System Verification and Validation Plan for SubLiMat

Uriel Garcilazo Cruz

April 10, 2025

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Feb. 15th	1.0	First version
Apr. 4th	1.1	Revisited version after comments

Contents

1 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

Two tables from the SRS documentation Garcilazo-Cruz (2025) are important for providing context to the terms and abbreviations used in this document: Table of Symbols, and Abbreviations and Acronyms. Please refer to SRS for further information.

This document serves as a systematic point of reference for the SubLi-Mat software verification and validation process, with the goal of thoroughly documenting the verification and validation goals of the scientific program. The reader should refer to the SRS documentation for a detailed description of the software's theoretical framework, requirements and functionality. The document begins with the General information section 2, followed by a verification plan and a description of the system tests section 3. The document concludes with a unit test description section 4

2 General Information

2.1 Summary

This document reviews the verification and discusses the validation for the Substitution Matrix Benchmarking with Pariwise Sequence alignment (Sub-LiMat) software. SubLiMat is a program that describes the quality of genetic sequence alignments across different substitution matrices.

2.2 Objectives

The primary objective of the presented documentation is to provide a framework that enables a comparative and structured analysis on the confidence of the SubLiMat software correctness, while also exposing potential boundaries in the software's usability, and demonstrating examples of adequate usability, helping build expectations and giving awareness of potential caveats. Some objectives are out of the scope of the program's verification and validation analysis, at least in the documentation's first version, due to the limited amount of resources available for the project, including but not restricted to hardware and logistic constraints. The objectives outside of the scope of this documentation include a comprehensive testing of the software's validity given the lack of domain experts available. The verification and validation

document will not include a comprehensive comparison with other software, due to the fundamental difference in the software's approach to solving sequence alginments via heuristic algorithms, whereas SubLiMat assumes the identification of the global optimum. Lastly, the verification of large datasets, including genomic-sized data, are out of the scope of the current testing protocol, as is is assumed the software works with coding genetic sequences commonly found in molecular biology.

2.3   Relevant Documentation

The relevant documentation for SubLiMat includes the Software Requirements Specification (Garcilazo-Cruz, 2025), the Module Guide (MG), Module Interface Specification (MIS) and the Problem Statement. These elements can be found in the GitHub repository for the project, and are available for consultation at any time.

The SRS document provides a detailed description of the software's requirements, including the functional and non-functional requirements, and the theoretical framework that supports the software's functionality. The MG document provides a detailed description of the software's architecture, including the modules that compose the software, and the relationships between them. The Problem Statement provides a brief overview of the software's purpose and functionality, and the motivation behind the software's development.

3   Plan

The verification and validation plan for SubLiMat is divided into several sections. First, there is a description of the verification and validation team, where the roles of each team member are defined. Next, there is a description of the SRS verification plan, which includes the approaches that will be used to verify the software's requirements (both functional and non-functional). Then there is a description of the design verification plan and the implementation verification plan, which describe the decisions and procedures that will be made to deploy testing.

Name	Document	Role	Description
Dr. Spencer Smith	All	Instructor	Reviews documentation correctness and adherence to verification standards
Uriel Garcilazo Cruz	All	Author	Creator of the documentation, including SGS, MG1 and VnV
Junwei Lin	All	Domain Expert	Reviews documentation content and ensures the validity in the theory supported by each document

3.1 Verification and Validation Team

3.2 SRS Verification Plan

The documentation for SubLiMat will be reviewed by the team members, including the author, using the following recipe:

1. The author will review the documentation for correctness, adherence to verification and alignment to documentation standards.

2. A new issue will be created for each version of the documentation on GitHub, and shared with the collaborators Dr. Spencer Smith and Junwei Lin.

3. The domain expert will review the documentation for correctness and ensure the validity of the theory supported by each document.

4. The instructor will review the documentation for correctness and alignment with documentation standards.

5. Author will address the issues and make the necessary changes to the documentation.

3.3 Design Verification Plan

The design verification plan for SubLiMat will be reviewed by the team members, including the author, using a dynamic verification approach by the main author Uriel Garcilazo-Cruz, and assisted in the verification and

test accuracy by the domain expert Junwei Lin. The instructor Dr. Spencer Smith will review the documentation to determine correctness and adherence to verification standards. The verification will be assisted by the use of a checklist, which will be used to ensure that all aspects of the design have been covered. The list can be found at Vnv implementation checklist

3.4   Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

The verification and validation plan for SubLiMat will be reviewed systematically through the following process:

1. The author (Uriel Garcilazo Cruz) will create and validate the initial VnV plan.

2. A new issue will be created on GitHub for each version of the VnV plan.

3. Domain expert (Junwei Lin) will review the documentation and provide feedback through GitHub issues

4. Dr. Spencer Smith will conduct the final review of the VnV plan as the instructor.

5. The author will address all issues and incorporate suggested changes.

6. All reviews will be guided by the VnV Checklist (VnV-Checklist.pdf).

The progress of this review process will be tracked through GitHub issues, allowing for transparent communication and documentation of all feedback and revisions.

