# Extending the Hierarchical Model for Antibody Kinetics

Kwan Ho Lee

UC Davis

2025-04-30

### Overview

- Incorporates feedback from Dr. Morrison and Dr.Aiemjoy
- Focus exclusively on (Teunis and Eijkeren 2016) model
- Clarifies model dynamics: growth, clearance, decay
- $\bullet$  Uses updated parameter notation:  $\mu_{y}$ ,  $\mu_{b}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$
- Assumes block-diagonal covariance structure across biomarkers

# Within-Host ODE System (Teunis and Eijkeren 2016)

Two-phase within-host antibody kinetics:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \begin{cases} \mu_y y(t), & t \leq t_1 \\ -\alpha y(t)^\rho, & t > t_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{with } \frac{db}{dt} = \mu_b b(t) - \gamma y(t)$$

Initial conditions:  $y(0) = y_0$ ,  $b(0) = b_0$ 

**Key transition:**  $t_1$  is the time when  $b(t_1) = 0$ 

 $\ \, \hbox{Derived quantity:} \,\, y_1=y(t_1)$ 

### Closed-Form Solutions

### Antibody concentration y(t)

•  $t \le t_1$ :

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{\mu_y t}$$

•  $t > t_1$ :

$$y(t) = y_1 \left( 1 + (\rho - 1) \alpha y_1^{\rho - 1} (t - t_1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{\rho - 1}}$$

### Pathogen load b(t)

•  $t \le t_1$ :

$$b(t) = b_0 e^{\mu_b t} - \frac{\gamma y_0}{\mu_y - \mu_b} \left( e^{\mu_y t} - e^{\mu_b t} \right)$$

•  $t > t_1$ :

### Time of Peak Response

Peak Time  $t_1$ 

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_y - \mu_b} \log \left( 1 + \frac{(\mu_y - \mu_b)b_0}{\gamma y_0} \right)$$

Peak Antibody Level  $y_1$ 

$$y_1 = y_0 e^{\mu_y t_1}$$

### Parameter Summary

	D
Symbol	Description
$\overline{\mu_y}$	Antibody production rate (growth phase)
$\mu_b$	Pathogen replication rate
$\gamma$	Clearance rate (by antibodies)
$\alpha$	Antibody decay rate
$\rho$	Shape of antibody decay (power-law)
$t_1$	Time of peak response
$y_1$	Peak antibody concentration

**Note:** Only the first 6 are typically estimated.  $y_1$  is derived from the ODE solution at  $t_1$ .

# Model Comparison: (Teunis and Eijkeren 2016) vs This Presentation

Component	(Teunis and Eijkeren 2016)	This Presentation
Pathogen ODE	$\mu_0 b(t) - c y(t)$	$\mu_b b(t) - \gamma y(t)$
Antibody ODE	$\mu y(t)$	$\mu_y y(t)$
$(pre-t_1)$		
Antibody ODE	$-\alpha y(t)^r$	$-\alpha y(t)^{ ho}$
$(post-t_1)$		
Antibody growth	Pathogen-driven	Self-driven
type		exponential
Antibody rate name	$\mu$	$\mu_y$
$t_1$ formula	Uses $\mu_0$ , $\mu$ , $b_0$ , $c$ , $y_0$	Uses $\mu_b$ , $\mu_y$ , etc.

#### Note:

- ullet (Teunis and Eijkeren 2016) uses **linear clearance**: cy(t), not bilinear
- ullet Antibody production is **driven by pathogen** b(t)

# Full Parameter Model (7 Parameters)

### Subject-level parameters:

$$\theta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \, \Sigma_j), \quad \theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{b,ij} \\ \mu_{y,ij} \\ \gamma_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \\ \rho_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Hyperparameters – Means:** -  $\mu_j$ : population-level mean vector for biomarker j - Prior on  $\mu_j$ :

$$\mu_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\mathsf{hyp},j},\,\Omega_{\mathsf{hyp},j})$$

## Core Parameters Used for Curve Drawing In this presentation, we focus on **5 key parameters** required to draw antibody curves:

- y<sub>0</sub>: initial antibody level
- t<sub>1</sub>: time of peak antibody response

# Classifying Model Parameters ((Teunis and Eijkeren 2016) Structure)

### **Estimated Parameters (7 total):**

- Core model parameters (5):  $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_u$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$
- Initial conditions (2):  $y_0$ ,  $b_0$

