Pointerlab academic integrity violation

Through careful manual inspection, we have identified that the student's code matches what ChatGPT outputs. ChatGPT is an Artificial Intelligence agent that can generate code, essays, explanations, etc. based on user prompts. We have found that while it can generate different variants/versions of code, the versions are mostly similar in the code structure and style. We look for these similarities in the student's code and code generated by ChatGPT, akin to how we look for similarities between the student's code and online code or code from other students. That is, the ChatGPT code is yet another source of external code that the students may be using as the basis for their code, which is not allowed in this course.

Note that there are sometimes cosmetic differences between the student code and external code due to students modifying the code, and in the case of ChatGPT, it's possible ChatGPT or the student may be responsible for these differences. But just like how we identify violations with other online code sources, we focus on the core code structure and style similarities to demonstrate that an external resource was referenced.

Our course academic integrity policy explicitly forbids the use of ChatGPT or any external resource for anything directly related to the assignments. Since students are not allowed to get hints from any of these sources or even reference any external source, there should not be similarities between student code and these external resources. Whether the student directly utilized an Artificial Intelligence agent or indirectly referenced the code via a website, online forum, code from another student, or any other code that is based on an Artificial Intelligence agent, all of these would be considered violations. Thus, the purpose of this document is to demonstrate the code similarity, which indicates the code was not independently and individually developed by the student.

Evidence of code similarity:

Here, we present the similar code from the student (left) and ChatGPT (right). We then highlight the unique characteristics that suggest that the ChatGPT code was referenced.

```
| (filter-court = NULL, we've reached the end of the tree, so return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry tree or end of tree
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry tree or end of tree
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry tree or end of tree
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry tree or end of tree
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry tree or end of tree
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth exceeded; set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceed the max depth exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return
| (filter-court) return; // Hondle entry the left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return return left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return left sould exceeded, set current to MALL and return left sould exce
```

1. What stands out in this code are the lines with iter->parents[iter->depth++] and iter->parents[--iter->depth] (cyan boxes). This uses a post-increment and pre-decrement operators, which are an advanced C style that most students are

- unfamiliar with. Most experienced programmers avoid this style since it can be confusing and makes the code harder to read. For this particular piece of code, it seems that ChatGPT tends to generate code with this style, whereas a typical human programmer would adopt different styles.
- 2. Another part that stands out is the identical while loop conditions (green box). It's a complex loop condition that happens to match nearly exactly with only the ordering of the second condition equality switched, which doesn't impact the code. The contents of the while loop are also equivalent.
- 3. The code in the magenta box is also equivalent, including the use of the ternary notation with the ? and : symbols. The ternary notation is yet another uncommon code style that students aren't expected to know or use. It is more common than the post/pre increment/decrement use cases in the cyan boxes, but it's an uncommon use case that stands out as unusually similar.
- 4. Lastly, note that the student implemented all of the tree iterator code (including this function, which is the most complex part of the assignment) in just 16 minutes.

```
commit 1c6cd6fc4b18953d18a838a62433a2e96370064b
Author: UDogg <choudharyu2003@gmail.com>
Date: Thu Jan 18 02:55:07 2024 -0500

stumbled with tree_iterator_next()
but was fine after reading pointer.h
and comments before each function.

commit 22b55020e17f58d5730a98bb69959fc02f68428c
Author: UDogg <choudharyu2003@gmail.com>
Date: Thu Jan 18 02:39:10 2024 -0500

implemented linked list functions in pointer.c.
Current Score is 54/100
```

This is just unrealistically fast. Implementing, testing, and debugging this part of the code can take some students days, and the fastest students would take many hours. For reference, one of my TAs took about 5 hours on this part. As described above, the code was implemented in a complex style that makes it difficult to implement and debug, and it uses features most likely unknown to students. This makes it even less believable that this could be done in 16 minutes without any external assistance. Given that the code also matches what ChatGPT outputs, I'm confident the code was based on some external source, whether it be ChatGPT directly or some other external source that was indirectly based on ChatGPT.

There are many ways to write the code:

Here, we present a few other ways of writing the code to demonstrate that there are many correct ways of doing the assignment. We show 3 samples from student code, but there are many other ways of writing the code. If the academic integrity committee would like additional examples, please let me know.

