## Useful Notes on Statistics

Moment generating functions: The moment generating function (mgf) is defined as:

$$M(t) = E[e^{tX}] = 1 + tX + \frac{1}{2!}t^2X^2 + \frac{1}{3!}t^3X^3 + \dots$$
 (1)

For two independent random variables X and Y, with mgfs  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$ , mgf of their sum is given by

$$E[X+Y] = E[e^{t(X+Y)}] = E[e^{tX}] E[e^{tY}] = M_1(t) M_2(t)$$
(2)

The mgf of the linear function a + bX is given by

$$E[a + bX] = E[e^{at + btX}] = e^{at}M(bt)$$
(3)

Binomial Distribution: The probability density function is given by

$$P(x) = \binom{n}{x} P^x Q^{1-x} \tag{4}$$

where P is the success probability, Q = 1 - P and x is the number of observations. The mgf of the binomial distribution is given by

$$M(t) = E[e^{tX}] = \sum_{x} \frac{n!}{x! (n-x)!} e^{tx} P^{x} Q^{1-x} = (e^{t} P + Q)^{n}$$
 (5)

The moment generating function is useful for computing mean and variance:

$$M'(0) = E[X] = nP$$
,  $M''(0) = E[X^2] = nP + n(n-1)P^2$  (6)

thus,  $\mu = E[X] = nP$  and  $\sigma^2 = Var[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = nP(1 - P) = nPQ$ .

**Normal Distribution:** The probability density function of the normal distribution is given by

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$
 (7)

Then, the mgf can be computed as

$$M(t) = E[e^{tX}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left((x-\mu)^2 - 2\sigma^2 tx\right)\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2\right]$$
(8)

Using the mgf of the normal distribution, we can show that the variable  $Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma$  is a normal variate, i.e normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1 (N(0,1)). The mgf for Z is given by

$$M_Z(t) = e^{-\mu t/\sigma} M(t/\sigma) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2}$$
 (9)

which is the mgf of the normal variate.

Central Limit Theorem: The sum of a large number of independent random variables will be approximately normally distributed. Let us prove: Consider the sum of n independent random variables  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  be the mean and variance of Y and let  $M_i(t)$  be the mgf of  $X_i - \mu_i$ . Then, mgf of  $\sum_i (X_i - \mu_i)$  is

$$E[\exp(t(X_1 - \mu_1) + t(X_2 - \mu_2)\dots)] = \prod_i M_i(t)$$
(10)

The mgf of the variate  $(Y - \mu)/\sigma$  is then given by

$$M^*(t) = \prod_{i} M_i(t/\sigma) = \prod_{i} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} \frac{t^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu_{3i}}{3!} \frac{t^3}{\sigma^3} + \dots \right)$$
 (11)

since  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_n$ . Taking the log of both sides

$$\log M^*(t) = \sum_{i}^{n} \log M_i(t/\sigma) \simeq \sum_{i}^{n} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_i^2 t^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$\simeq \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_i^2 t^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2} t^2$$
(12)

since for larger n,  $\sigma^2$  will be large as well ( $\sigma^2 = \sum_i \sigma_i^2$ ), so the series expansion in  $\sigma_i/\sigma$  is convergent. Thus, the mgf of the variate  $(Y - \mu)/\sigma$  is  $e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2}$  which is the mgf of the standard normal variate.

A corollary of the central limit theorem is that the distributions of the sample means is approximately normally distributed: Let  $X_i$  be a sample from a population. The sample mean of n samples is given by

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \tag{13}$$

The expected value of  $\bar{X}_n$  is computed as

$$E[\bar{X}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i] = \mu$$
 (14)

where  $\mu$  is the population mean. Here, we assume that all of the  $X_i$ s are identical and independently distributed (iid). The variance of  $\bar{X}_n$  is computed as

$$E[\bar{X}_{n}^{2}] = E\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}}E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j>i}X_{i}X_{j}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}E[X_{i}^{2}] + \frac{2}{n}\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\mu^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}(\sigma^{2} + \mu^{2}) + \frac{n-1}{n}\mu^{2}$$
(15)

where we have used  $E[X_i X_j] = E[X_i] E[X_j]$  when  $j \neq i$ . Then,  $Var[\bar{X}_n] = E[\bar{X}_n^2] - E[\bar{X}_n]^2$ , so

$$Var[\bar{X}_n] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \tag{16}$$

Thus the central limit theorem on the variate  $(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/(\sigma/\sqrt{n})$  gives us:

