

## SOLUTION OF PROBLEM SET

### INTRODUCTION (CHAPTER 01)

---

#### Necessary reading for this assignment:

- *The Science of Quantitative Information Flow* (Alvim, Chatzikokolakis, McIver, Morgan, Palamidessi, and Smith):
    - Chapter 1: *Introduction*
      - \* Chapter 1.1: *A first discussion of information leakage*
      - \* Chapter 1.2: *Looking ahead*
- 

#### Review questions.

1. Explain what are the main goals of the study of quantitative information flow (QIF).

**Instructor's solution:** Quantitative information flow is the area of knowledge concerned with quantifying how much sensitive information leaks through the observable behavior of a system.

2. Give an example of a system that could potentially leak sensitive information through observable outputs.

**Instructor's solution:** A password checker may leak information about a secret password by accepting or rejecting a user's guess. If a correct guess is accepted, it's revealed that it corresponds to the correct password; if an incorrect guess is rejected, then it's revealed that the password is not that guess. In any case, the observable behavior of the password checker (either accepting or rejecting a guess) always leaks some information about the (secret) password value.

#### Exercises.

3. (Exercise 1.1) Recall dice channel  $C$  from §1.1, defined by  $C(r, w) = r + w$ . Now consider a channel  $E$  that instead outputs the *maximum* of the two dice, so that  $E(r, w) = \max\{r, w\}$ . Assuming a uniform prior distribution  $\vartheta$ , find the additive and multiplicative Bayes leakage of  $E$ . What partition of  $\mathcal{X}$  does  $E$  give?

**Instructor's solution:** The set of possible outputs is  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , so by Corollary 1.2 we have  $\mathcal{L}_1^\times(\vartheta, E) = 6$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1^+(\vartheta, E) = 5/36$ . The partition of  $\mathcal{X}$  consists of 6 blocks:

$\{(1, 1)\}$   
 $\{(1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 1)\}$   
 $\{(1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 3), (3, 2), (3, 1)\}$   
 $\{(1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 4), (4, 3), (4, 2), (4, 1)\}$   
 $\{(1, 5), (2, 5), (3, 5), (4, 5), (5, 5), (5, 4), (5, 3), (5, 2), (5, 1)\}$   
 $\{(1, 6), (2, 6), (3, 6), (4, 6), (5, 6), (6, 6), (6, 5), (6, 4), (6, 3), (6, 2), (6, 1)\}$

4. (Exercise 1.2) Consider an election in which  $k$  voters choose between candidates  $A$  and  $B$ . Ballots are supposed to be secret, of course, so we can take the sequence of votes cast to be the secret input  $X$ . (For example, if  $k = 3$  then the set  $\mathcal{X}$  of possible values for  $X$  is  $\{AAA, AAB, ABA, ABB, BAA, BAB, BBA, BBB\}$ .) Assuming a uniform prior  $\vartheta$ , the prior Bayes vulnerability  $V_1(\vartheta) = 2^{-k}$ , since there are  $2^k$  possible sequences of votes, each occurring with probability  $2^{-k}$ .

When the election is tallied, the number of votes for each candidate is made public. (For example, when  $k = 8$  we might get the vote sequence  $AABABAAB$ , whose tally is 5 votes for  $A$  and 3 votes for  $B$ .) Note that election tabulation can be seen as a *deterministic channel*  $T$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ , where  $Y$  is the tally of votes.

- (a) Given  $k$ , what is the multiplicative Bayes leakage  $\mathcal{L}_1^\times(\vartheta, T)$  of the election tabulation channel?
- (b) Suppose we want the *posterior Bayes vulnerability*  $V_1[\vartheta \triangleright T]$  to be at most  $1/8$ . Determine the minimum value of  $k$  that achieves that bound.

**Instructor's solution:**

- (a) The possible outputs of the election tabulation channel are all the possible tallies of votes; such a tally can be written as a pair  $(a, b)$  where  $a$  is the number of votes for  $A$  and  $b$  is the number of votes for  $B$ . Note that with  $k$  voters we always have  $a + b = k$ , since we are assuming no abstentions. So the number of possible tallies is  $k + 1$ , since the number of votes for  $A$  can be any number from 0 up to  $k$ . Hence by Corollary 1.2 we get  $\mathcal{L}_1^\times(\vartheta, T) = k + 1$ .
- (b) Since  $\vartheta$  is uniform and  $T$  is deterministic, by Theorem 1.1 we have  $V_1[\vartheta \triangleright T] = (k+1)/2^k$ , since the number of possible channel outputs is  $k + 1$  and the number of possible values of the secret is  $2^k$ . Since  $2^k$  grows much faster than  $k + 1$ , we see that  $V_1[\vartheta \triangleright T]$  decreases as  $k$  grows:

$k$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$V_1[\vartheta \triangleright T]$	1	$3/4$	$1/2$	$5/16$	$3/16$	$7/64$	$1/16$	$9/256$

From the table, we see that if we want the posterior Bayes vulnerability to be at most  $1/8$ , then we need  $k$  to be at least 6.