

logistic_regression

December 18, 2025

1 Model Fitting

Now that we have processed the data, we can use the cleaned data to model and predict the chances of someone being approved for a loan.

Based on the EDA, we find that the factor that is most strongly correlated to the loan status would be the credit score of an individual. We also find that credit cards have the highest loan status approval rate among loan types. Among loan use cases, we observe that education and personal use cases generally have higher loan approval ratings, while debt consolidation and business reasons tend to decrease loan approval ratings.

Since the outcome we are trying to model is binary, $y_i \sim \text{Bern}(p_i)$, then a model that is well-suited to fit the data would be the logistic regression, as it is catered to fit data whose output is binary in nature. The output of a logistic regression is a probability value $\hat{\pi}_i$, which is not binary in nature, so to convert it to a binary value, we will implement a cutoff threshold, k , we then define $\hat{y}_i = I\{\hat{\pi}_i \geq k\}$, where $I\{x\}$ is the indicator function. To prevent overfitting, we want to use a train/test split and we will quantify the performance of our model by using the classification rate on the test set, where we define the classification rate as $\frac{I\{y_i = \hat{y}_i\}}{n}$. Since the cutoff value is arbitrarily defined, we can implement a grid search approach to find an optimal cutoff threshold that will maximize our classification rate.

2 Data Processing and Exploration

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from loan_tools.fittools import get_classification_rate, best_alpha
```

```
[2]: df = pd.read_csv("data/cleaned_data.csv")
df.head(5)
```

```
[2]:   age  occupation_status  years_employed  annual_income  credit_score  \
0   40             Employed           17.2           25579           692
1   33             Employed            7.3           43087           627
2   42             Student            1.1           20840           689
3   53             Student            0.5           29147           692
4   32             Employed           12.5           63657           630
```

	credit_history_years	savings_assets	current_debt	defaults_on_file	\
0	5.3	895	10820	0	
1	3.5	169	16550	0	
2	8.4	17	7852	0	
3	9.8	1480	11603	0	
4	7.2	209	12424	0	

	delinquencies_last_2yrs	derogatory_marks	product_type	\
0	0	0	Credit Card	
1	1	0	Personal Loan	
2	0	0	Credit Card	
3	1	0	Credit Card	
4	0	0	Personal Loan	

	loan_intent	loan_amount	interest_rate	debt_to_income_ratio	\
0	Business	600	17.02	0.423	
1	Home Improvement	53300	14.10	0.384	
2	Debt Consolidation	2100	18.33	0.377	
3	Business	2900	18.74	0.398	
4	Education	99600	13.92	0.195	

	loan_to_income_ratio	payment_to_income_ratio	loan_status
0	0.023	0.008	1
1	1.237	0.412	0
2	0.101	0.034	1
3	0.099	0.033	1
4	1.565	0.522	1

```
[3]: df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 50000 entries, 0 to 49999
Data columns (total 19 columns):
#   Column                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   age                   50000 non-null  int64
1   occupation_status     50000 non-null  object
2   years_employed        50000 non-null  float64
3   annual_income         50000 non-null  int64
4   credit_score          50000 non-null  int64
5   credit_history_years   50000 non-null  float64
6   savings_assets        50000 non-null  int64
7   current_debt          50000 non-null  int64
8   defaults_on_file      50000 non-null  int64
9   delinquencies_last_2yrs 50000 non-null  int64
10  derogatory_marks      50000 non-null  int64
11  product_type          50000 non-null  object
```

```

12 loan_intent          50000 non-null object
13 loan_amount         50000 non-null int64
14 interest_rate       50000 non-null float64
15 debt_to_income_ratio 50000 non-null float64
16 loan_to_income_ratio 50000 non-null float64
17 payment_to_income_ratio 50000 non-null float64
18 loan_status         50000 non-null int64
dtypes: float64(6), int64(10), object(3)
memory usage: 7.2+ MB

```

2.1 One Hot Encoding and Train/Test Splitting