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1) \tag{17}$$

**Poisson distribution:** The Poisson distribution is a limit of binomial distribution when the probability of success  $P = \mu/n$  is low but the number of trials n is very large. To arrive at Possion distribution, we rewrite the probability density function of the binomial distribution as follows:

$$P(x) = \frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x}$$

$$= \left[\frac{n}{n} \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} \dots \frac{n-x+1}{n}\right] \frac{\mu^x}{x!} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x}$$
(18)

The term in the brackets tend to 1 as  $n \to \infty$ , while  $(1 - \mu/n)^n \to e^{-\mu}$  and  $(1 - \mu/n)^x \to 1$ . Then, the above term reduces to

$$P(x) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^x}{x!} \tag{19}$$

It is straightforward to show that the mgf of the Poisson distribution is given by  $e^{-\mu} e^{\mu t}$ .

 $\chi^2$  distribution: The  $\chi^2$  distribution with f degrees of freedom (dom) is defined as a sum of f iid normal variates  $Z_i^2$ :

$$\Upsilon = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_f^2 \tag{20}$$

For 1 dof,  $E[\Upsilon] = E[Z^2] = 1$  and  $E[\Upsilon^2] = E[Z^4] = 3$ . The  $\chi^2_{[f]}$  distribution then satisfies:

$$E[\Upsilon] = f$$
,  $Var[\Upsilon] = 2f$  (21)

Let's compute the mgf of  $\chi^2_{[f]}$ . Fisrt, for the  $\chi^2_{[1]}$  variate

$$M(t) = E[e^{tZ^2}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2(1-2t)} = (1-2t)^{-1/2}$$
 (22)

For  $\chi^2_{[f]}$ , the mgf will be the product of f mgfs which is

$$M_{\Upsilon}(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}f} \tag{23}$$

Now, we can show that this mgf can be obtained from the density function  $f(y)=\frac{1}{A(f)}\,y^{\frac{1}{2}f-1}\,e^{-\frac{1}{2}y}$  where  $0\leq y<\infty$  and  $A(f)=2^{\frac{1}{2}f}\,\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}f)$ .

$$M_f(t) = E[e^{tY}] = \int_0^\infty e^{ty} f(y) \, dy = \frac{1}{A(f)} \int_0^\infty e^{ty - \frac{1}{2}y} y^{\frac{1}{2}f - 1} \, dy \tag{24}$$

Using the substitution w = (1 - 2t) y we get

$$M_f(t) = (1 - 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}f} \frac{1}{A(f)} \int_0^\infty dw \, w^{\frac{1}{2}f - 1} \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}w} = (1 - 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}f} \tag{25}$$

Using the properties of the  $\Gamma$ -function, one can show that (using partial integration)

$$A(f) = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot (f-2) \sqrt{2\pi}, & \text{odd } f \\ 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot \dots \cdot (f-2) \cdot 2, & \text{even } f \end{cases}$$
 (26)

Let us consider an important application of the  $\chi^2$  distribution. Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n$  be iid normal variates and  $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2, \ldots, \Upsilon_n$  be linear functions of them:

$$\Upsilon_{1} = a_{1} Z_{1} + a_{2} Z_{2} + \dots + a_{n} Z_{n}$$

$$\Upsilon_{2} = b_{1} Z_{1} + b_{2} Z_{2} + \dots + b_{n} Z_{n}$$

$$\dots \dots$$

$$\Upsilon_{n} = u_{1} Z_{1} + u_{2} Z_{2} + \dots + u_{n} Z_{n}$$
(27)

with  $a_i, b_i, \ldots, u_i$  being a set of **orthonormal vectors**. Then, it is clear that

$$\sum_{i} \Upsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i} Z_i^2 \tag{28}$$

