Recall fooling sets and distinguishability. Two strings $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ are suffix distinguishable with respect to a given language L if there is a string z such that exactly one of xz and yz is in L. This means that any DFA that accepts L must necessarily take x and y to different states from its start state. A set of strings F is a fooling set for L if any pair of strings $x, y \in F, x \neq y$ are distinguisable. This means that any DFA for L requires at least |F| states. To prove non-regularity of a language L you need to find an infinite fooling set F for L. Given a language L try to find a constant size fooling set first and then prove that one of size n exists for any given n which is basically the same as finding an infinite fooling set.

Note that another method to prove non-regularity is via *reductions*. Suppose you want to prove that L is non-regular. You can do regularity preserving operations on L to obtain a language L' which you already know is non-regular. Then L must not have been regular. For instance if \bar{L} is not regular then L is also not regular. You will see an example in Problem 4 below.

Prove that each of the following languages is *not* regular.

- 1. $\{\mathbf{0}^{2n}\mathbf{1}^n \mid n \geq 0\}$
- 2. $\{\mathbf{0}^m \mathbf{1}^n \mid m \neq 2n\}$
- 3. $\{\mathbf{0}^{2^n} \mid n \ge 0\}$
- 4. Strings over $\{0, 1\}$ where the number of 0s is exactly twice the number of 1s.
 - Describe an infinite fooling set for the language.
 - Use closure properties. What is language if you intersect the given language with ^{*1*}?
- 5. Strings of properly nested parentheses (), brackets [], and braces {}. For example, the string ([]) {} is in this language, but the string ([)] is not, because the left and right delimiters don't match.
 - Describe an infinite fooling set for the language.
 - Use closure properties.
- 6. w, such that $|w| = \lceil k\sqrt{k} \rceil$, for some natural number k.

Hint: since this one is more difficult, we'll even give you a fooling set that works: try $F = \{\mathbf{0}^{m^6} | m \ge 1\}$. We'll also provide a bound that can help: the difference between consecutive strings in the language, $\lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil$, is bounded above and below as follows

$$1.5\sqrt{k} - 1 \le \lceil (k+1)^{1.5} \rceil - \lceil k^{1.5} \rceil \le 1.5\sqrt{k} + 3$$

All that's left is you need to carefully prove that *F* is a fooling set for *L*.

7. Strings of the form $w_1 \# w_2 \# \cdots \# w_n$ for some $n \ge 2$, where each substring w_i is a string in $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^*$, and some pair of substrings w_i and w_i are equal.

Work on these later:

7.
$$\{\mathbf{0}^{n^2} \mid n \ge 0\}$$

8.
$$\{w \in (\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1})^* \mid w \text{ is the binary representation of a perfect square}\}$$