# The Design of OrionOS Operating System

Pană, A., Soucup A., Vultur H., Zene, A.

December 2, 2013

#### Abstract

A short description of this report content.

Take into account that a design document is a complete high-level solution to the problem presented. It should be detailed enough that somebody who already understands the problem could go out and code the project without having to make any significant decisions. Further, if this somebody happens to be an experienced coder, they should be able to use the design document to code the solution in a few hours (not necessarily including debugging).

# Chapter 1

# General Presentation

# 1.1 Working Team

- 1. Pană Alexandru
  - (a) Threads: dealt with designing
  - (b) Threads: dealt with synchronisation mechanisms implementation & priority donation
  - (c) Threads: dealt with file system syscalls
- 2. Soucup Adrian
  - (a) Threads: dealt with designing
  - (b) Threads: dealt with advanced scheduler
  - (c) Threads: dealt with wait syscall
- 3. Vultur Horațiu
  - (a) Threads: dealt with designing
  - (b) Threads: dealt with priority scheduler
  - (c) Threads: dealt with argument passing, process termination messages & exec syscall
- 4. Zene Andrei

- (a) Threads: dealt with designing
- (b) Threads: dealt with alarm clock & fixed-point arithmetic
- (c) Threads: dealt with exit syscall, process data structures & denying writes to executables

# Chapter 2

# Design of Module threads

# 2.1 Alarm clock

# 2.1.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project the function  $sleep\_timer$  is implemented as a busy wait. We want to reimplement it to avoid the busy wait.

#### 2.1.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
"In_ thread.c/thread.h:"

struct thread
{

...

/* the time (in number of ticks) at which a

sleeping thread should wake up */

int64_t wakeup_time;

...

};

/* a sorted list containing all sleeping
```

```
(blocked) threads. Sorting is done using
thread_wakeup_time_comparison function*/
static struct list sleep_list;

/* comparison function to order the sleeping
threads ascending by their wakeup time */
list_less_func thread_wakeup_time_comparison;

/* sets the time at which the thread should
wake up and puts the thread in the sleeping
threads list */
void thread_sleep (int64_t wakeup_time);

