CMPS 112: Spring 2019

Comparative Programming Languages

Lexing and Parsing

Owen Arden UC Santa Cruz

Based on course materials developed by Nadia Polikarpova

Plan for this week

Last week:

• How do we evaluate a program given its AST?

```
eval :: Env -> Expr -> Value
```

This week:

How do we convert program text into an AST?

parse :: String -> Expr

2

Example: calculator with vars

AST representation:

```
data Aexpr
```

```
= AConst Int
| AVar Id
| APlus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMinus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMul Aexpr Aexpr
| ADiv Aexpr Aexpr
```

Evaluator:

```
eval :: Env -> Aexpr -> Value
```

Example: calculator with vars

```
Using the evaluator:

$\lambda \text{ eval [] (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 6))} \\

$\lambda \text{ eval [("x", 16), ("y", 10)] (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "y"))} \\

$\lambda \text{ eval [("x", 16), ("y", 10)] (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "z"))} \\

*** Exception: Error {errMsg = "Unbound variable z"}

But writing ASTs explicitly is really tedious, we are used to writing programs as
```

4

Example: calculator with vars

We want to write a function that converts strings to ASTs if possible:

```
parse :: String -> Aexpr

For example:
    \( \lambda \) parse "2 + 6"

APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 6)

\( \lambda \) parse "(x - y) / 2"

ADiv (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "y")) (AConst 2)
\( \lambda \) parse "2 +"

*** Exception: Error {errMsg = "Syntax error"}
```

5

Two-step-strategy

How do I read a sentence "He ate a bagel"?

- First split into words: ["He", "ate", "a", "bagel"]
- Then relate words to each other: "He" is the subject, "ate" is the verb, etc

Let's do the same thing to "read" programs!

1. Lexing: From String to Tokens

A string is a list of characters:



First we aggregate characters that "belong together" into tokens (i.e. the "words" of the program):











We distinguish tokens of different kinds based on their format:

- all numbers: integer constant
- alphanumeric, starts with a letter: identifier
- +: plus operator
- etc

7

2. Parsing: From Tokens to AST

Next, we convert a sequence of tokens into an AST

- · This is hard...
- ... but the hard parts do not depend on the language!

Parser generators

- Given the description of the token format generates a lexer
- Given the description of the grammar generates a parser

We will be using parser generators, so we only care about how to describe the token format and the grammar

8

Lexing

We will use the tool called alex to generate the lexer

Input to alex: a .x file that describes the token format

Tokens

First we list the kinds of tokens we have in the language:

```
data Token
```

```
= NUM AlexPosn Int
| ID AlexPosn String
| PLUS AlexPosn
| MINUS AlexPosn
| MUL AlexPosn
| DIV AlexPosn
| LPAREN AlexPosn
| RPAREN AlexPosn
| EOF AlexPosn
```

10

Token rules

Next we describe the format of each kind of token using a rule:

Each line consist of

- a regular expression that describes which strings should be recognized as this token
- $\bullet\ \$ a Haskell expression that generates the token

You read it as:

- if at position p in the input string
- you encounter a substring s that matches the regular expression
- evaluate the Haskell expression with arguments p and s

11

Regular Expressions

A regular expression has one of the following forms:

```
    [c1 c2 ... cn] matches any of the characters c1 .. cn
    [0-9] matches any digit
    [a-z] matches any lower-case letter
    [A-Z] matches any upper-case letter
    [a-z A-Z] matches any letter
    R1 R2 matches a string s1 +
    + s2 where s1 matches R1 and s2 matches R2
    e.g. [0-9] [0-9] matches any two-digit string
    R+ matches one or more repetitions of what R matches
    e.g. [0-9]+ matches a natural number
```

• R* matches zero or more repetitions of what R matches

QUIZ

(A) (empty string)

(B) 5

(C) x5

(D) x

(E) C and D



http://tiny.cc/cmps112-regex-ind

13

QUIZ

(A) (empty string)

