# Hierarchical Model for Antibody Kinetics: Revisions Based on Advisor Feedback

Kwan Ho Lee

**UC** Davis

2025-04-14

#### Overview

- Incorporates feedback from Dr. Morrison
- Aligns with Teunis et al. (2016, 2023) formulations
- Clarifies model parameter roles and their interpretation
- Assumes block-diagonal covariance structure across biomarkers

#### Full Model Structure

#### Two-phase within-host antibody kinetics:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \begin{cases} \mu_1 b(t), & t < t_1 \\ -\alpha y(t)^r, & t \geq t_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{with } \frac{db}{dt} = \mu_0 b(t) - cy(t)b(t)$$

Initial conditions:  $y(0) = y_0$ ,  $b(0) = b_0$ 

 $\mbox{Key transition:} \ t_1 \ \mbox{is the time when} \ b(t_1) = 0 \\$ 

 $\ \, \hbox{Derived quantity:} \,\, y_1=y(t_1)$ 

### Definition of Model Quantities

#### Parameters used in the dynamic model:

- $\mu_0$ : Pathogen growth rate
- $\mu_1$ : Antibody production rate (driven by pathogen)
- c: Clearance rate how effectively antibodies eliminate pathogen
- $\alpha$ : Antibody decay rate (governs speed of waning)
- r: Shape of antibody decay (nonlinear power)
- $y_0$ : Initial antibody concentration at t=0
- $b_0$ : Initial pathogen concentration at t=0
- $y_1 = y(t_1)$ : Peak antibody level computed at time of pathogen clearance

**Note:** Only the first 7 are estimated.  $y_1$  is derived from the ODE solution.

### Model Comparison: 2016 vs Our Formulation

Component	Teunis (2016)	Our Model
Pathogen ODE	$\mu_0 b(t) - c y(t)$	$\overline{\ \mu_0 b(t) - c y(t) b(t)}$
Antibody ODE (pre- $t_1$ )	$\mu y(t)$	$\mu_1 b(t)$
Antibody ODE (post- $t_1$ )	$-\alpha y(t)^r$	Same
Antibody growth type	Exponential	Pathogen-driven
Antibody rate name	$\mu$	$\mu_1$
$t_1$ formula	Uses $\mu$	Uses $\mu_1$

#### Note:

- ullet Antibody production depends on pathogen presence (b(t)), not constant exponential growth
- Pathogen clearance is proportional to both antibody and pathogen levels  $\left(c\ y(t)\ b(t)\right)$

### Hierarchical Priors - Subject-Level and Means

#### Subject-level parameters:

$$\theta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j), \quad \theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,ij} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1,ij} \\ \boldsymbol{c}_{ij} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ij} \\ \boldsymbol{r}_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### **Hyperparameters – Means:**

- ullet  $\mu_{j}$ : population-level mean vector for biomarker j
- Prior on  $\mu_j$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{hyp},j},\, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{hyp},j})$$

# Clarifying Parameter Roles

#### Why the confusion about number of parameters?

• The dynamic model contains 8 named parameters:

$$\mu_0, \mu_1, c, \alpha, r, y_0, b_0, y_1$$

- But only 7 are estimated the 8th  $(y_1)$  is computed.
- Let's break this down carefully.

### Classification of Parameters

#### **Estimated Parameters (7 total):**

• Core model parameters (5):

$$\mu_0, \ \mu_1, \ c, \ \alpha, \ r$$

Initial conditions (2):

$$y_0, b_0$$

#### **Derived Quantity (not estimated):**

 $\bullet \ y_1 :$  peak antibody level computed as  $y(t_1)$ 

# Time of Pathogen Clearance: $t_1$

**Definition:**  $t_1$  is the time at which the pathogen is cleared, i.e.,  $b(t_1)=0$  Analytic expression (Teunis et al., 2016):

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \mu_0} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\left( \mu_1 - \mu_0 \right) b_0}{c \, y_0} \right)$$