/*this function checks if there are sleeping
threads that should wake up at this moment
and calls the function thread_unblock for
each of those threads.*/
void handle_sleeping_threads();
```

# 2.1.3 Functionality

When the function  $sleep\_timer$  is called, this function calculates the time (in ticks) at which the thread should wake up, sets this time in the thread structure, inserts the thread in a sleeping list and then calls  $thread\_block$ , in order to set the status THREAD\_BLOCKED, and to call the scheduler. The sleeping list is sorted ascending according to the time at which the threads should wake up (the first thread in this list is the thread that should wake up the earliest). At every timer interrupt, the function  $handle\_sleeping\_threads$  is called which checks if there are threads that can wake up at the current moment of time. If there are, these threads are removed from the sleeping list, and  $thread\_unblock$  is called on them, which sets their status to THREAD\_READY and puts them in the ready list.

In order to avoid race conditions, the interrupts are disabled during the execution of sleep timer and timer interrupt.

### 2.1.4 Design Decisions

This solution has the advantage that the time spent in the  $timer\_interrupt$  function is constant, because the list is ordered. On the other hand the time spent for inserting is O(n), because we use a list to keep the threads. A better solution would be to use a heap as a data structure to keep the sleeping threads. In this way, the insertion timewould be only  $O(\log n)$ .

However, this solution is better than the solution in which at every  $timer\_interrupt$  the whole sleeping list is traversed to see if there is a thread that should wake up even though the insertion is done in constant time, (without sorting the list) because  $timer\_interrupt$  is called more often than the function  $sleep\_timer$ .

#### 2.1.5 Tests

#### alarm-negative

Source: tests/threads/alarm-negative.c

*Purpose*: Checks that the OS doesn't crash when a thread is put to sleep for a negative ammount of ticks.

Description: The current thread is put to sleep for -100 ticks.

#### alarm-zero

Source: tests/threads/alarm-zero.c Purpose: Checks that the OS doesn't put the thread to sleep at all if timer—sleep is called with a value of 0 ticks.

Description: The current thread is put to sleep for 0 ticks. No checks are made.

Solution: In function timer\_sleep, do nothing if sleep\_time is  $\leq 0$ .

#### alarm-simultaneous

Source: tests/threads/alarm-simultaneous.c

Purpose: Creates N threads, each of which sleeps a different, fixed duration, M times. Records the wake-up order and verifies that it is valid.

Description: Each thread is put to sleep 5 times and scheduled to wake up at the same time as all the other threads. The test records the wake times for each iteration relative to the first thread. The first thread must wake up

10 ticks later (relative to it's own previous wakeup time). The rest of the treads must wake up during the same tick as the first (0 ticks relative to the first).

### alarm-multiple

Source: tests/threads/alarm-wait.c

Purpose: Creates N threads, each of which sleeps a different, fixed duration, M times. Records the wake-up order and verifies that it is valid.

Description: Starts 5 threads, each sleeping for thread\_id \* 10 ticks each iteration for 7 iterations. The wakeup time for each thread is given by sleep\_duration \* iteration for each iteration. The test checks that the wake up times are in ascending order (the threads did indeed wake up at the right times).

#### alarm-single

Source: tests/threads/alarm-wait.c

Purpose: Creates N threads, each of which sleeps a different, fixed duration, M times. Records the wake-up order and verifies that it is valid.

Description: Uses the same test as alarm-multiple, but with only one iteration per thread.

# 2.2 Priority scheduler

# 2.2.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project the scheduler is implented as a Round-Robin scheduler. We want to reimplement it as a priority scheduler.

#### 2.2.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
"Inuthread.c/thread.h:"

struct thread
{

...

/*the fixed priority of the thread,
```

```
given at creation */
      int priority;
      /* the priority at a given moment of
      the thread. This can be either the
      thread's fixed priority or the
      priority inherited by donation */
      int current_priority;
        . . .
 };
  /* ready_list[i] contains the threads of
 priority i having the status THREAD_READY. */
  static struct list ready_list[PRI_MAX + 1];
  /* the priority scheduler */
  static struct thread * next_thread_to_run (void);
  \slash * promotes a thread to current thread's priority */
  void thread_promote (struct thread *);
  /* forces the current thread to return to it's
  default fixed priority. */
  void thread_lessen ();
  /* checks if new priority is not the higest priority
  anymore and if so yields the cpu. */
  void thread_set_priority(int);
"In<sub>□</sub>synch.c/synch.h:"
  /* reimplementation of thread_unblock which checks
  if the new READY thread is more prioritary than the
  current thread, and if so, it forces current thread
  to yield the cpu. */
  void thread_unblock (struct thread *);
```

```
struct lock
    struct thread *holder; /* Thread holding lock */
                            /* Current value. */
    unsigned value;
    struct list waiters;
                            /* List of waiting threads. */
};
/* reimplementation of old lock functions according to
the new structure of the lock */
void lock_init (struct lock *);
void lock_acquire (struct lock *);
bool lock_held_by_current_thread (const struct lock *);
/* reimplementation of old lock_acquire, with priority
donation. When the lock is hold by a less prioritary
thread, that thread is promoted to current thread's
priority. */
bool lock_try_acquire (struct lock *);
/* reimplementation of old lock_release, with priority
donation. If current thread was promoted, it gives up
to it's inherited priority after releasing the lock. */
void lock_release (struct lock *);
```

## 2.2.3 Functionality

The ready list is organized as a vector of lists, in which, every list contains threads that have the same priority. The scheduler calls the function  $next\_thread\_to\_run$  which pops the most prioritary thread from its list and returns it; if there are more threads with the same priority, a round-robin algorithm is used. In order to avoid priority inversion, the  $lock\_aquire$  is rewritten, so that whenever a thread with a greater priority waits for a lock holded by a thread with a lower priority, the function  $lock\_aquire$  calls the function  $thread\_promote$  (holder). The function  $thread\_promote$  sets the

new current priority for the holder to the priority of the current thread and then moves the thread in the ready lists vector from its list to the beginning of the list corresponding to its new priority. At the next call of the scheduler, the thread that holds the lock will receive cpu, and will be able to release the lock. In order to bring things back to previous situation, the function lock\_release is rewritten, so that it calls the function thread\_lessen, which forces the current thread to go back to its fixed priority, and to yield the cpu. At the call of thread\_yield the next thread will put itself in the ready list corresponding to its previous priority.