(B) 5

(C) x5

(D) x

(E) C and D



http://tiny.cc/cmps112-regex-grp

14

Back to token rules

We can name some common regexps like:

```
$digit = [0-9]
$alpha = [a-z A-Z]
and write [a-z A-Z] [a-z A-Z 0-9]* as $alpha [$alpha $digit]*
                          { \p _ -> PLUS p }
 [\-]
                          { \p _ -> MINUS p }
                           [\*]
 [\/]
                          { \p _ -> LPAREN p }
 \(
                          { \p _ -> RPAREN p }
 $alpha [$alpha $digit \_ \']* { \p s -> ID p s }
 $digit+
                          { \p s -> NUM p (read s) }
```

- When you encounter a +, generate a PLUS token ... etc
- When you encounter a nonempty string of digits, convert it into an integer and generate a $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NUM}}$
- When you encounter an alphanumeric string that starts with a letter, save it in an ID token

Running the Lexer

From the token rules, alex generates a function alexScan which

- given an input string, find the $\emph{longest}$ prefix p that matches one of the rules
- if p is empty, it fails
- otherwise, it converts p into a token and returns the rest of the string

We wrap this function into a handy function

```
parseTokens :: String -> Either ErrMsg [Token]
```

which repeatedly calls alexScan until it consumes the whole input string or fails

16

Running the Lexer

17

QUIZ

What is the result of parseTokens "92zoo" (positions omitted for readability)? *

- (A) Lexical error
- (B) [ID "92zoo"]
- (C) [NUM "92"]
- (D) [NUM "92", ID "zoo"]



http://tiny.cc/cmps112-ptoken-ind

QUIZ

What is the result of parseTokens "92zoo" (positions omitted for readability)? *

(A) Lexical error

(B) [ID "92zoo"]

(C) [NUM "92"]

(D) [NUM "92", ID "zoo"]



http://tiny.cc/cmps112-ptoken-grp

19

Parsing

We will use the tool called happy to generate the parser

Input to happy: a .y file that describes the grammar

20

Parsing

Wait, wasn't this the grammar?

data Aexpr

= AConst Int AVar

APlus Aexpr Aexpr AMinus Aexpr Aexpr AMu1 Aexpr Aexpr ADiv Aexpr Aexpr

This was abstract syntax

Now we need to describe concrete syntax

- What programs look like when written as text
 and how to map that text into the abstract syntax

Grammars

A grammar is a recursive definition of a set of trees

- each tree is a parse tree for some string
- parse a string S = find a parse tree for S that belongs to the grammar

A grammar is made of:

- Terminals: the leaves of the tree (tokens!)
- · Nonterminals: the internal nodes of the tree
- **Production Rules** that describe how to "produce" a non-terminal from terminals and other non-terminals
 - i.e. what children each nonterminal can have:

```
Aexpr: -- NT Aexpr can have as children:

Aexpr'+' Aexpr { ... } -- NT Aexpr, T'+', and NT Aexpr, or
Aexpr'-' AExpr { ... } -- NT Aexpr, T'-', and NT Aexpr, or
...
```

22

Terminals

Terminals correspond to the tokens returned by the lexer

In the .y file, we have to declare with terminals in the rules correspond to which tokens from the Token datatype:

%token

```
TNUM { NUM _ $$ }
ID { ID _ $$ }
'+' { PLUS _ }
'-' { MINUS _ }
'*' { MUL _ }
'/' { DIV _ }
'(' { LPAREN _ }
')' { RPAREN _ }
```

- Each thing on the left is terminal (as appears in the production rules)
- Each thing on the right is a Haskell pattern for datatype ${\color{red}\mathsf{Token}}$
- We use \$\$ to designate one parameter of a token constructor as the token value
 - $^{\circ}$ we will refer back to it from the production rules

23

Production rules

Next we define productions for our language:

```
Aexpr: TNUM { AConst $1 } 
| ID { AVar $1 } 
| '(' Aexpr')' { $2 } 
| Aexpr'*' Aexpr { AMul $1 $3 } 
| Aexpr'+' Aexpr { APlus $1 $3 } 
| Aexpr'-' Aexpr { AMinus $1 $3 }
```

The expression on the right computes the value of this node

• \$1 \$2 \$3 refer to the *values* of the respective child nodes

Production rules

Example: parsing (2) as AExpr:

- 1. Lexer returns a sequence of Tokens: [LPAREN, NUM 2, RPAREN]
- LPAREN is the token for terminal '(', so let's pick production '(' Aexpr ')'
- 3. Now we have to parse NUM 2 as Aexpr and RPAREN as ')'
- 4. NUM 2 is a token for nonterminal TNUM, so let's pick production TNUM
- 5. The value of this Aexpr node is AConst 2, since the value of TNUM is 2
- The value of the top-level Aexpr node is also AConst 2 (see the '(' Aexpr ')'production)

25

QUIZ

What is the value of the root AExpr node when parsing 1 + 2 + 3?