#### **Key observations:**

- $\bullet$   $t_1$  depends on  $\mu_0$  ,  $\mu_1$  ,  $b_0$  ,  $y_0$  , and c
- $\bullet$  Used to determine  $y_1=y(t_1)$  by solving the antibody ODE up to this point
- Not treated as an estimated parameter it is computed from model inputs

# Why It's a Seven-Parameter Model

- Our model estimates 7 parameters:
  - 5 core biological parameters:  $\mu_0, \ \mu_1, \ c, \ \alpha, \ r$
  - 2 initial conditions:  $y_0, b_0$
- ullet But we often talk about an eighth quantity,  $y_1$ , the highest level of antibody.
- So why isn't  $y_1$  counted as a parameter?

# Why $y_1$ Is Not Fit Directly

•  $y_1$  is the antibody level at the time the pathogen is cleared:

$$y_1=y(t_1) \quad \text{where } b(t_1)=0$$

- It is not an "input" to the model we don't estimate it with MCMC.
- Instead, we calculate it from the model:
  - We estimate parameters like  $\mu_1$ ,  $y_0$ ,  $b_0$ ...
  - Then we solve the ODEs to find  $t_1$  and compute  $y(t_1)$
- ullet In other words:  $y_1$  is a **derived output**, not a parameter being fit.

### How $y_1$ Is Computed

•  $y_1$  is computed by solving the coupled ODE system:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \mu_1 b(t), \quad \frac{db}{dt} = \mu_0 b(t) - cy(t)b(t)$$

- The solution is evaluated at  $t = t_1$  (pathogen clearance point).
- Therefore:

$$y_1 = y(t_1; \ \mu_1, \ y_0, \ b_0, \ \mu_0, \ c)$$

### Recap: What We Estimate

#### Seven model parameters:

- $\mu_0, \ \mu_1, \ c, \ \alpha, \ r$  (biological process)
- $y_0$ ,  $b_0$  (initial state)

#### **Derived quantity:**

ullet  $y_1=y(t_1)$ , not directly estimated

# Hierarchical Bayesian Structure

#### Individual parameters:

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{1,ij} \\ c_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \\ r_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \Sigma_j)$$

#### **Hyperparameters:**

- $\mu_j$ : population-level means (per biomarker j)
- $\Sigma_i$ :  $7 \times 7$  covariance matrix over parameters

# Subject-Level Parameters: $\theta_{ij}$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j), \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^7$$

Where:

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{1,ij} \\ c_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \\ r_{ij} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$$

Each subject i has a unique 7-parameter vector per biomarker j, capturing individual-level variation in dynamics.

# Hyperparameters: Priors on Population Means

#### Population-level means:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{hyp},j}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{hyp},j})$$

#### Interpretation:

- ullet  $\mu_j$ : average parameter vector for biomarker j
- $\mu_{\text{hyp},j}$ : prior guess (e.g., vector of zeros)
- $\bullet$   $\Omega_{{
  m hyp},j}^{(1)}$ : covariance matrix encoding uncertainty

#### **Example:**

$$\mu_{\mathrm{hyp},j} = 0, \quad \Omega_{\mathrm{hyp},j} = 100 \cdot I_7$$

# Hyperparameters: Priors on Covariance

#### Covariance across parameters:

$$\Sigma_j^{-1} \sim \mathcal{W}(\Omega_j, \nu_j)$$

- $\Sigma_i$ : variability/covariance in subject-level parameters
- $\Omega_i$ : prior scale matrix
- $\bullet$   $\nu_i$ : degrees of freedom

#### **Example:**

$$\Omega_j = 0.1 \cdot I_7, \quad \nu_j = 8$$

#### Measurement Error and Precision Priors

#### Observed antibody levels:

$$\log(y_{\mathrm{obs},ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\log(y_{\mathrm{pred},ij}), \tau_j^{-1})$$

#### **Precision prior:**

$$\tau_j \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(a_j,b_j)$$

- $\tau_i$ : shared measurement precision for biomarker j
- Gamma prior allows flexible noise modeling