### **Derived Quantity (not estimated):**

ullet  $y_1$ : peak antibody level computed as  $y(t_1)$ 

# Time of Pathogen Clearance $t_1$

**Definition:**  $t_1$  is the time when the pathogen is cleared, i.e.,  $b(t_1)=0$ 

**Analytic expression:** 

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\mu_y - \mu_b} \log \left(1 + \frac{(\mu_y - \mu_b)b_0}{\gamma y_0}\right)$$

Key observations:  $t_1$  depends on  $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_y$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $y_0$ , and  $y_1=y(t_1)$  is computed based on this time point

# Why It's a Seven-Parameter Model

- Our model estimates 7 parameters:
  - 5 biological parameters:  $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_v$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$
  - 2 initial conditions:  $y_0$ ,  $b_0$
- But we often refer to an 8th quantity:  $y_1$
- So why isn't  $y_1$  a parameter?

Answer:  $y_1$  is a **computed value**, not directly estimated.

# Why $y_1$ Is Not Fit Directly

ullet  $y_1$  is the antibody level at the time the pathogen is cleared:

$$y_1 = y(t_1)$$
 where  $b(t_1) = 0$ 

- $y_1$  is not an "input" it is **computed** from:
  - $\mu_{y}$ ,  $y_{0}$ ,  $b_{0}$ ,  $\mu_{b}$ ,  $\gamma$
  - $\bullet$  via solution of ODEs to find  $t_1$  and compute  $y(t_1)$

In other words:  $y_1$  is a **derived output**, not a fit parameter.

### How $y_1$ Is Computed

•  $y_1$  is computed by solving the ODE system:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \mu_y y(t), \quad \frac{db}{dt} = \mu_b b(t) - \gamma y(t)$$

• Evaluate y(t) at  $t=t_1$  using ODE solution:

$$y_1=y(t_1;\mu_y,y_0,b_0,\mu_b,\gamma)$$

# Recap: What We Estimate

### Seven model parameters (7-parameter model for full dynamics):

- $\mu_b$ ,  $\mu_u$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$  (biological process)
- $y_0$ ,  $b_0$  (initial state)

### **Derived quantity:**

ullet  $y_1=y(t_1)$  — not directly estimated, computed

### 5-parameter subset for curve visualization:

 $\bullet \ y_0, \ y_1, \ t_1, \ \alpha, \ \rho$ 

# Hierarchical Bayesian Structure

#### Individual parameters:

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{b,ij} \\ \mu_{y,ij} \\ \gamma_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \\ \rho_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \Sigma_j)$$

#### **Hyperparameters:**

- $\mu_j$ : population-level means (per biomarker j)
- ullet  $\Sigma_j$ : 7 imes 7 covariance matrix over parameters

# Subject-Level Parameters: $\theta_{ij}$

$$\theta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \, \Sigma_j), \quad \theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^7$$

Where:

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{0,ij} \\ b_{0,ij} \\ \mu_{b,ij} \\ \mu_{y,ij} \\ \gamma_{ij} \\ \alpha_{ij} \\ \rho_{ij} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$$

Each subject i has a unique 7-parameter vector per biomarker j, capturing individual-level variation in antibody dynamics.

# Hyperparameters: Priors on Population Means

#### Population-level means:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{hyp},j}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{hyp},j})$$

#### Interpretation:

- ullet  $\mu_j$ : average parameter vector for biomarker j
- $\mu_{\text{hyp.},i}$ : prior guess (e.g., vector of zeros)
- $\bullet$   $\Omega_{{
  m hyp},j}^{(1)}$ : covariance matrix encoding uncertainty

### **Example:**

$$\mu_{\mathrm{hyp},j} = 0, \quad \Omega_{\mathrm{hyp},j} = 100 \cdot I_7$$

# Hyperparameters: Priors on Covariance

### Covariance across parameters:

$$\Sigma_j^{-1} \sim \mathcal{W}(\Omega_j, \nu_j)$$

- $\Sigma_i$ : variability/covariance in subject-level parameters
- $\Omega_i$ : prior scale matrix
- $\bullet$   $\nu_i$ : degrees of freedom

### **Example:**

$$\Omega_j = 0.1 \cdot I_7, \quad \nu_j = 8$$

### Measurement Error and Precision Priors

### Observed antibody levels:

$$\log(y_{\mathsf{obs},ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\log(y_{\mathsf{pred},ij}), \tau_j^{-1})$$