- (A) Cannot be parsed as AExpr
- (B) 6
- (C) APlus (APlus (AConst 1) (AConst 2)) (AConst 3)
- O (D) APlus (AConst 1) (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 3))



http://tiny.cc/cmps112-aexpr-ind

26

QUIZ

What is the value of the root AExpr node when parsing 1 + 2 + 3?

```
Aexpr: TNUM { AConst $1 } 

| ID { AVar $1 } 

| '(' Aexpr')' { $2 ] 

| Aexpr'*' Aexpr { AMul $1 $3 } 

| Aexpr'+' Aexpr { APlus $1 $3 } 

| Aexpr'-' Aexpr { AMinus $1 $3 }
```

- (A) Cannot be parsed as AExpr
- (B) 6
- (C) APlus (APlus (AConst 1) (AConst 2)) (AConst 3)
- (D) APlus (AConst 1) (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 3))

http://tiny.cc/cmps112-aexpr-grp

Running the Parser

```
First, we should tell the parser that the top-level non-terminal is AExpr:
```

%name aexpr

From the production rules and this line, happy generates a function aexpr that tries to parse a sequence of tokens as AExpr

We package this function together with the lexer and the evaluator into a handy function

```
evalString :: Env -> String -> Int

We can test the function like so:

\( \lambda \) evalString [] "1 + 3 + 6"

10
\( \lambda \) evalString [("x", 100), ("y", 20)] "x - y"

80
\( \lambda \) evalString [] "2 * 5 + 5"

20
\( \lambda \) evalString [] "2 - 1 - 1"
```

28

Precedence and associativity

```
\( \lambda \) evalString [] "2 * 5 + 5"
20
The problem is that our grammar is ambiguous!
There are multiple ways of parsing the string 2 * 5 + 5, namely

• APlus (AMul (AConst 2) (AConst 5)) (AConst 5) (good)

• AMul (AConst 2) (APlus (AConst 5) (AConst 5)) (bad!)
```

Wanted: tell happy that * has higher precedence than +!

29

Precedence and associativity

```
λ> evalString [] "2 - 1 - 1"
2
There are multiple ways of parsing 2 - 1 - 1, namely
• AMinus (AMinus (AConst 2) (AConst 1)) (AConst 1) (good)
• AMinus (AConst 2) (AMinus (AConst 1) (AConst 1)) (bad!)
Wanted: tell happy that - is left-associative!
```

How do we communicate precedence and associativity to happy?

Solution 1: Grammar factoring

```
We can split the AExpr non-terminal into multiple "levels"
```

```
Aexpr: Aexpr'+' Aexpr2
| Aexpr'-' Aexpr2
| Aexpr2 '* Aexpr3
| Aexpr2 '/ Aexpr3
| Aexpr3 ' Aexpr3
| Aexpr3 ' Aexpr3
| Aexpr3 ' Aexpr3
| Aexpr3 ' Aexpr3

Aexpr3 : TNUM
| ID
| '(' Aexpr')'

Intuition: AExpr2 "binds tighter" than AExpr, and AExpr3 is the tightest

Now I cannot parse the string 2 * 5 + 5 as

• AMul (AConst 2) (APlus (AConst 5) (AConst 5)) Why?

Because the RHS of * has to be AExpr3, while 5 + 5 is not an AExpr3 (it's an AExpr)
```

Solution 2: Parser directives

This problem is so common that parser generators have a special syntax for it!

%left '+' '-' %left '*' '/'

What this means:

- · All our operators are left-associative
- Operators on the lower line have higher precedence