, 32% of academics report that they've shared their code on a custom website. Math and CS people were almost twice as likely to share their code on a custom website than Physical Science or Social Science. Frequency for Life Sciences is in between.

```
website_field_prop %>%
  left_join(field_counts, by = "field_of_study") %>%
  select(field_of_study, count, total, prop)
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 4
  field_of_study    count total  prop
  <chr>          <int> <int> <dbl>
1 Life sciences      10    34 0.294
2 Math and CS       29    72 0.403
3 Physical sciences   6    27 0.222
4 Social sciences    2    10 0.2
```

What about article supplement, since we're here and it's easy?

Select only article supplement, and then get counts.

```
article_field_counts <- academics_long %>%
  filter(platform_type == "article supplement") %>%
  group_by(platform, platform_type, field_of_study) %>%
  summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
  select(-platform_type)

article_field_counts
```

```
# A tibble: 5 x 3
  platform          field_of_study    count
  <chr>            <chr>          <int>
1 Article Supplement Humanities         1
2 Article Supplement Life sciences      9
3 Article Supplement Math and CS       13
4 Article Supplement Physical sciences   8
5 Article Supplement Social sciences    2
```

Get proportion of total respondents in each field

```

article_field_prop <- article_field_counts %>%
  left_join(field_counts, by = "field_of_study") %>%
  mutate(prop = count / total) %>%
  select(platform, field_of_study, count, prop)

```

```
article_field_prop
```

```

# A tibble: 5 x 4
  platform      field_of_study    count  prop
  <chr>         <chr>         <int> <dbl>
1 Article Supplement Humanities      1 0.25
2 Article Supplement Life sciences    9 0.265
3 Article Supplement Math and CS     13 0.181
4 Article Supplement Physical sciences 8 0.296
5 Article Supplement Social sciences  2 0.2

```

Meh, not super interesting. Math and CS people are less likely to share their code this way than other groups. Not sure if this would be “statistically significant”.

Plots: data repositories

Get counts and proportions (of total respondents) for usage of each data repository. Limit it to academics, since these repositories are intended for scholars.

```

data_repo_platform_data <- get_counts_and_props_for_platform_type(
  "data repository",
  long_df = academics_long,
  total_df = academics
)

```

```

basic_bar_data_repos <- basic_bar_chart(
  df = data_repo_platform_data,
  x_var = "platform",
  y_var = "prop",
  title = "Usage of data repositories for sharing code",
  ylabel = "Percent of Academic\nRespondents",
  show_bar_labels = TRUE,
  label_position = "above",
  label_color = "black",
)

```

```


    percent = TRUE
  )

basic_bar_data_repos + scale_y_continuous(
  labels = scales::percent,
  limits = c(0, 1)
)

```

Scale for y is already present.

Adding another scale for y, which will replace the existing scale.



Save the plot

```
save_plot("data_repos.tiff", 10, 6, p=basic_bar_data_repos)
```

Quick sanity check

```
# Recall: total # of experienced academics
acad <- nrow(subset(platforms, field_of_study != ""))

# Academics who selected Zenodo
acad_zenodo <- nrow(subset(platforms, field_of_study != "" & Zenodo == 1))

# Total number of experienced nr staff
nrstaff <- nrow(subset(platforms, field_of_study == ""))

# NR Staff who selected Zenodo
nrstaff_zenodo <- nrow(subset(platforms, field_of_study == "" & Zenodo == 1))

acad_zenodo / acad
```

```
[1] 0.1836735
```

```
nrstaff_zenodo / nrstaff
```

```
[1] 0.08139535
```

8% of non-research staff have shared code on Zenodo. I'd bet these are probably library employees.

Data repositories by campus

Now let's do the same thing, but including campus. Let's only include campuses that have at least 10 responses from experienced contributors. We can use the `platforms_campus_long_valid` data frame we constructed earlier. Let's again limit our scope to academics.

Select only data repositories and get counts.

```
data_repo_campus_counts <- platforms_campus_long_valid %>%
  filter(platform_type == "data repository" & "field_of_study" != "") %>%
  group_by(platform, platform_type, campus) %>%
  summarise(count = n(), .groups = "drop") %>%
  select(-platform_type)

data_repo_campus_counts <- data_repo_campus_counts %>% arrange(desc(count))

data_repo_campus_counts
```

```
# A tibble: 25 x 3
  platform campus      count
  <chr>      <fct>      <int>
1 Zenodo    UC Santa Barbara    11
2 Dryad     UC Santa Barbara     7
3 Figshare  UC Santa Barbara     7
4 Zenodo    UC Berkeley         6
5 OSF       UC Berkeley         5
6 Zenodo    UC Davis            5
7 Zenodo    Other UC            5
8 OSF       UC Santa Barbara     4
9 Zenodo    UC Los Angeles       4
10 Dataverse UC Los Angeles       3
# i 15 more rows
```

Get proportion of respondents from each campus that selected each platform type.

```
data_repo_campus_data <- data_repo_campus_counts %>%
  left_join(campus_counts, by = "campus") %>%
  mutate(prop = count / total) %>%
  select(platform, campus, count, prop)
```

