



Hybrid Kernelized Expectation Maximization implementation in STIR

Daniel Deidda 1,2, Ashley Gillman 3

¹Division of Biomedical Imaging, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, UK.

 $^{^2\}mathsf{Department}$ of Statistics, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, UK.

³Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Brisbane, Australia.





Kernelised OSEM

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \alpha_\ell k_{\ell j}^{(n)} \tag{1}$$

Iteratively reconstruct the coefficient α

$$\alpha_f^{(n+1)} = \frac{\alpha_f^{(n)}}{\sum_j k_{fj}^{(n)} \sum_i \rho_{ij}} \sum_j k_{fj}^{(n)} \sum_i \rho_{ij} \frac{1}{\sum_i \rho_{ij} \sum_f k_{fj}^{(n)} \alpha_f^{(n)} + a_i}$$
(2)

The proposed kernel

$$k_{f_j}^{(n)} = k_m(\mathbf{v}_f, \mathbf{v}_j) \cdot k_p(\mathbf{z}_f^{(n)}, \mathbf{z}_j^{(n)})$$
 (3)

$$k_m(\mathbf{v}_f, \mathbf{v}_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{v}_f - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2}{2\sigma_m^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{2\sigma_{dm}^2}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$k_{p}(\mathbf{z}_{f}^{(n)}, \mathbf{z}_{j}^{(n)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{z}_{f}^{(n)} - \mathbf{z}_{j}^{(n)}\|^{2}}{2\sigma_{p}^{2}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{f} - \mathbf{x}_{j}\|^{2}}{2\sigma_{dp}^{2}}\right)$$
(5)

2 / 4 Daniel Deidda daniel.deidda@npl.co.uk







New derived class and executable

► KOSMAPOSL derived from OSMAPOSL

Parameter file

KOSMAPOSLParameters:=

 $objective\ function\ type := PoissonLogLikelihoodWithLinearModelForMeanAndList-ModeDataWithProjMatrixByBin$

input:=

hybrid:= ;0 for KEM or 1 for HKEM

sigma_m:= ;default 1 controls anatomical edge preservation

 $sigma_p:=$; default 1 controls PET edge preservation

sigma_dm:= ;default: 1 (usual range 1-5) Spatial Gaussian scaling parameter for the
anatomical prior (mm)

sigma_dp:= ;default: 1 (usual range 1-5) Spatial Gaussian scaling parameter for the PET prior (mm)

neighbours_num:= default:3 controls the size of the neighbourhood

num_non_zero_feat_elements:=default:1 controls the size of the feature vector patch
_image_filename:=if hybrid=1 and anatomical image is uniform the algorithm behave
similarly to Snyder et al 1985

 $only_2D:=0$

kernelised output filename prefix:=





Compatibility and functionality

- ► MR image has to be re-sliced to match the PET voxel size or vice versa (z voxel size depends on the scanner)
- ► The method would work for every scanner in STIR or customized (validated on Siemens mMR and mCT and GE signa).
- ▶ It is possible to reconstruct with both sinogram or list-mode, and use all the different objective functions
- ▶ If one wants to use any prior available within STIR this is also possible