

**SYSC4001-A/L3 | Assignment #1**

**Gabriel Bugarija – Student ID: 101262776**

**Umniyah Mohammed – Student ID: 101158792**

This report presents a series of simulations designed to analyze the impact of interrupt handling on CPU execution. Using a custom simulator, we examine how varying context save/restore times and ISR activity times affect the total execution time of processes.

Each simulation used one of 20 input traces and varied context save/restore times and ISR activity times. Execution logs were collected and analyzed using Python scripts to separate CPU bursts from ISR and context-switch overhead.

### **Simulation Analysis of a Single Input Trace**

#### **Input Trace:**

CPU, 45

SYSCALL, 4

CPU, 30

END\_IO, 4

SYSCALL, 12

CPU, 25

END\_IO, 12

#### **Output (Execution Log):**

0, 45, CPU burst

45, 1, switch to kernel mode

46, 10, context saved

56, 1, find vector 4 in memory position 0x0008

57, 1, load address 0X0292 into the PC

58, 40, ISR body executed for device 4

98, 1, IRET

...

## CPU Burst vs. Interrupt Handling

CPU bursts (first column = timestamp, second column = duration):

45 ms, 30 ms, 25 ms | Total CPU execution = 100 ms

Interrupt overhead (context save, switching, finding vector, loading PC, ISR, IRET):

- SYSCALL (device 4) =  $1 + 10 + 1 + 1 + 40 + 1 = 54$  ms
- END\_IO (device 4) = same 54 ms
- SYSCALL (device 12) =  $1 + 10 + 1 + 1 + 40 + 1 = 54$  ms
- END\_IO (device 12) = same 54 ms
- Total overhead = **216 ms**

**The interrupt handling overhead more than doubles the CPU execution time for this trace. Even small devices with short I/O can add significant delays.**

## Context Save/Restore Time Effect

- Current context\_save\_time = 10 ms
- Every interrupt spends 10 ms saving context.
- If we increase context save time to 20 ms or 30 ms, each interrupt would increase by 10-20 ms i.e. SYSCALL for device 4 would take 64-74 ms instead of 54 ms.

**Larger context save times directly increase total execution time, even if we have constant CPU bursts.**

## ISR Activity Time Effect

- Current ISR execution time = 40 ms
- ISR takes 40 ms, context and switch take 13 ms -> ISR is around 75% of overhead.
- Increasing ISR time to 100–200 ms:
  - Interrupt handling takes longer than the CPU bursts themselves. This causes delays in processing subsequent CPU bursts.

**The longer the ISR executes, the more it delays the main process. This shows that slow device handling or heavy interrupts can reduce CPU responsiveness.**

### **Sequence and Timing Effects**

CPU start -> CPU end -> kernel switch -> context save -> find vector -> load ISR -> ISR execution -> IRET -> next CPU. The overhead is additive for multiple interrupts i.e. CPU burst of 30 ms (from 99–129) is delayed because prior interrupt handling added 54 ms.

**When it comes to execution time, optimizing ISR and context save/restore times improves overall throughput.**

**Changing vector\_size from 2 bytes to 4 bytes increases “find vector in memory”. It’s not a huge impact, but is more noticeable with a lot of interrupts.**