Reorder factor levels

```
data_repo_campus_data$platform <- factor(
  data_repo_campus_data$platform,
  levels = ordered_platforms
)
```




```

data_repo_campus_plot <- ggplot(
  data_repo_campus_data,
  aes(
    x = platform,
    y = prop,
    fill = campus
  )
) +
  geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = "dodge") +
  ggtitle("Usage of hosting services by campus") +
  labs(y = "Percent of campus\nrespondents") +
  scale_fill_manual(values = COLORS) +
  scale_y_continuous(labels = scales::percent) +
  theme(
    axis.title.x = element_blank(),
    axis.title.y = element_text(size = 24),
    axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 60, vjust = 0.6, size = 18),
    axis.text.y = element_text(size = 18),
    axis.ticks.x = element_blank(),
    legend.title = element_blank(),
    legend.text = element_text(size = 18),
    panel.background = element_blank(),
    panel.grid = element_line(linetype = "solid", color = "gray90"),
    plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0, size = 24, face = "bold"),
    plot.margin = unit(c(0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3), "cm")
  )

data_repo_campus_plot

```

Usage of hosting services by campus



Save the plot

```
save_plot("data_repos_campus.tiff", 10, 6, p=data_repo_campus_plot)
```

That's somewhat interesting. There are some differences between campuses.

TODOs Maybe: Three-way "venn diagram": How many people share code in a data repository only, vc hosting platform only, or both?

```
sessionInfo()
```

```
R version 4.4.2 (2024-10-31)
Platform: aarch64-apple-darwin20
Running under: macOS Sequoia 15.6.1
```

```
Matrix products: default
```

```
BLAS: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.4-arm64/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylib
LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.4-arm64/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib;
```

locale:

[1] en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8

time zone: America/Los_Angeles

tzcode source: internal

attached base packages:

[1] tools stats graphics grDevices datasets utils methods

[8] base

other attached packages:

[1] treemap_2.4-4	tidyr_1.3.1	svglite_2.2.1
[4] stringr_1.5.1	scales_1.4.0	readr_2.1.5
[7] pwr_1.3-0	patchwork_1.3.0	ordinal_2023.12-4.1
[10] lme4_1.1-37	Matrix_1.7-1	languageserver_0.3.16
[13] here_1.0.1	gttools_3.9.5	ggforce_0.5.0
[16] fpc_2.2-13	forcats_1.0.0	factoextra_1.0.7
[19] ggplot2_3.5.2	emmeans_1.11.1	dplyr_1.1.4
[22] corrplot_0.95	cluster_2.1.8.1	

loaded via a namespace (and not attached):

[1] tidyselect_1.2.1	gridBase_0.4-7	farver_2.1.2
[4] fastmap_1.2.0	tweenr_2.0.3	promises_1.3.3
[7] digest_0.6.37	mime_0.13	estimability_1.5.1
[10] lifecycle_1.0.4	processx_3.8.6	magrittr_2.0.3
[13] kernlab_0.9-33	compiler_4.4.2	rlang_1.1.6
[16] utf8_1.2.5	igraph_2.1.4	yaml_2.3.10
[19] data.table_1.17.6	knitr_1.50	labeling_0.4.3
[22] mclust_6.1.1	xml2_1.3.8	RColorBrewer_1.1-3
[25] purrr_1.0.4	withr_3.0.2	numDeriv_2016.8-1.1
[28] nnet_7.3-19	grid_4.4.2	polyclip_1.10-7
[31] stats4_4.4.2	colorspace_2.1-1	xtable_1.8-4
[34] MASS_7.3-61	prabclus_2.3-4	cli_3.6.5
[37] mvtnorm_1.3-3	rmarkdown_2.29	reformulas_0.4.1
[40] generics_0.1.4	robustbase_0.99-4-1	tzdb_0.5.0
[43] minqa_1.2.8	modeltools_0.2-24	splines_4.4.2
[46] parallel_4.4.2	vctrs_0.6.5	boot_1.3-31
[49] jsonlite_2.0.0	callr_3.7.6	hms_1.1.3
[52] ggrepel_0.9.6	systemfonts_1.2.3	diptest_0.77-1
[55] glue_1.8.0	nloptr_2.2.1	DEoptimR_1.1-3-1
[58] ps_1.9.1	stringi_1.8.7	gtable_0.3.6
[61] later_1.4.2	tibble_3.2.1	pillar_1.10.2

[64]	htmltools_0.5.8.1	R6_2.6.1	ucminf_1.2.2
[67]	textshaping_1.0.1	Rdpack_2.6.4	rprojroot_2.0.4
[70]	shiny_1.11.0	evaluate_1.0.3	lattice_0.22-6
[73]	rbibutils_2.3	httpuv_1.6.16	renv_1.1.4
[76]	class_7.3-22	Rcpp_1.0.14	flexmix_2.3-20
[79]	nlme_3.1-166	xfun_0.52	pkgconfig_2.0.3