Human Evaluation Template: Machine Translation Comparison

Project 7: Analysis and comparison of translation errors and biases in LLMs

Metadata

- Language Pairs: ENG → GER, ENG → SLO, GER → SLO
- Sentence ID
- Evaluator name / ID
- 3 evaluation criteria
- Include "comment" section
- 2/3 sentences:
 - Original source sentence
 - Machine translation output
 - o (Gold standard / reference (human) translation)

Evaluation Criteria

- 1. Formality How formal is the machine translation output?
- Scale:
 - 0 = very informal
 - \circ 0.17 = informal
 - 0.33 = somewhat informal
 - 0.5= neutral
 - 0.67 = somewhat formal
 - o 0.83 = formal
 - 1 = very formal
- 2. Fluency How fluent and natural is the machine translation output in the target language?
- Scale:
 - 1 = Perfect (completely natural)
 - 0.67 = comprehensible (minor, errors, still easy to understand)
 - o 0.33 = somewhat comprehensible (noticeable errors, but understandable)
 - 0 = Incomprehensible (hard or impossible to understand)
- 3. **Meaning Preservation** How well does the machine translation preserve the meaning of the source sentence?
- Scale:
 - 1 = Completely equivalent
 - o 0.8 = Mostly equivalent
 - 0.6 = Roughly equivalent
 - 0.4 = Not equivalent, but share some details
 - o 0.2 = Not equivalent, but on the same topic
 - 0 = Completely dissimilar