Sample 1:

```
void tree_iterator_next(tree_iterator_t* iter)
   // IMPLEMENT THIS
// The case of being a parent node
if (iter->curr == NULL) {
        iter->curr = NULL;
    else {
        // ensure that current node is not rightmost (which is last node)
tree_node_t* rightmost = iter->parents[0];
        while (rightmost != NULL && rightmost->right != NULL) {
            rightmost = rightmost->right;
        if (rightmost == iter->curr) {
            iter->curr = NULL;
        // The case of being a node with no right child
// Navigate up parents until left child of parent is current node, parent=next
        else if (iter->curr->right == NULL && iter->depth >= 0 && iter->depth < MAX_DEPTH) {
            int parent = ((int)iter->depth)-1;
            tree_node_t* current = iter->curr;
            while (parent >= 0 && parent < MAX_DEPTH && current != NULL) {
                 if (parent == 0 && iter->parents[parent]->left != current) {
                     parent = -1;
                 }
                 else if (iter->parents[parent]->left == current) {
                     iter->curr = iter->parents[parent];
                     iter->depth = (unsigned int)parent;
                     parent = -5;
                 else {
                     current = iter->parents[parent];
                     parent--;
            if (parent != -5 || current == NULL) {
                 iter->curr = NULL;
        else if (iter->curr->right != NULL) {
            iter->parents[iter->depth] = iter->curr;
            if (iter->curr->right->left != NULL) {
                 tree_node_t* current = iter->curr->right;
                 iter->depth++;
                 while (current != NULL && current->left != NULL) {
                     iter->parents[iter->depth] = current;
                     iter->depth++;
                     current = current->left;
                 iter->curr = current;
             // If right child does not have left children, it becomes the next node
            else {
                 iter->curr = iter->curr->right;
                 iter->depth++;
        else {
            iter->curr = NULL;
```

Sample 2:

```
_iterator_next(tree_iterator_t* iter)
if (iter->curr == NULL) {
unsigned int depth_sub = 1;
if (iter->depth == 0) {
   depth_sub = 0;
if (iter->curr->left == NULL && iter->curr->right == NULL) {
   depth_sub++;
        // Checks for end of iteration
int depth_check = (int)iter->depth - (int)depth_sub;
        if (depth_check < 0) {
            iter->curr = NULL;
    if (iter->depth != 0) {
        iter->curr = iter->parents[iter->depth - depth_sub];
    iter->depth = iter->depth - depth_sub;
}
// If node was previously a parent \& has had its left subtree fully explored else if (iter->curr->right != NULL) {
    iter->parents[iter->depth] = NULL;
    iter->curr = iter->curr->right;
    iter->depth++;
    while (iter->curr->left != NULL) {
   iter->parents[iter->depth] = iter->curr;
        iter->curr = iter->curr->left;
        iter->depth++;
else if (iter->curr->left != NULL) {
   iter->parents[iter->depth] = NULL;
    while (iter->parents[iter->depth - depth_sub] == NULL) {
        depth_sub++;
        int depth_check = (int)iter->depth - (int)depth_sub;
        if (depth_check < 0) {</pre>
            iter->curr = NULL;
    iter->curr = iter->parents[iter->depth - depth_sub];
    iter->depth = iter->depth - depth_sub;
}
```

Sample 3:

```
void tree_iterator_next(tree_iterator_t* iter)
  if (iter->curr == NULL) {
   return;
  if (iter->depth == 0 && iter->curr->left == NULL && iter->curr->right == NULL) {
    iter->curr = NULL;
  if (iter->depth == 0 && iter->curr->right == NULL) {
    iter->curr = NULL;
    return;
  // two cases main cases
// 1. Right is NOT null -> right once then all the way left
// 2. Right IS null -> go up to parent
  if (iter->curr->right == NULL) {
    iter->depth = iter->depth - 1;
    iter->curr = iter->parents[iter->depth];
    while (iter->curr->right == iter->parents[iter->depth + 1]) {
      if (iter->depth == 0) {
        if (iter->curr->right == iter->parents[1]) {
          iter->curr = NULL;
        }
      }
      iter->depth = iter->depth - 1;
      iter->curr = iter->parents[iter->depth];
  } else {
    iter->depth = iter->depth + 1;
    iter->curr = iter->curr->right;
    iter->parents[iter->depth] = iter->curr;
    while (iter->curr->left != NULL) {
      iter->depth = iter->depth + 1;
      iter->curr = iter->curr->left;
      iter->parents[iter->depth] = iter->curr;
   }
  }
```