The orthonormality also implies that all the  $\Upsilon_i$ 's are independently distributed; for example

$$Cov[\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}] = E[(a_{1} Z_{1} + \dots + a_{n} Z_{n}) \cdot (b_{1} Z_{1} + \dots + b_{n} Z_{n})]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} (a_{i} b_{j}) E[Z_{i} Z_{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} E[Z_{i}^{2}] = \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} = 0$$
(29)

since for  $i \neq j$ ,  $E[Z_i Z_j] = E[Z_i] E[Z_j] = 0$ . Using the identity, it is possible to work out the sampling distribution of the variance.

**Sampling distribution of variance:** Consider the sum of squared deviations from the mean

$$S^2 = \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \tag{30}$$

where  $x_i$ 's are sample distributions and  $\bar{x}$  is the mean of the sample distribution, from a population with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then, the following identity holds:

$$\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2} = \sum_{i} ((x_{i} - \mu)^{2} - (\bar{x} - \mu))^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2} - n(\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}$$
(31)

Then, the expectation value of  $S^2$  is given by

$$E[S^{2}] = \sum_{i} E\left[(x_{i} - \mu)^{2}\right] - n E\left[(\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}[x_{i}] - n \operatorname{Var}[\bar{x}]$$

$$= n \sigma^{2} - n \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} = (n - 1) \sigma^{2}$$
(32)

Thus, the **unbiased** estimator for the variance is given by

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} S^2 \tag{33}$$

Now, we have from the above equations

$$\frac{\sum_{i}(x_{i}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = \frac{S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{n(\bar{x}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$$
(34)

Assuming that  $x_i$  being **normally** distributed, notice that  $\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/n}\right)^2$  becomes a  $\chi^2_{[1]}$  variate. At the same time,  $\sum_i \left(\frac{x_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$  becomes a  $\chi^2_{[n]}$  variate. Thus, the above equation implies that  $S^2/\sigma^2$  to be a  $\chi^2_{[n-1]}$  variate, as long as  $S^2$  and  $\bar{x}$  are independently distributed.

We establish this independence by constructing an orthonormal transformation from a set of normal variates  $Z_i$  to their linear combinations  $\Upsilon_i$ :

$$Z_{i} = \frac{x_{i} - \mu}{\sigma} \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\Upsilon_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{n} \tag{35}$$

Notice that

$$\Upsilon_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i} \left( \frac{x_{i} - \mu}{\sigma} \right) = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$\rightarrow \Upsilon_{1}^{2} = \frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi_{[1]}^{2}$$

$$\sum_{i} Z_{i}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \tag{36}$$

Now, complete the transformation to from  $Z_i$  to  $\Upsilon_i$  by adding  $\Upsilon_2, \ldots \Upsilon_n$  on  $\Upsilon_1$ , such that  $\text{Cov}[\Upsilon_i, \Upsilon_j] = \delta_{ij}$ . Otrhonormality requires

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i^2 = \Upsilon_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Upsilon_i^2$$

$$\to \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Upsilon_i^2 = \frac{\sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \chi_{[n-1]}^2$$
(37)

where each  $\Upsilon_i$  is iid and  $\chi^2_{[1]}$  by orthonormality. Thus,  $S^2$  is  $\chi^2_{[n-1]}$  variate.

**t-Distribution:** The Student t-distribution is defined through the random variable T as follows:

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\Upsilon/f}} \tag{38}$$

where  $\Upsilon$  is a  $\chi^2$  variate with f dof and Z is a normal variate. This distribution is useful when the population variance  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, but estimated by  $s^2$ . Since  $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is a normal variate (assuming  $x_i$ 's are iid and normally distributed) and  $S^2/\sigma^2$  is a  $\chi^2_{[n-1]}$ , then

$$\frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} / \sqrt{\frac{S^2}{(n-1)\sigma^2}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s / \sqrt{n}}$$
(39)

will follow the t-distribution with n-1 dof.