3.5   Implementation Verification Plan

To verify SubLiMat's implementation, the team will follow the following steps:

1. Static verification:

   - Author (Uriel Garcilazo-Cruz) will validate and create the first version of the code.

- Using pylint to check for code quality and adherence to coding standards in the programming language Python, and following the PEP8 guidelines.
- Using pytest to check for code unit testing and evaluate code standards.

2. Dynamic verification:

- The test cases indicated in section 5 will be used to verify the implementation of the software.
- Using pytest to carry out the tests and verify the software's functionality.

3.6 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

The automated testing will take place using the pytest framework, which will be used to run the test cases in combination with continuous integration allowed by GitHub Actions. The test cases will be written in Python, and will be used to verify the software's functionality.

3.7 Software Validation Plan

A validation plan for SubLiMat is beyond the scope of this document, given the limited amount of domain experts available to perform such validation, and the time available to complete the project's verification.

4 System Tests

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

In agreement with the functional requirements stated in the SRS document Garcilazo-Cruz (2025), the following tests will be performed to verify the software's functionality. R1 amd R2 functional requirements are related to the SubLiMat inputs, and R3, R4 and R5 are related to the software's outputs.

4.1.1 Input Tests: Valid Inputs

The SRS document Garcilazo-Cruz (2025) refers to the functional requirement R1 as the main descriptor on the software's inputs, and R2 for the construction of a comparative matrix used in Needleman and Wunsch (1970).

ID	Input (string)		Output	
	SEQ_A	SEQ_B	valid?	Error Message
TC-SubLiMat-1-1	ATCG	ATCG	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-1-2	" "	ATCG	N	Sequence length bigger than zero
TC-SubLiMat-1-3	ATCG	" "	N	Sequence length bigger than zero
TC-SubLiMat-1-4	" "	" "	N	Sequence length bigger than zero
TC-SubLiMat-1-5	ATCG	A_CG	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-1-6	A	ATCGGG	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-1-7	A	T	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-1-8	RTGA	ATGA	N	Sequence contains non DNA symbol

Table 1: Test case inputs and outputs

1. Functional Input Tests - Properties of genetic sequence data (R1)

   Control: Automatic

   Initial State: Hyperparameter defined during runtime

   Input: Sequence A, and sequence B from a row in Table 1

   Output: Return an error message with a detailed description of the sequence(s) creating the issue, or a list of numbers representing the alignment score(s) for the pairwise sequence alignment(s) of the input sequences SEQ_A and SEQ_B.

   Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to handle different types of input data that represent genetic sequences, including valid and invalid sequences, and sequences with different lengths. The system returns error messages when the physical constrains of the data are violated, in cases where the lenght of the sequence is zero, or when the sequence contains non-DNA symbols. For valid sequences, the system returns a list of numbers representing the alignment score(s). The list is summarized in a .csv format produced by the pandas library.

The execution of such sequences is considered successful if a .csv file is produced.

How test will be performed: Automatically using the pytest framework.

2. Functional Input Tests - Input Tests: Valid matrices of F(R2)

	Input (bp)		Output	
ID	$SEQ_{A_k=1}^n$	$SEQ_{B_k=1}^m$	Valid?	Error Message
TC-SubLiMat-2-1	$n = 10$	$m = 10$	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-2-2	$n = 100$	$m = 10$	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-2-3	$n = 10$	$m = 100$	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-2-4	$n = 500$	$m = 500$	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-2-5	$n = 5,000$	$m = 5,000$	Y	NONE
TC-SubLiMat-2-6	$n \geq 5,001$	$m \geq 5,001$	N	Sequence length exceeds maximum

Table 2: Test cases for sequence length validation

Control: Automatic

Initial State: Parameter defined during runtime

Input: Sequence A, and sequence B from a row in Table 1 with cases taken from elements from Table 2

Output: Return a matrix with the alignment scores for the pairwise sequence alignment of the input sequences SEQ_A and SEQ_B. Correctness will be determined by the size of the matrix, which should be $n \times m$.

Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to construct a comparison matrix for the alignment of two genetic sequences and returns a null value of N/A for matrices of size greater than 5,000 elements.

How test will be performed: Automatically using the pytest framework.

3. Functional Input Tests - Functional Input Tests - Input Tests: Valid matrices of S (R3)

ID	Input Matrix Dimensions & Content	Valid?	Error Message
TC-SubMat-3-1	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Y	NONE
TC-SubMat-3-2	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	N	Matrix must be 4x4 for nucleotides
TC-SubMat-3-3	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & \text{``}x\text{''} & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	N	Matrix entries must be numeric
TC-SubMat-3-4	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	N	Matrix must be 4x4 for nucleotides
TC-SubMat-3-5	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Y	NONE

Table 3: Test cases for substitution matrix validation. A valid substitution matrix must be 4x4 for nucleotide comparisons, contain only numeric values, and be symmetric.

Control: Automatic

Initial State: Hyperparameter defined by the program

Input: Inputs from Table 3

Output: Return a boolean value indicating the validity of the input matrix S.

Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to validate the

8

input substitution matrix S for the alignment of two genetic sequences, ensuring the matrix is square, contains only numeric values, and is symmetric.

