

Ranking Models

## Probabilistic Relevance Models

Rodrygo L. T. Santos  
rodrygo@dcc.ufmg.br

### The ranking problem

Given

- Some evidence of the user's need

Produce

- A list of matching information items
- In decreasing order of relevance

### The ranking problem

Given

- Some evidence of the user's need *query*

Produce

- A list of matching information items *documents*
- In decreasing order of relevance

### The ranking problem



### Ranking under uncertainty

Queries are uncertain

- Short and underspecified

Documents are uncertain

- Quality varies, natural language is ambiguous

Relevance estimates are bound to be uncertain

- Probability theory allows reasoning under uncertainty

### Probabilistic ranking at a glance

Probabilistic relevance models

- Binary Independence Model (BIM)
- Best Match models (e.g., BM25)

Bayesian network models

Language models

Information-theoretic models

### Probability Ranking Principle (PRP)



*Ranking documents by decreasing probability of relevance results in optimal effectiveness, provided that probabilities are estimated (1) with certainty and (2) independently.*

◦ Robertson, 1977

### Probabilistic ranking

Assume binary notion of relevance

- $R = 1$  (or  $R$ ): if document  $d$  is relevant w.r.t query  $q$
- $R = 0$  (or  $\bar{R}$ ): otherwise

Probabilistic ranking orders documents decreasingly by their estimated probability of relevance w.r.t. query

$$f(q, d) = P(R|d, q)$$

### Probability recap #1: Bayes' rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) P(A)}{P(B)}$$

A way of updating probabilities

- From the prior  $P(A)$ , derive the posterior  $P(A|B)$
- Given evidence  $B$  and likelihood  $P(B|A)$

### Direct estimation

$$f(q, d) = P(R|d, q) = \frac{P(d|R, q)P(R|q)}{P(d|q)}$$

For a given query  $q$

- How likely is  $d$  among  $R$ ?  $P(d|R, q)$
- How likely is  $R$  in general?  $P(R|q)$
- How likely is  $d$  (regardless of  $R$ )?  $P(d|q)$

**Do we  
need to  
estimate  
all these?**

### Probability recap #2: odds of an event

$$O(A) = \frac{P(A)}{P(\bar{A})} = \frac{P(A)}{1 - P(A)}$$

Provide a "multiplier" for how probabilities change

- Probability of an event occurring vs.  
Probability of the event not occurring

Order preserving transformation

**Odds estimation**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) = O(R|d, q) &= \frac{P(R|d, q)}{P(\bar{R}|d, q)} \\
 &= \frac{P(d|R, q)P(R|q)/P(d|q)}{P(d|\bar{R}, q)P(\bar{R}|q)/P(d|q)} \\
 &= \frac{P(d|R, q)}{P(d|\bar{R}, q)} \frac{P(R|q)}{P(\bar{R}|q)}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Odds estimation**

$$f(q, d) = O(R|d, q) \propto \frac{P(d|R, q)}{P(d|\bar{R}, q)}$$

## How to estimate $P(d|R, q)$ ?

**Estimating  $P(d|R, q)$** 

To make a probabilistic ranking strategy precise, we need to estimate the contribution of individual terms

- Find measurable term-level statistics that affect judgments about document relevance
- Combine these statistics to estimate the probability of relevance of the entire document

**Binary independence assumption**

“Binary”

- Documents and queries as incidence vectors

- $q = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{|V|})$  with  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

- $d = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{|V|})$  with  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$

“Independence”

- No association between terms (not true, but works)

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) = O(R|d, q) &\propto \frac{P(d|R, q)}{P(d|\bar{R}, q)} \\
 &\approx \prod_t \frac{P(y_t|R, q)}{P(y_t|\bar{R}, q)} \quad \text{term independence}
 \end{aligned}$$