### Matrix Algebra Computation

Let K = 7 (parameters), J biomarkers. Then:

$$\Theta_i = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{i1} & \theta_{i2} & \cdots & \theta_{iJ} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times J}$$

Assume:

$$\mathrm{vec}(\Theta_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{vec}(M), \Sigma_K \otimes I_J)$$

# Matrix Algebra - Simplified Structure

Setup: 
$$\Theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times J}$$

Model:

$$\mathrm{vec}(\Theta_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{vec}(M), \Sigma_K \otimes I_J)$$

- $\Sigma_K$ : 7×7 covariance (same across biomarkers)
- ullet  $I_J$ : biomarkers assumed uncorrelated
- Block-diagonal covariance

# Understanding $vec(\Theta_i)$

Each  $\theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^7$ :

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ b_0 \\ \mu_0 \\ \mu_1 \\ c \\ \alpha \\ r \end{bmatrix}$$

Flattening:

$$\operatorname{vec}(\Theta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{7J \times 1}$$

# Understanding vec(M)

Let 
$$M = [\mu_1 \, \mu_2 \, \cdots \, \mu_J] \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times J}$$

Example for J=3:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,1} & \mu_{1,2} & \mu_{1,3} \\ \mu_{2,1} & \mu_{2,2} & \mu_{2,3} \\ \mu_{3,1} & \mu_{3,2} & \mu_{3,3} \\ \mu_{4,1} & \mu_{4,2} & \mu_{4,3} \\ \mu_{5,1} & \mu_{5,2} & \mu_{5,3} \\ \mu_{6,1} & \mu_{6,2} & \mu_{6,3} \\ \mu_{7,1} & \mu_{7,2} & \mu_{7,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Covariance Structure: $\Sigma_K \otimes I_J$

$$\mathsf{Cov}(\mathsf{vec}(\Theta_i)) = \Sigma_K \otimes I_J$$

- $\bullet$   $\Sigma_K$ : parameter covariance matrix
- ullet  $I_J$ : biomarker-wise independence
- Kronecker product yields block-diagonal matrix

### Example: Kronecker Product with K=2, J=3

Let:

$$\Sigma_K = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$\Sigma_K \otimes I_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6}$$

# Expanded Matrix: $\Sigma_K \otimes I_3$

$$\Sigma_K \otimes I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Next Steps: Modeling Correlation Across Biomarkers

#### Current Limitation:

ullet Biomarkers assumed independent:  $I_J$ 

#### Planned Extension:

• Use full covariance  $\Sigma_J$ :

$$\mathsf{Cov}(\mathsf{vec}(\Theta_i)) = \Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$$

# Extending to Correlated Biomarkers

Assume 
$$K=3$$
,  $J=3$ 

Define:

$$\Sigma_K = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_J = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} & \tau_{12} & \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{21} & \tau_{22} & \tau_{23} \\ \tau_{31} & \tau_{32} & \tau_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Kronecker Product Structure: $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$

$$\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{12} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{13} \Sigma_J \\ \sigma_{21} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{22} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{23} \Sigma_J \\ \sigma_{31} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{32} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{33} \Sigma_J \end{bmatrix}$$

Now biomarkers and parameters can be correlated.

# Expanded Form: $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$ (3x3)

The  $9 \times 9$  matrix contains all combinations  $\sigma_{ab} \tau_{cd}$ 

Not block-diagonal — includes cross-biomarker correlation

# Practical To-Do List (for Chapter 2)

#### **Model Implementation:**

- $\bullet$  Define full  $\Sigma_J$  and prior:  $\Sigma_J^{-1} \sim \mathcal{W}(\Psi, \nu)$
- Implement  $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$  in JAGS

#### Simulation + Validation:

- Simulate individuals with correlated biomarkers
- Fit both block-diagonal and full-covariance models
- Compare fit: DIC, WAIC, predictive checks