CMPS 116: Fall 2019

Introduction to Functional Programming

Course review

Owen Arden UC Santa Cruz

Based on course materials developed by Ranjit Jhala

The Lambda Calculus

- Lambda calculus terms
 - variables, abstractions, & applications
- Variable scope
 - Free vs bound variables
- Evaluation
 - Alpha renaming
 - Beta reduction
 - Normal form
- Church encodings
 - numbers, booleans, etc
- Recursion
 - Fixed-point combinator

2

Haskell

- A typed, lazy, purely functional programming language
 - Haskell = λ-calculus +
 - Better syntax
 - Types
 - Built-in features
 - Booleans, numbers, characters
 - Records (tuples)
 - Lists
 - Recursion

- ...

Haskell topics

- Haskell's type system
 - Recognizing / understanding relationship between Haskell expressions and their types
- Algebraic data types
 - Records
 - Sum types
 - Recursive ADTs
- · Pattern matching
 - Overlapped / missing patterns
- Writing algorithms on (recursive) ADTs
 - Base cases + inductive cases

4

Higher Order Functions

Iteration patterns over collections:

- Filter values in a collection given a predicate
- Map (iterate) a given transformation over a collection
- Fold (reduce) a collection into a value, given a binary operation to combine results

Useful helper HOFs:

- Flip the order of function's (first two) arguments
- Compose two functions

5

Evaluating Nano1

Back to our expressions... now with environments!

```
data Expr = Num Int -- number

| Var Id -- variable
| Bin Binop Expr Expr -- binary expression
| Let Id Expr Expr -- Let expression
```

Static vs Dynamic Scoping

Dynamic scoping:

- each occurrence of a variable refers to the most recent binding during program execution
- can't tell where a variable is defined just by looking at the function body
- · nightmare for readability and debugging:

```
let cTimes = \x -> c * x in
let c = 5 in
let res1 = cTimes 2 in -- ==> 10
let c = 10 in
let res2 = cTimes 2 in -- ==> 20!!!
res2 - res1
```

7

Static vs Dynamic Scoping

```
What we want:
```

```
let c = 42 in
let cTimes = \x -> c * x in
let c = 5 in
cTimes 2
=> 84
```

Lexical (or static) scoping:

- each occurrence of a variable refers to the most recent binding in the program text
- definition of each variable is unique and known statically
- good for readability and debugging: don't have to figure out where a variable got "assigned"

3

Static vs Dynamic Scoping

```
What we don't want:
```

```
let c = 42 in
let cTimes = \x -> c * x in
let c = 5 in
cTimes 2
=> 10
```

Dynamic scoping:

- each occurrence of a variable refers to the most recent binding during program execution
- can't tell where a variable is defined just by looking at the function body
- nightmare for readability and debugging:

Static vs Dynamic Scoping

Dynamic scoping:

- each occurrence of a variable refers to the most recent binding *during* program execution
- can't tell where a variable is defined just by looking at the function body
- · nightmare for readability and debugging:

```
let cTimes = \x -> c * x in
let c = 5 in
let res1 = cTimes 2 in -- ==> 10
let c = 10 in
let res2 = cTimes 2 in -- ==> 20!!!
res2 - res1
```

10

Closures

To implement lexical scoping, we will represent function values as closures

```
Closure = lambda abstraction (formal + body) + environment at function definition

data Value = VNum Int

VClos Env Id Expr -- env + formal + body
```

11

Formalizing Nano

Goal: we want to guarantee properties about programs, such as:

- evaluation is deterministic
- all programs terminate
- certain programs never fail at run time
- etc.

To prove theorems about programs we first need to define formally

- their syntax (what programs look like)
- their semantics (what it means to run a program)

Type system for Nano2

A type system defines what types an expression can have

To define a type system we need to define:

- the syntax of types: what do types look like?
- the static semantics of our language (i.e. the typing rules): assign types to expressions

```
G |- e :: T
```

An expression e has type T in G if we can derive G | - e :: T using these rules

An expression e is well-typed in G if we can derive G | - e :: T for some type T

• and ill-typed otherwise

13

Double identity

```
let id = \x -> x in
  let y = id 5 in
  id (\z -> z + y)
```

Intuitively this program looks okay, but our type system rejects it:

- in the first application, id needs to have type Int -> Int
- in the second application, id needs to have type (Int -> Int) -> (Int -> Int)
- the type system forces us to pick *just one type* for each variable, such as id :(

What can we do?

14

Inference with polymorphic types

```
With polymorphic types, we can derive e :: Int -> Int where e is
```

```
let id = \x -> x in
let y = id 5 in
  id (\z -> z + y)
```

At a high level, inference works as follows:

- 1. When we have to pick a type ${\sf T}$ for ${\sf x}$, we pick a fresh type variable a
- 2. So the type of $\x -> x$ comes out as a -> a
- 3. We can generalize this type to forall $a \cdot a \rightarrow a$
- 4. When we apply id the first time, we instantiate this polymorphic type with Int
- When we apply id the second time, we instantiate this polymorphic type with Int ->Int

Let's formalize this intuition as a type system!

Typing rules

We need to change the typing rules so that:

1. Variables (and their definitions) can have polymorphic types

16

Typing rules

2. We can instantiate a type scheme into a type

3. We can *generalize* a type with free type variables into a type scheme

```
G |- e :: S

[T-Gen] ----- if not (a in FTV(G))

G |- e :: forall a . S
```

17

Typing rules

The rest of the rules are the same:

Nano1: Operational Semantics

We define the step relation inductively through a set of rules:

Operational semantics

We need to extend our reduction relation with rules for abstraction and application:

20

19

Spring 19 final review

Now what?

Did you like what you learned here? Want to learn more?

- CSE 114 (not 116) Functional Programming
- Someday?
- CSE 110A Fundamentals of Compiler Design
- Fall 2019, Spring 2020, Wesley Mackey
- Winter 2020, me
- CSE 210A: Programming languages
 Winter 2020, Cormac Flanagan
- CSE 210B: Adv. Programming languages
- Spring 2020, me

22

Thanks and good luck!