Let us know calculate the probability density function of the t-distribution. First consider the distribution  $V = \sqrt{\Upsilon/f}$  so that T = Z/V. The cumulative density function for V is given by

$$F_V(v) = P[V \le v] = P[\sqrt{\Upsilon/f} \le v] = P[\Upsilon \le f v^2] = F_{\Upsilon}(f v^2)$$
 (40)

Then, the probability density function is given by

$$f_V(v) = \frac{dF_V(v)}{dv} = \frac{dF_{\Upsilon}(f v^2)}{dv} = 2f v f_{\Upsilon}(f v^2)$$
$$= \frac{1}{A(f)} 2f v (f v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}f-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}f v^2}$$
(41)

Now, for the T distribution, we have

$$F_{T}(t) = P[Z/V \le t] = \sum_{v} (P[Z \le vt] \cup P[v \le V \le v + dv])$$

$$= \int F_{Z}(vt) f_{V}(v) dv$$

$$f_{T}(t) = \int f_{Z}(vt) v f_{v}(v) dv$$

$$= \frac{2 f^{f/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi} A(f)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} t^{2} - \frac{1}{2} f v^{2}} v^{f} dv$$
(42)

The integral can be evaluated by the substitution  $\xi = v^2 (f + t^2)$ , and after some algebra

$$f_T(t) = \frac{A(f+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi f} A(f)} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{f}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(f+1)}$$
(43)

t-distribution can be applied to test whether the means of two distributions are the same. Suppose that we have m observations on a random variable X  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  and n observations on another random variable Y  $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ . Assuming that X and Y are normally distributed with the same variance  $\sigma^2$ , but different means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , we test the hypotheses  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . We define

$$S_1^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \qquad S_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

$$S^2 = S_1^2 + S_2^2 \qquad s^2 = \frac{S^2}{m+n-2}$$
(44)

Then,  $\bar{x} - \bar{y}$  will be normally distributed with mean  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  and variance  $(1/n + 1/m) \sigma^2$ , and  $S^2$  will follow a  $\chi^2$  distribution with m + n - 2 dof. Then,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{s\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}\tag{45}$$

will follow a t-distribution with m + n - 2 dof.

## Linear Regression

We first consider the case of two variables, mutilvariable extension is straightforward. The observations  $Y_i$  are modeled by the following liner function:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i \tag{46}$$

where  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  are assumed to be iid normal variates. The precition is denoted by hatted symbols, i.e.

$$\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_i \tag{47}$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are obtained through least-squares, by minimizing the sum of squared errors:

$$S^{2} = \sum_{i} (Y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} X_{i})^{2}$$
(48)

Minimizing with respect to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , we obtain

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} = \beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} , \quad \hat{\beta}_{0} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{X}$$
(49)

Equivalently, it is easy to show that

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \text{Cor}(Y, X) \frac{S_{Y}}{S_{X}} , \quad \text{Cor}(Y, X) = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y, X)}{S_{X} S_{Y}}$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})$$

$$S_{X}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}$$
(50)

**Prediction and confidence intervals:** For simplifying the algebra, let us subtract  $\bar{X}$  from  $X_i$  to normalize:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \left( X_i - \bar{X} \right) + \epsilon_i \tag{51}$$

this is equivalent to redefining  $\beta_0 \to \beta_0 - \beta_1 \bar{X}$ , which results in  $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y}$ . Now it is easy to see the following apply:

$$\bar{Y} = \beta_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_i , \quad \bar{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 \sim N(\beta_0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

$$E[Y_i] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X_i - \bar{X}) , \quad \text{Var}[Y_i] = \text{Var}[\epsilon_i] = \sigma^2 , \quad Y_i \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 (X_i - \bar{X}), \sigma^2)$$
(52)

now,

$$\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_{1}] = \frac{1}{\left[\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}\right]^{2}} \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2} \operatorname{Var}[\epsilon_{i}]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}$$
(53)

thus, in summary:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N\left(\beta_0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \quad , \quad \hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}\right)$$
 (54)