How test will be performed: Automatically using the pytest framework.

4.1.2 Output Tests: Coherence in the alignment

1. Functional Output Tests - Coherence in the alignment (R4 & R5)

   Control: Automatic

   Initial State: Pending output conditional to valid inputs

   Input: A .csv file with the alignment scores for the input sequences SEQ_A and SEQ_B, where every row represents the scores obtained given a specific substitution matrix S.

   Output: An Assertion error "File corrupted" when the .csv file is not readable via python and pandas. A valid input returns None.

   Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to process alignment scores, and build a comparison matrix.

   How test will be performed: Automatically using the pytest framework.

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

As described in SubLiMat SRS document Garcilazo-Cruz (2025), there are five non-functional requirements that will be tested in the software. The non-functional requirements for accuracy will just reference the appropriate functional tests from above.

4.2.1 Nonfunctional: Performance (NFR5 in Garcilazo-Cruz (2025))

Performance

1. Nonfunctional Tests: Performance

   Performance will be evaluated qualitatively by running the software on a Mac OS system, a raspberry pi 4 model B (Linux Bookworm OS), and windows 10 system. Such evaluation will determine if the program is able to run on these operative systems using python 3.10 or above.

If the system is able to exectute the code, a script will evaluate how long it took to run on a set of 10, 100, and 5000 sequences.

This information will be summarized in a table.

Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to execute, in a commercial computer, the alignment of two genetic sequences SEQ_A and SEQ_B.

How test will be performed: manually implementing the installation process.

4.2.2 Nonfunctional: Portability (NFR4 in Garcilazo-Cruz (2025))

Portability

1. Nonfunctional Tests: Portability

ID	Operating System	Expected Result
TC-SCEC-5-1	Windows	"sublimat loaded on Windows"
TC-SCEC-5-2	Linux	"sublimat loaded on Linux"
TC-SCEC-5-3	macOS	"sublimat loaded on MacOS"

Table 4: Test cases for system compatibility across different platforms

Initial State: Fresh system installation with no prior software components

Input: Software installation package

Output: Successful running system over all platforms to verify portability of the software by implementing pytest scripts, same as previously defined.

Test Case Derivation: Evaluates the software's ability to install and run consistently across different operating systems while maintaining functionality and user experience.

How test will be performed: Code developer (Uriel Garcilazo Cruz) will try to install and run whole software in different operating systems.

No.	Question	Answer
1.	Do you have any previous experience with Python?	
2.	Are you familiar with the use of Python?	
3.	Are the manual specifications easy to follow?	
4.	Did you have Python installed in your machine before?	
5.	Did you encounter any issues running the file from terminal?	
6.	Did you encounter any issues loaading your genetic sequences?	
7.	Is the output table easy to understand?	

Table 5: TC-SCEC-5 - Usability test survey

4.2.3 Nonfunctional: Usability (NFR2 in Garcilazo-Cruz (2025))

Usability

1. Nonfunctional Tests: Usability

   Type: Manual

   Input: None

   Initial State: None

   Output: Survey with user responses

   Test Case Derivation: Evaluates how easy it is for the user to interact with the software, and how intuitive the software is to use.

   How test will be performed: An online survey will be created and shared with Junwei Lin (expert domain) of the software, and students in the field of chemistry, or collaborators that meet the user specifications found in the SRS document Garcilazo-Cruz (2025).

4.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

	R1	R2	R3	R4/R5	NFR2	NFR4	NFR5
TC-SubLiMat-1	X						
TC-SubLiMat-2		X					
TC-SubMat-3			X				
TC-SubLiMat-4				X			X
TC-SCEC-5					X	X	

Table 6: Traceability between test cases and requirements

5 Unit Test Description

The program SubLiMat is composed of the following modules:

- **constants.py**: Ensures proper integration with arguments received from user and initializes constants and hyperparameters in the program

- **pairwise_alignment.py**: Responsible of constructing the comparison matrix F and implementing the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm

- **main.py**: Integrates calculation of alignment scores over all substitution matrices and handles formatting

5.1 Unit Testing Scope

The unit testing for SubLiMat will focus on the following modules:

- **pairwise_alignment.py**

The core of the algorithm is implemented in the **pairwise_alignment.py** module, which is responsible for constructing the comparison matrix F and implementing the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm. This module is the most critical part of the software, and the unit tests will focus on verifying the correctness of the alignment scores calculated by the algorithm.

The modules **constants.py** and **main.py** will not be tested in this document, as they are not critical to the software's functionality, serving in the initialization and calling of the algorithm, respectively.

5.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

All of the tests for the functional requirements are described in the section subsection 4.1, and

5.2.1 Module 1

5.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

Tests for nonfunctional requirements are beyond the scope of the unit testing for SubLiMat.

References

Uriel Garcilazo-Cruz. System requirements specification. https://github.com/UGarCil/UGarcil_capstone/tree/main/docs/SRS, 2025.

Saul B. Needleman and Christian D. Wunsch. A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 48(3):443–453, 1970. ISSN 0022-2836. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(70)90057-4. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022283670900574.