```
[4]: df = pd.get_dummies(df, columns = ['loan_intent', 'product_type',
    ↪ 'occupation_status'], drop_first = True)
```

```
[5]: df.columns
```

```
[5]: Index(['age', 'years_employed', 'annual_income', 'credit_score',
    'credit_history_years', 'savings_assets', 'current_debt',
    'defaults_on_file', 'delinquencies_last_2yrs', 'derogatory_marks',
    'loan_amount', 'interest_rate', 'debt_to_income_ratio',
    'loan_to_income_ratio', 'payment_to_income_ratio', 'loan_status',
    'loan_intent_Debt Consolidation', 'loan_intent_Education',
    'loan_intent_Home Improvement', 'loan_intent_Medical',
    'loan_intent_Personal', 'product_type_Line of Credit',
    'product_type_Personal Loan', 'occupation_status_Self-Employed',
    'occupation_status_Student'],
    dtype='object')
```

```
[6]: train, test = df[:-10000], df[-10000:]
```

2.2 Model Fitting

Using the information from the preface, we can naively fit a model using the loan intent, product type, and credit score to see how our model performs.

```
[7]: y_train = train['loan_status']
X_cols_naive = ['loan_intent_Debt Consolidation', 'loan_intent_Education',
    'loan_intent_Home Improvement', 'loan_intent_Medical',
    'loan_intent_Personal', 'product_type_Line of Credit',
    'product_type_Personal Loan', 'credit_score']
X_train_naive = train[X_cols_naive].astype(float)

model_naive = LogisticRegression(C=1e10, solver='lbfgs', max_iter=1000,
    ↪ random_state=42)
model_naive.fit(X_train_naive, y_train)
```

```

classification_rate = get_classification_rate(model = model_naive, X_cols =
    ↪X_cols_naive, df = test)
print(f"Using our naive model, we achieve a classification performance of
    ↪{classification_rate[0]}%")

```

Using our naive model, we achieve a classification performance of 76.22%

We find that our naive approach produces a model that is correct about 76% of the time, however, we can definitely make a model that performs better.

2.3 Regularized Model Fitting

Regularization is a common technique used to impose a penalty, α , on our regressor coefficients, β , this penalty will help find regressors that are stronger for prediction. As $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, our $\beta \rightarrow 0$, helping reduce our model complexity and finding the strongest regressors. One issue that would occur is how do we find the optimal α such that we get the highest classification rate? To solve this, we can simply do a grid search to find the model that maximizes our classification rate. Since statsmodels only supports Tikhonov regularization, we will only test Tikhonov regularization.

```

[8]: best_model_l1, best_classification_l1, best_alpha_l1 = best_alpha(np.
    ↪logspace(0, 5, num=6), train, test, lp = 'l1')
print("At an regularization penalty of " + str(best_alpha_l1) + ", we achieve
    ↪the best classification performance with a performance of " +
    ↪str(best_classification_l1[0]) + "%")

```

At an regularization penalty of 1.0, we achieve the best classification performance with a performance of 86.78%

By implementing Tikhonov Regularization with a penalty of $\alpha = 1$, we managed to improve our classification performance from 76% to 86%, which is a significant improvement. We can then do a finer grid search to further optimize our model.

```

[9]: best_model_l1_fine, best_classification_l1_fine, best_alpha_l1_fine =
    ↪best_alpha(np.linspace(0.01, 1, num = 100), train, test, lp = 'l1')
print("At an regularization penalty of " + str(best_alpha_l1_fine) + ", we
    ↪achieve the best classification performance with a performance of " +
    ↪str(best_classification_l1_fine[0]) + "%")

```

At an regularization penalty of 0.01, we achieve the best classification performance with a performance of 86.78%

Based on our finer grid search, we find that the optimal $\alpha = 0.01$ does not improve our model performance.

```

[ ]:

```