If we were to add the  $\bar{X}$  term back,  $\beta_0 \to \beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{X}$  so  $Var[\hat{\beta}_0] \to \bar{X}^2 Var[\hat{\beta}_1] + Var[\hat{\beta}_0]$ , which is more widely used. Now, consider a **new prediction**  $\hat{Y}_{n+1}$  from a **new predictor**  $X_{n+1}$ , i.e.

$$Y_{n+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (X_{n+1} - \bar{X}) + \epsilon_{n+1} \quad , \quad \hat{Y}_{n+1} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (X_{n+1} - \bar{X})$$
 (55)

consider the quantity  $W = Y_{n+1} - \hat{Y}_{n+1}$ . It is easy to see that E[W] = 0. Now, we compute the variance of W, which will be related to the **prediction interval**. To proceed, let us first note that  $\text{Cov}[Y_{n+1}, \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 (X_{n+1} - \bar{X})] = 0$ , since  $Y_{n+1}$  is a new data uncorrelated to  $Y_i$  from which  $\hat{\beta}_{0,1}$  are determined. In addition,  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are uncorrelated as well:

$$\operatorname{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}] = \operatorname{Cov}\left[\hat{\beta}_{0}, \beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}\right]$$
(56)

since  $E[\hat{\beta}_0] = E[\bar{Y}] = \beta_0$  and  $E[\hat{\beta}_1] = \beta_1$ , we get

$$\operatorname{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \times \frac{\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} \sum_{i,j} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) E[\epsilon_{i} \epsilon_{j}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) \sigma^{2} = 0$$
(57)

now we have shown that  $Y_{n+1}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are uncorrelated, it is straightforward to compute Var[W]:

$$Var[W] = Var[Y_{n+1}] + Var[\hat{\beta}_0] + (X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2 Var[\hat{\beta}_1]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + (X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$
(58)

$$Var[W] = \sigma^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]$$
 (59)

the equantity Var[W] is a measure of the error in prediction, so it determines the **prediction** interval via:

$$\hat{Y}_{n+1} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} \,\hat{\sigma} \, \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]^{1/2} \tag{60}$$

where  $t_{\alpha/2,n-2}$  is the  $\alpha$ th t-quantile with n-2 dof, and  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the unbaised estimate of  $\sigma$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( Y_i - \hat{Y}_i \right)^2 \tag{61}$$

instead, the instrinsic error we make in  $\hat{Y}_{n+1}$  is simply  $\text{Var}[\hat{Y}_{n+1}]$ , which determines the **confidence interval**. the confidence interval is always smaller than the prediction interval, and is characterized by:

$$Var[\hat{Y}_{n+1}] = \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]$$
 (62)

Some properties of the residuals: The residuals are defined by  $e_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i$ , and are used in the definition of the unbiased estimate of  $Var[\epsilon_i] = \sigma^2$ . The residuals satisfy the following properties:

$$\sum_{i} e_{i} = 0 , \sum_{i} e_{i} X_{i} = 0$$
 (63)

Here are the proofs:

$$\sum_{i} e_{i} = \sum_{i} \left( Y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left( \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} X_{i} + \epsilon_{i} - \bar{Y} + \hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left( \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} X_{i} + \epsilon_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j} \epsilon_{j} + \hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{X} - \hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \beta_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{1} \right) \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} e_{i} X_{i} = \sum_{i} e_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) = \sum_{i} \left( Y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1} X_{i} \right) (X_{i} - \bar{X})$$

$$= \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) + (\beta_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{0}) \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) + (\beta_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{1}) \sum_{i} X_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})$$

$$= \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) + (\beta_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{1}) \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) - \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) = 0$$

$$(64)$$

where we used the least squares fit for  $\hat{\beta}_1$  in the last line.

