

# CS280 Fall 2018 Assignment 2

## Part A

CNNs

Due in class, Nov 02, 2018

Name: MinJie 闵杰

Student ID: 10109867

## 1. Linear Regression(10 points)

- Linear regression has the form  $E[y|x] = w_0 + \mathbf{w}^T x$ . It is possible to solve for  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$  separately. Show that

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^T \mathbf{w} = \bar{y} - \bar{x}^T \mathbf{w}$$

- Show how to cast the problem of linear regression with respect to the absolute value loss function,  $l(h, x, y) = |h(x) - y|$ , as a linear program.

I 1.1 Solution:

$$loss = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w_0 - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$$

$$\text{To get } w_0, \frac{\partial loss}{\partial w_0} = 2(n * w_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial loss}{\partial w_0} = 0, \text{ then } w_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w} = \bar{y} - \bar{x}^T \mathbf{w} \implies \text{Proved}$$

II 1.2 Solution:

Set new variables  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n$ :

$$\min \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i$$

s.t.

$$h(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \leq l_i,$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \geq -l_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

## 2. Convolution Layers (5 points)

We have a video sequence and we would like to design a 3D convolutional neural network to recognize events in the video. The frame size is 32x32 and each video has 30 frames. Let's consider the first convolutional layer.

- We use a set of  $5 \times 5 \times 5$  convolutional kernels. Assume we have 64 kernels and apply stride 2 in spatial domain and 4 in temporal domain, what is the size of output feature map? Use proper padding if needed and clarify your notation.
- We want to keep the resolution of the feature map and decide to use the dilated convolution. Assume we have one kernel only with size  $7 \times 7 \times 5$  and apply a dilated convolution of rate 3. What is the size of the output feature map? What are the downsampling and upsampling strides if you want to compute the same-sized feature map without using dilation?

Note: You need to write down the derivation of your results.

I 2.1 Solution: pad setting here is to get integer size of next layer

input data shape (video) =  $32 \times 32 \times 32 \times 3$

kernel batch shape =  $5 \times 5 \times 5 \times 3 \times 64$

Because we know that for temporal domain stride  $s1 = 2$ , for domain stride  $s2 = 4$

So according to 3D conv size function:

temp =  $(framesize - kernelsize + pad) / s2 + 1 = (30 - 5 + 3) / 4 + 1 = 8$

height = width =  $(inputsize - kernelsize + pad) / s2 + 1 = (32 - 5 + 1) / 2 + 1 = 15$

So the size of feature map is  $8 \times 15 \times 15 \times 64$

II 2.2 Solution:

kernel size =  $7 \times 7 \times 5$

dilated convolution rate = 3

so after dilated convolution, the size  $3 * (originsize - 1) + 1$  then size =  $19 \times 19 \times 13$

so the size of feature map should be the same as 2.1:

temp =  $(framesize - kernelsize + pad) / stride + 1 = (30 - 13 + 12) / 1 + 1 = 30$

height = width =  $(inputsize - kernelsize + pad) / stride + 1 = (32 - 19 + 18) / 1 + 1 = 32$

The size of feature map =  $30 \times 32 \times 32 \times 3 \times 1$

Downsampling = Upsampling = dilated rate = 3

### 3. Batch Normalization (5 points)

With Batch Normalization (BN), show that backpropagation through a layer is unaffected by the scale of its parameters.

- Show that

$$BN(Wu) = BN((aW)u)$$

where  $u$  is the input vector and  $W$  is the weight matrix,  $a$  is a scalar.

- (Bonus: 5 pts) Show that

$$\frac{\partial BN((aW)u)}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial BN(Wu)}{\partial u}$$

I 3.1 Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} BN(Wu) &= \frac{Wu - E[Wu]}{\sqrt{Var[Wu]}} = \frac{aWu - aE[Wu]}{a\sqrt{Var[Wu]}} \\ &= \frac{(aW)u - E[(aW)u]}{\sqrt{Var[(aW)u]}} \\ &= BN((aW)u) \end{aligned}$$

II 3.2 Solution (Bonus):

According to equation in 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial BN((aW)u)}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial \left( \frac{aWu - aE[Wu]}{a\sqrt{Var[Wu]}} \right)}{\partial u} \\ &= \frac{\partial \left( \frac{Wu - E[Wu]}{\sqrt{Var[Wu]}} \right)}{\partial u} \\ &= \frac{\partial BN(Wu)}{\partial u} \implies Proved \end{aligned}$$