To be able to give the cpu to a new more prioritary thread when it occurs, the *thread\_unblock* function is rewritten to do this test, and force current thread to yield the cpu if necessary.

In order to avoid race conditions, the interrupts are disabled during the execution of *thread\_promote* and *thread\_lessen*, and of course during the execution of functions where it was previously disabled.

## 2.2.4 Design Decisions

Why promoting/lessening is done in lock\_aquire / lock\_release? Another idea is to handle this in thread\_tick procedure, but we found out that it's generally a bad idea to load the interrupt handling code. If we would choose to do that the running time on each thread\_tick interrupt could degenerate to O(n) where n is the lock count of the system. So for each thread that is running and waiting for a lock to edit the lock holder's priority and let the schedule decide. This also solves the locking stack problem.

Why vector of lists? O(1) insertion/removal. We could alternatively use sorted data structures.

#### 2.2.5 Tests

alarm-priority, priority-change, priority-condvar, priority-donate-chain, priority-donate-lower, priority-donate-multiple, priority-donate-nest, priority-donate-one, priority-donate-sema, priority-fifo, priority-preempt, priority-sema.

# 2.3 Advanced scheduler

# 2.3.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project the scheduler is implented as a priority scheduler. We want to reimplement it as an advanced scheduler (4.4BSD).

#### 2.3.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
"Inuthread.c:"
    struct thread
          . . .
        /* */
        int nice;
        /* */
        int64_t recent_cpu;
          . . .
    };
    /* load average of the whole system */
    int64_t load_avg;
  /* add a new function that recompute the new priority
      if the priority has changed than the thread is
      pop from the thread and inserted again with the
      new priority */
      void thread_recompute_priority( struct thread );
    /* create new files fixed_point.c and fixed_point.h*/
"In_fixed_point.h/fixed_point.c:"
    /* add the following header functions in the file
```

```
fixed_point.h. These function represents operation
    between fixed-points and integer values. The
    implementation of the files are in the file
    fixed_point.c.*/
    int64_t fp_from_int(int n);
    int fp_to_int_rz(int64_t fp);
    int fp_to_int_rn(int64_t fp);
    int64_t fp_add(int64_t x, int64_t y);
    int64_t fp_subtract(int64_t x, int64_t y);
    int64_t fp_mult(int64_t x, int64_t y);
    int64_t fp_div(int64_t x, int64_t y);
    int64_t fp_int_add(int64_t x, int y);
    int64_t fp_int_subtract(int64_t x, int y);
    int64_t fp_int_mult(int64_t x, int y);
    int64_t fp_int_div(int64_t x, int y);
"Inutimer.c:"
  /* modify the function timer_interrupt in which we
    we calculate for each the recent_cpu using the
    function thread_for_each */
    void timer_interrupt();
```

### 2.3.3 Functionality

```
The timer_interrupt function modification: 

proc timer_interrupt() \equiv 

recent\_cpu[running\_thread] := recent\_cpu[running\_thread] + 1; 

if TIMER\_FREQ\%TIMER\_TICKS \equiv 0 

then thread\_for\_each(all\_threads\_list, thread\_recompute\_priority); 

else thread\_recompute\_priority(running\_thread); 

fi; 

end; 