#### Precision prior:

$$au_j \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(a_j, b_j)$$

- ullet  $au_{j}$ : shared measurement precision for biomarker j
- Gamma prior allows flexible noise modeling

### Matrix Algebra Computation

Let K = 7 (parameters), J biomarkers. Then:

$$\Theta_i = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{i1} & \theta_{i2} & \cdots & \theta_{iJ} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times J}$$

Assume:

$$\mathrm{vec}(\Theta_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{vec}(M), \Sigma_K \otimes I_J)$$

# Matrix Algebra - Simplified Structure

Setup: 
$$\Theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times J}$$

Model:

$$\mathrm{vec}(\Theta_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{vec}(M), \Sigma_K \otimes I_J)$$

- $\Sigma_K$ : 7×7 covariance (same across biomarkers)
- ullet  $I_J$ : biomarkers assumed uncorrelated
- Block-diagonal covariance

# Understanding $vec(\Theta_i)$

Each  $\theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^7$ :

$$\theta_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ b_0 \\ \mu_0 \\ \mu_1 \\ c \\ \alpha \\ r \end{bmatrix}$$

Flattening:

$$\operatorname{vec}(\Theta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{7J \times 1}$$

# Understanding vec(M)

Let 
$$M = [\mu_1 \, \mu_2 \, \cdots \, \mu_J] \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times J}$$

Example for J=3:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,1} & \mu_{1,2} & \mu_{1,3} \\ \mu_{2,1} & \mu_{2,2} & \mu_{2,3} \\ \mu_{3,1} & \mu_{3,2} & \mu_{3,3} \\ \mu_{4,1} & \mu_{4,2} & \mu_{4,3} \\ \mu_{5,1} & \mu_{5,2} & \mu_{5,3} \\ \mu_{6,1} & \mu_{6,2} & \mu_{6,3} \\ \mu_{7,1} & \mu_{7,2} & \mu_{7,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Covariance Structure: $\Sigma_K \otimes I_J$

$$\mathsf{Cov}(\mathsf{vec}(\Theta_i)) = \Sigma_K \otimes I_J$$

- $\bullet$   $\Sigma_K$ : parameter covariance matrix
- $\bullet$   $I_J$ : biomarker-wise independence
- Kronecker product yields block-diagonal matrix

### Example: Kronecker Product with K=2, J=3

Let:

$$\Sigma_K = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$\Sigma_K \otimes I_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6}$$

# Expanded Matrix: $\Sigma_K \otimes I_3$

$$\Sigma_K \otimes I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Next Steps: Modeling Correlation Across Biomarkers

#### Current Limitation:

ullet Biomarkers assumed independent:  $I_J$ 

#### Planned Extension:

• Use full covariance  $\Sigma_J$ :

$$\mathsf{Cov}(\mathsf{vec}(\Theta_i)) = \Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$$

# Extending to Correlated Biomarkers

Assume 
$$K=3$$
,  $J=3$ 

Define:

$$\Sigma_K = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_J = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} & \tau_{12} & \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{21} & \tau_{22} & \tau_{23} \\ \tau_{31} & \tau_{32} & \tau_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Kronecker Product Structure: $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$

$$\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{12} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{13} \Sigma_J \\ \sigma_{21} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{22} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{23} \Sigma_J \\ \sigma_{31} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{32} \Sigma_J & \sigma_{33} \Sigma_J \end{bmatrix}$$

Now biomarkers and parameters can be correlated.

# Expanded Form: $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$ (3x3)

The  $9\times 9$  matrix contains all combinations  $\sigma_{ab}\tau_{cd}$ 

Not block-diagonal — includes cross-biomarker correlation

# Practical To-Do List (for Chapter 2)

#### **Model Implementation:**

- $\bullet$  Define full  $\Sigma_J$  and prior:  $\Sigma_J^{-1} \sim \mathcal{W}(\Psi, \nu)$
- $\bullet$  Implement  $\Sigma_K \otimes \Sigma_J$  in JAGS

#### Simulation + Validation:

- Simulate individuals with correlated biomarkers
- Fit both block-diagonal and full-covariance models
- Compare fit: DIC, WAIC, predictive checks

Teunis, Peter F. M., and J. C. H. van Eijkeren. 2016. "Linking the Seroresponse to Infection to Within-Host Heterogeneity in Antibody Production." *Epidemics* 16: 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.04.001.