Now, let's compute the variance of the resudials (using the normalized  $X_i \to X_i - \bar{X}$ ):

$$\operatorname{Var}[e_{i}] = \operatorname{Var}[Y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1} (X_{i} - \bar{X})]$$

$$= \operatorname{Var}[Y_{i}] + \operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_{0}] + (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2} \operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_{1}] - 2 \operatorname{Cov} \left[Y_{i}, \, \hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{1} (X_{i} - \bar{X})\right]$$
(65)

where we have used the fact that  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are uncorrelated and  $\operatorname{Var}[A \pm B] = \operatorname{Var}[A] + \operatorname{Var}[B] \pm 2 \operatorname{Cov}[A, B]$ . The covariance term was not present when computing  $\operatorname{Var}[W]$  since there,  $Y_{n+1}$  was new data and not correlated to  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . Now, notive that

$$Y_{i} - E[Y_{i}] = \epsilon_{i}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{1} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) - E \left[ \hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{1} (X_{i} - \bar{X}) \right] = (\hat{\beta}_{0} - \beta_{0}) + (\hat{\beta}_{1} - \beta_{1}) (X_{i} - \bar{X})$$
(66)

Thus, the covariance term becomes

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left[Y_{i},\,\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\right] = E\left[\epsilon_{i}\times\left(\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}-\beta_{0}\right)+\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}-\beta_{0}\right)\epsilon_{i}\right]+\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)E\left[\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\epsilon_{i}\right]$$
(67)

Now,  $E[(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) \epsilon_i] = E[(\bar{Y} - \beta_0) \epsilon_i] = E[\frac{1}{n} \sum_j \epsilon_j \epsilon_i] = \sigma^2/n$ . Similarly,

$$E\left[\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\epsilon_{i}\right] = \frac{1}{\sum_{j}\left(X_{j}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}E\left[\sum_{j}\left(X_{j}-\bar{X}\right)\epsilon_{j}\,\epsilon_{i}\right] = \frac{\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{j}\left(X_{j}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}\tag{68}$$

Thus,

$$Cov \left[ Y_i, \, \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \left( X_i - \bar{X} \right) \right] = \sigma^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_j (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \right]$$
 (69)

The calculation of  $\operatorname{Var}[Y_i] + \operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_0] + (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \operatorname{Var}[\hat{\beta}_1]$  follows similarly to  $\operatorname{Var}[W]$ , so finally combining with the covariance term we get

$$Var[e_i] = \sigma^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_j (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \right]$$
 (70)

Multiple features: Consider the case of two features (can easily be generalized to more). Assume that  $\beta_0 = 0$  (i.e.  $\to Y - \beta_0$  is being fitted) then, the least-squares minimization is performed on

$$S^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \beta_{1} X_{1i} - \beta_{2} X_{2i})^{2}$$
(71)

Evaluating partial derivatives with respect to  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and setting them to zero, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i} X_{1i}^{2} & \sum_{i} X_{1i} X_{2i} \\ \sum_{i} X_{1i} X_{2i} & \sum_{i} X_{2i}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{1} \\ \hat{\beta}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{1i} \\ \sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{2i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(72)

This equation is easily solved for  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ . The solution is given by

$$\Delta = \left(\sum_{i} X_{1i}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i} X_{2i}^{2}\right) - \left(\sum_{i} X_{1i} X_{2i}\right)^{2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \left[\left(\sum_{i} X_{2i}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{1i}\right) - \left(\sum_{i} X_{1i} X_{2i}\right) \left(\sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{2i}\right)\right] / \Delta$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{2} = \left[\left(\sum_{i} X_{1i}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{2i}\right) - \left(\sum_{i} X_{1i} X_{2i}\right) \left(\sum_{i} Y_{i} X_{1i}\right)\right] / \Delta$$
(73)

However, we would like to express the solutions in terms of residuals. We define:

$$e_{i, X_1 \mid X_2} = X_{1i} - \left(\frac{\sum_j X_{2j} X_{1j}}{\sum_j X_{2j}^2}\right) X_{2i}$$
 (74)

which is **the residual having fit**  $X_2$  **on**  $X_1$ . The term in the paranthesis is the regression coefficient (via least squares) if we were to fit  $X_{1i} = \beta X_{2i}$  and the reisual is  $X_{1i} - \hat{\beta} X_{2i}$ . The residuals  $e_{i,Y|X_2}$ ,  $e_{i,Y|X_1}$  are similarly defined.