Further simplifying assumption

- Out-of-query terms do not matter

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) = O(R|d, q) &\propto \frac{P(d|R, q)}{P(d|\bar{R}, q)} \\
 &\approx \prod_t \frac{P(y_t|R, q)}{P(y_t|\bar{R}, q)} \\
 &\approx \prod_t \frac{P(y_t|R)}{P(y_t|\bar{R})} \quad \text{out-of-query terms dropped}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) &\approx \prod_{t \in d} \frac{P(y_t|R)}{P(y_t|\bar{R})} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline p_t \\ \hline u_t \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{t \notin d} \frac{P(\bar{y}_t|R)}{P(\bar{y}_t|\bar{R})} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 - p_t \\ \hline 1 - u_t \\ \hline \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) &\approx \prod_{t \in d} \frac{p_t}{u_t} \times \prod_{t \notin d} \frac{1 - p_t}{1 - u_t} \\
 &\quad \times \left( \prod_{t \in d} \frac{1 - u_t}{1 - p_t} \times \prod_{t \notin d} \frac{1 - p_t}{1 - u_t} \right) \quad \text{trick: multiply by "1"}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) &\approx \prod_{t \in d} \frac{p_t}{u_t} \times \prod_{t \in d} \frac{1 - u_t}{1 - p_t} \quad \text{rearranging all products} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{t \in d} \frac{1 - p_t}{1 - u_t} \times \prod_{t \notin d} \frac{1 - p_t}{1 - u_t}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) &\approx \prod_{t \in d} \frac{p_t(1 - u_t)}{u_t(1 - p_t)} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_t \frac{1 - p_t}{1 - u_t} \quad \text{document-independent component dropped}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Binary Independence Model (BIM)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(q, d) &\approx \prod_{t \in d} \frac{p_t(1 - u_t)}{u_t(1 - p_t)} \\
 &\propto \log \prod_{t \in d} \frac{p_t(1 - u_t)}{u_t(1 - p_t)} \quad \text{order preserving transformation} \\
 &= \sum_{t \in d} \log \frac{p_t(1 - u_t)}{u_t(1 - p_t)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Nice, but  
how do  
we get  $p_t$   
and  $u_t$ ?

### Probability estimates in practice

$$p_t = P(y_t|R)$$

- Cannot estimate without actual relevance data  
→ assume constant (e.g.,  $p_t = 0.5$ )

$$u_t = P(y_t|\bar{R})$$

- Non-relevant documents are the vast majority  
→ assume collection statistics ( $u_t = n_t/n$ )

### Probability estimates in practice

$$\begin{aligned} f(q, d) &\approx \sum_{t \in d} \log \frac{p_t(1 - u_t)}{u_t(1 - p_t)} \\ &= \sum_{t \in d} \log \frac{0.5(1 - n_t/n)}{n_t/n(1 - 0.5)} \\ &= \sum_{t \in d} \log \frac{n - n_t}{n_t} \approx \sum_{t \in d} \log \frac{n}{n_t} \end{aligned}$$

How  
effective  
is BIM?

### How effective is BIM?

BIM was originally designed for short catalog records of fairly consistent length (e.g., titles or abstracts)

- Works reasonably well in these contexts

For modern full-text search collections, a model should pay attention to term frequency and document length

- BM25 is sensitive to these quantities

### Okapi BM25

Binary Independence Model

$$\circ f(q, d) \approx \sum_{t \in q \cap d} \log \frac{n}{n_t}$$

Okapi/BM25 [Robertson and Walker, 1994]

$$\circ f(q, d) = \sum_{t \in q} c(t, q) \frac{(k_1 + 1) c(t, d)}{c(t, d) + k_1 \left( (1 - b) + b \frac{|d|}{\text{avdl}} \right)} \log \frac{n + 1}{n_t}$$

## Summary

Relevance cannot be predicted with certainty

- Probabilistic theory provides a solid framework

BIM makes a few assumptions

- Boolean representations of docs/queries/relevance
- Terms are independent of one another
- Out-of-query terms do not affect ranking

## References

[Introduction to Information Retrieval](#), Ch. 11

Manning et al., 2008

[Search Engines: Information Retrieval in Practice](#), Ch. 7

Croft et al., 2009

[The Probabilistic Relevance Framework](#)

Robertson and Zaragoza, FNTIR 2009



Coming next...

## Language Models

Rodrygo L. T. Santos  
rodrygo@dcc.ufmg.br