proc thread\_recompute\_priority(thread) \equiv 

ready\_threads = count(ready\_list);
```

```
Use functions from fixed-point.h lib to compute the next expressions; load\_avg := (59/60)*load\_avg + (1/60)*ready_threads; \\ recent\_cpu[thread] := (2*load\_avg)/(2*load\_avg + 1) + recent\_cpu[t] + nice[t]; \\ new\_priority := clamp(PRI\_MAX - (recent\_cpu/4) - (nice*2)); \\ old\_priority := priority[thread]; \\ if new\_priority! = old\_priority \\ then remove(ready\_list[old\_priority], thread.elem); \\ push\_back(ready\_list[new\_priority], thread.elem); \\ fi; \\ end; \\ end; \\ \\ \\
```

We keep the vector V, of queues that we used when building the priority scheduler. V[i] represents the queue with priority i. By convention when the schedule is called, the next\_thread\_to\_run() procedure will remove the thread from the V[i].front() (where i represents the index with the highest priority) and will push it back in V[newPriority] in thread\_yield() proc and thread\_unblock().

It is important that computations for recent\_cpu and load\_avg are done with functions from fixed\_point.h, because these two variables are real numbers.

In order to be able to choose between the MLFQS scheduler and the Priority Scheduler when running the tests, the thread\_mlfqs flag is used. If this flag is set, the functions

- thread\_create ignores the priority given as a parameter, and creates a thread with priority PRI\_DEFAULT,
- thread set priority, does not change the priority anymore
- lock aquire and lock release don't do priority donation anymore

### 2.3.4 Design Decisions

We chose the vector of queues because of O(1) constant time retrieval and insertion. This means that the scheduler will run with the same speed regardless of the numbers of threads that are currently in the system. The choice of

editing the functions thread\_yield(), thread\_unblock() and next\_thread\_to\_run() is good because all the synchronization mechanisms and sleeps already depend on them so we don't need to worry about how they are implemented.

# 2.3.5 Tests

mlfqs-block, mlfqs-fair, mlfqs-load-1, mlfqs-load-60 mlfqs-load-avg, mlfqs-recent-1

# Chapter 3

# Design of Module userprog

3.1 Process Termination Messages & Argument passing

# 3.1.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project ... .

#### 3.1.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
"Inu...u:"

struct structure_def {
    type field;
};

/* comments */
variable definition;

/* comments */
function definition;
```

### 3.1.3 Functionality

Function x works like this ...: (picture with sequence diagram or algorithm. See commented examples for including images or describing algorithms below)

# 3.1.4 Design Decisions

This solution has the advantage that ...

On the other hand it is not so good that ... .

A better solution would be ... .

However, this solution is better than ...

### 3.1.5 Tests

#### name of the test

Source: path/to/test.c

Purpose: What does it check?

Description: Short description. Solution: (if necessary) Here, if what the test does is something that was not explicitly asked in the requirements, it would be good to say that we already treat that situation and where. If it's something that should be solved only by the implementation of the requirements you can say: Solved by requirements fulfillment.

# 3.2 System calls

# 3.2.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project ... .

#### 3.2.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
" I n<sub>11</sub> . . . . <sub>11</sub> : "
```

```
struct structure_def {
    type field;
};

/* comments */
variable definition;

/* comments */
function definition;
```

## 3.2.3 Functionality

Function x works like this ...: (picture with sequence diagram or algorithm. See commented examples for including images or describing algorithms below)

## 3.2.4 Design Decisions

This solution has the advantage that ...

On the other hand it is not so good that ... .

A better solution would be ... .

However, this solution is better than ...

### 3.2.5 Tests

#### name of the test

Source: path/to/test.c

Purpose: What does it check?

Description: Short description. Solution: (if necessary) Here, if what the test does is something that was not explicitly asked in the requirements, it would be good to say that we already treat that situation and where. If it's something that should be solved only by the implementation of the requirements you can say: Solved by requirements fulfillment.

# 3.3 Denying writes to executables

# 3.3.1 Initial Functionality

At the beginning of this project ... .

#### 3.3.2 Data Structures and Functions

```
"Inu...u:"

struct structure_def {
    type field;
};

/* comments */
variable definition;

/* comments */
function definition;
```

# 3.3.3 Functionality

Function x works like this ...: (picture with sequence diagram or algorithm. See commented examples for including images or describing algorithms below)

# 3.3.4 Design Decisions

This solution has the advantage that ...

On the other hand it is not so good that ... .

A better solution would be ... .

However, this solution is better than ...

#### 3.3.5 Tests

#### name of the test

Source: path/to/test.c

Purpose: What does it check?

Description: Short description. Solution: (if necessary) Here, if what the test does is something that was not explicitly asked in the requirements, it would be good to say that we already treat that situation and where. If it's something that should be solved only by the implementation of the requirements you can say: Solved by requirements fulfillment.