After some algebra, we get

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i} e_{i,Y|X_{2}} e_{i,X_{1}|X_{2}}}{\sum_{i} e_{i,X_{1}|X_{2}}^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{2} = \frac{\sum_{i} e_{i,Y|X_{1}} e_{i,X_{2}|X_{1}}}{\sum_{i} e_{i,X_{2}|X_{1}}^{2}}$$
(75)

In other words, the regression estimate for  $\beta_1$  is the regression through the origin estimate having regressed  $X_2$  out of both the reponse and the predictors (similar for  $\beta_2$ ). Moreover, if we were to fit the regression through the origin between the residuals  $e_{i,Y|X_2}$  and  $e_{i,X_1|X_2}$ :

$$e_{i,Y|X_2} = \gamma \, e_{i,X_1|X_2} \tag{76}$$

we would get

$$\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{i} e_{i,Y|X_2} e_{i,X_1|X_2}}{\sum_{i} e_{i,X_1|X_2}^2} \tag{77}$$

which is equivalent to  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . So the residuals having regressed out  $X_2$  contains the information on the  $X_1$  dependence. This is the reason why we see left over variability in residual plots if there is a feature which is not fitted.

## Bias-Variance trade-off

Suppose we know the true model f(X) that characterizes the response Y, i.e.

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon \tag{78}$$

Here,  $\epsilon$  represents the irreducible error, i.e. the error that is still there even if we knew the exact model f(X). Let's assume that we have a estimate of the true model by some function  $\hat{f}(X)$ . Then, we can compute the total error we make (residual sums squared) as

$$E\left[(Y - \hat{f}(X))^2\right] = E\left[\epsilon + (f(X) - \hat{f}(X))^2\right]$$
$$= \operatorname{Var}(\epsilon) + E\left[(f(X) - \hat{f}(X))^2\right] \tag{79}$$

where we have used the fact that  $E[\epsilon] = 0$  so  $Var(\epsilon) = E[\epsilon^2]$ , and that  $\epsilon$  and  $f(X) - \hat{f}(X)$  are uncorrelated. The first term above is the irreducible error. Let us look into the second term:

$$E\left[(f(X) - \hat{f}(X))^{2}\right] = E[f(X)^{2}] - E[\hat{f}(X)]^{2} + E[\hat{f}(X)]^{2} - 2f(X)E[\hat{f}(X)] + f(X)^{2}$$

$$= \operatorname{Var}(\hat{f}(X)) + \left(E[\hat{f}(X)] - f(X)\right)^{2}$$

$$= \operatorname{Var}(\hat{f}(X)) + \operatorname{Bias}(\hat{f}(X))^{2}$$
(80)

where the bias is defined as

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{f}(X)) = E[\hat{f}(X)] - f(X) \tag{81}$$

The first term above is the variance of  $\hat{f}(X)$  and the second one is bias, which measures how much  $\hat{f}(X)$  deviates from the true f(X). By including more flexibility in model  $\hat{f}(X)$  we can reduce the bias, but the variance increases (the bias-variance trade-off).

## Maximum Likelihoods:

The determination of linear regression parameters through minimization of residual sums squared can be thought of a maximizing the likelihood function of  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Given  $Y_i$ 's are random variables with identical variances  $\sigma^2$ , their conditional probability distribution (given data  $X_i$  and parameters  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)$ ) can be written as

$$P(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X};\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(Y_i|X_i;\beta)$$
(82)

The optimal parameters  $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$  can be obtained from maximizing this joint probability (i.e. likelihood), or equivalently its logarithm. The probability distribution function for each  $Y_i$  is Gaussian centered around  $\hat{Y}_i$  (see above) with variance  $\sigma^2$ , so the maximum likelihood problem reduces to

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \log \sigma - \frac{\left| \hat{Y}_{i} - Y_{i} \right|^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \hat{Y}_{i} - Y_{i} \right|^{2} \right]$$
(83)