

Conversational Agent with Retrieval-Augmented Generation

Matej Belšak, Gorazd Gorup, Luka Bajić

Abstract

Develop a conversational agent that enhances the quality and accuracy of its responses by dynamically retrieving and integrating relevant external documents from the web. Unlike traditional chatbots that rely solely on pretrained knowledge, this system will perform real-time information retrieval, ensuring up-to-date answers. Potential applications include customer support, academic research assistance and general knowledge queries. The project will involve natural language processing, web scraping, and retrieval-augmented generation techniques to optimize answer quality.

Keywords

Conversational agent, Retrieval-Augmented Generation

Advisors: Aleš Žagar

Introduction

While Large Language Models (LLMs) have evolved considerably and now produce convincing replies, they have inherent limitations. They rely on training data consisting of documents from the past and may not possess knowledge of current events and developments. Due to differences and properties of training datasets, they may not contain specific domain knowledge, failing to answer certain prompts or outright hallucinating. The latter could prove especially disastrous in dedicated chatbots, for example for legal guidance or health care [1].

One possibility would be to retrain the chat model in intervals to try to keep it up to date, but that would still produce periods where the information is missing from the LLM or is outdated. This approach, while completelly time and energy inefficient, would also not guard against hallucinations. To solve these issues, Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) is used to provide the missing knowledge to the LLM. RAG employs different techniques to retrieve information from external sources based on user's prompt and through prompt augmentation feed the LLM sufficient information to provide informative and factually correct answer. In the survey by Gao et al., [2], multiple approaches to RAG are presented, highlighting three architectures: naive RAG, which analyzes the user's prompt, retrieves the required information and appends it, letting the LLM do the rest; advanced RAG, which employs pre-retrieval and post-retrieval modifications to the prompt to make it more suitable for information retrieval and subsequent interpretation by LLM; lastly, modular RAG combines multiple approaches, using iterative prompt enhancement, ranking, fusion, etc.

To better evaluate RAG performance, Lyu *et al.*, [3] describe the CRUD framework, employing metrics such as ROUGE, BLEU, precision and recall. Various operations on text (creative generation from context, usage of information to answer questions, identification and correction of false information, summarization, ...) are measured separately to give a more detailed overview of the model.

For document summarization, LLMs, statistical models, graph-based models and other approaches are used to extract the most important information from text. Zhang *et al.*, [4] present multiple solutions, noting that LLMs, while consuming more resources, tend to be more coherent and precise in their summarization if trained correctly.

In this paper, we focus on RAG methods and their use in chatbots. To that end, we design a conversational agent operating on knowledge about different art and media. Specifically, the agent is to suggest and converse about films and other related media based on the user's prompts and preferences. Our contributions are:

- Implementation of a conversational agent using two different pretrained models: DeepSeek-R1 [5] and Qwen 3 [6].
- Implementation of two RAG techniques, a primitive and advanced one, with capabilities of retrieving data from various film-related databases and web sources.

 Evaluation of conversational agents with respect to the model used and the RAG technique. Agents are evaluated against the baseline RAG-less chatbots. Human and LLM judges are used to score responses, and different metrics are analyzed.

Methods

Models

Recently, a novel LLM, DeepSeek [5], has been presented. Because of its positive benchmarking results and efficiency due to the small number of parameters, it provides a promising starting point for experimentation with knowledge injection and prompt engineering.

Information Retrieval

Crucial step in the RAG pipeline is the gathering of data (that the model has not been trained on) from external sources, in our case this is achieved via web scraping. Based on the user prompt, our models can access the following data:

- Basic information about a film or a person, obtained from TMDB.
- A specified number of film reviews, obtained from social media platform Letterboxd, sorted by popularity.
- A list of streaming services a specific film is available on.
- Summaries and plot descriptions from Wikipedia pages.

RAG

For the purpose of identifying film titles or names in user's prompt and form summarization of retrieved data later on, we use a Roberta-like spacy model ¹.

Results

In this section we compare the performance of a reasoning DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Llama-8B [5] model and a non-reasoning Qwen3-8B [6] model. For each of these we run experiments on three different sets of parameters: without RAG, with naive RAG - data is scraped and appended to the context, and advanced RAG - data is additionally processed and summarized before being inputed into the model. In total, we are evaluating the following models:

- DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Llama-8B (baseline)
- DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Llama-8B with naive RAG
- DeepSeek-R1-Distill-Llama-8B with advanced RAG
- Qwen3-8B (baseline)
- Qwen3-8B with naive RAG

• Qwen3-8B with advanced RAG

Since we are working with relatively open-ended questions, there is a lack of objective ground truth to evaluate against. Therefore, we construct a set of 50 domain-relevant questions and use manually checked answers from the commercial ChatGPT model as ground truth, so we can apply standard metrics such as ROUGE and BLEU ². To avoid overfitting a model to a specific set of questions, we included multiple types of questions into our test set, including yes/no questions, fact checking, list retrieval and summarization. Results are shown in Table 1.

