

a) Write the Markov process in matrix representation, that is, define the matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  such that  $P_{ij}$  is the probability of transitioning from the node i to j.



In transition matrix, the left var represents the probabilities of transitioning from state 4 to 1,2,3. The 2nd var from state 2 to 1,2,3. And the 3rd var from state 3 to 1,2,3.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1:1} & P_{1:2} & P_{1:3} \\
P_{2:1} & P_{2:2} & P_{2:3} \\
P_{3:1} & P_{3:2} & P_{3:3}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.2 & 0.7 & 0.1 \\
0.2 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\
0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4
\end{bmatrix}$$

b) Compute theoretically the mean arrival time to the absorbing state and compare it with part a. To do so, notice that if  $T_i$  denotes the random variable associated to the arrival time starting from  $X_0 = i$ , then

$$\mu_i = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{ij} \mu_j, \tag{1}$$

with  $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}[T_i]$ . This is a linear system of equations that you can solve. Notice  $T_3 = 0$ .

Given our transition matrix P m mill set up and solve a system or equations to find  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Given  $M_1 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} p_{ij} M_j$ . Note that  $M_2 = 0$  as state 3 is the absorbing state.

For 
$$M_1$$
:  $M_1 = 1 + (p_1, M_1) + (p_{12}M_2) + (p_{13}M_3)$   
=  $1 + (0.2 \cdot M_1) + (0.7 \cdot M_2) + (0.1 \cdot 0)$ 

For 
$$M_2$$
:  $M_2 = 1 + (p_2, M_1) + (p_2, M_2) + (p_2, M_3)$   
=  $1 + (0.2 M_1) + (0.5 M_2) + (0.3.0)$ 

so no get a system of equations:

$$M_1 = 1 + 0.2M_1 + 0.7M_2$$
  
 $M_2 = 1 + 0.2M_1 + 0.5M_2$ 

Combining like terms and rearranging no get.

WE WILL FIRST SOLVE (2) For M2

$$0.5M_2 = 1 + 0.2M_1$$
 $M_2 = \frac{1 + 0.2M_1}{0.5}$ 

Thun plug  $M_z$  into  $0:0.8M_1-0.7\left(\frac{1+0.2M_1}{0.5}\right)=1$   $0.8M_1-1.4\left(1+0.2M_1\right)=1$   $0.8M_1-1.4-0.28M_1=1$   $0.52M_1=2.4$  $M_1\approx 4.415$ 

Now ping 
$$M_1$$
 into  $M_2$  to solve for  $M_2$ :  $M_2 = 1 + 0.2 [4.015) \approx 3.846$ 

And so me get  $M_1 \approx 4.615$  and  $M_2 \approx 3.846$ . We observe that the theoretical mean arrival times are consistent with the simulation results no detained in parta. Thus, confirming the accuracy of any simulation.