Model	ROUGE	BLEU
deepseek-baseline	0.171003	0.049453
deepseek-naive	0.165164	0.043081
deepseek-advanced	0.16714	0.01866
qwen-baseline	0.192379	0.031395
qwen-naive	0.194950	0.034025
qwen-advanced	0.17380	0.02584

Table 1. Performance comparison against ChatGPT-generated ground truth.

LLM-based evaluation

Metrics such as BLEU and ROUGE are ill-adjusted to our test set, therefore we employ the DeepEval framework [7] in order to obtain more relevant metrics based on context, query and response. Results are shown in Table 2. Metrics related to context are not available for baseline models, because they do not receive any context.

Conversational chatbot

Extending a working model into a conversational chatbot is straightforward: the data retrieval pipeline remains the same, we only need to implement a mechanism for memorization of previous questions and responses. Examples of Qwen chatbot results are shown in Appendix 1.

Discussion

We conclude that it is possible to improve the responses of 8B parameter large language models on queries from a specific domain by employing on-the-fly information retrieval via web scraping.

References

[1] Arun James Thirunavukarasu, Darren Shu Jeng Ting, Kabilan Elangovan, Laura Gutierrez, Ting Fang Tan, and Daniel Shu Wei Ting. Large language models in medicine. *Nature medicine*, 29(8):1930–1940, 2023.

¹ https://huggingface.co/spacy/en_core_web_trf

²https://huggingface.co/docs/evaluate/index

model	correctness	clarity	answer relevancy	faithfulness	contextual precision	contextual recall	contextual relevancy
deepseek-baseline	0.19	0.7725	0.76846	0.98615	/	/	/
deepseek-naive	0.152	0.82800	0.78138	0.93233	0.24000	0.61771	0.40468
deepseek-advanced							
qwen-baseline	0.30962	0.86888	0.74805	0.94456	/	/	/
qwen-naive	0.23111	0.65888	0.84670	0.88345	0.88345	0.76333	0.37029
qwen-advanced							

Table 2. Performance comparison as evaluated by a 14B parameter Qwen model with GEval framework.

model	correctness	clarity	answer relevancy	faithfulness	contextual precision	contextual recall	contextual relevancy
deepseek-baseline	0.31845	0.74923	0.61720	/	/	/	/
deepseek-naive	0.33410	0.81443	0.720714	0.75611	0.34	0.32014	0.43623
deepseek-advanced	0.33412	0.78414	0.80973	0.93095	1.0	0.60937	0.56813
qwen-baseline	0.45205	0.81023	0.87944	/	/	/	/
qwen-naive	0.45116	0.77992	0.80013	0.83234	0.34	0.264401	0.51643
qwen-advanced	0.52336	0.83382	0.68042	0.97935	1.0	0.61096	0.68355

Table 3. Performance comparison as evaluated by GPT-mini-4.1 model with GEval framework.

- Yunfan Gao, Yun Xiong, Xinyu Gao, Kangxiang Jia, Jinliu Pan, Yuxi Bi, Yi Dai, Jiawei Sun, Meng Wang, and Haofen Wang. Retrieval-augmented generation for large language models: A survey, 2024.
- Yuanjie Lyu, Zhiyu Li, Simin Niu, Feiyu Xiong, Bo Tang, Wenjin Wang, Hao Wu, Huanyong Liu, Tong Xu, and Enhong Chen. CRUD-RAG: A comprehensive Chinese benchmark for retrieval-augmented generation of large language models, 2024.
- [4] Yang Zhang, Hanlei Jin, Dan Meng, Jun Wang, and Jinghua Tan. A comprehensive survey on process-oriented automatic text summarization with exploration of LLMbased methods, 2025.
- DeepSeek-AI et al. DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in LLMs via reinforcement learning, 2025.
- [6] Owen Team. Owen3, April 2025.
- [7] Jeffrey Ip and Kritin Vongthongsri. deepeval, May 2025.

User: How many films did Chuck Jones make? Owen: Based on the data provided:

Total Number of Films: Chuck Jones directed **over 100 films**, spanning multiple genres and formats.

Breakdown: - **Feature-Length Films**: - *The Bugs Bunny/Road Runner Movie* (1979) - *The Phantom Tollbooth* (1970) - *The Grinch Stole Christmas!* (1966)

- **Shorts and Documentaries**: Approximately **100+ short cartoons** Many of these are Looney Tunes/Merry Melodies cartoons. Includes iconic titles such as *What's Opera, Doc?*, *Duck Amuck*, etc.
- **Documentaries**: Around **dozens**, e.g., -*The Magical World of Chuck Jones* - *Chuck Jones: Memories of Childhood*

Summary: Chuck Jones made approximately more than 100 films, primarily consisting of **animated short cartoons**, a handful of **feature-length compilations**, and several **documentaries**.

Appendix

Qwen conversation example