Online Appendix for the Paper Entitled: Revisiting the Resource-Constrained Scheduling Problem with Identical Jobs

by

Dimitrios Magos, Ioannis Mourtos, and Tallys Yunes

Appendix

This appendix contains complete proofs that were either omitted or condensed in the main paper.

Proof of Proposition 2

Proof. To establish the supermodularity of f we show that

$$f(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) - f(\bar{K}) \le f(K \cup \{j\}) - f(K),$$
 (1)

for any $\bar{K} \subset K \subset N$ and $j \in N \setminus K$. By the definition of f, the difference $f(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) - f(\bar{K})$ is solely determined by the size of the sets $\bar{K} \cup \{j\}$ and \bar{K} . Moreover, since all jobs are identical and f represents the minimum sum of start times in a feasible schedule, $f(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) - f(\bar{K})$ equals the start time of the last job among the $|\bar{K} \cup \{j\}|$ jobs. But the start time of that job (irrespective of whether that job is j) equals $r_0 + \rho(\bar{K} \cup \{j\})p_0 = f(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) - f(\bar{K})$. Similarly, $f(K \cup \{j\}) - f(K) = r_0 + \rho(K \cup \{j\})p_0$. Hence, showing (1) is equivalent to showing that $\rho(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) \leq \rho(K \cup \{j\})$. The latter follows from the fact that $(\bar{K} \cup \{j\}) \subset (K \cup \{j\})$, by noticing also that $\rho(K)$ is non-decreasing with respect to |K|. The submodularity of g is shown in an analogous manner.

Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. If $|N| \leq \delta$, B(f) contains the single point $s_j = r_0$, for all $j \in N$. Otherwise, because EP(f) is full-dimensional and the points of B(f) are exactly the points of EP(f) satisfying s(N) = f(N), we have dim B(f) = |N| - 1. The latter result also stems from the fact that f is supermodular and N is f-inseparable.

Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. The face of B(f) defined by setting any of the variables s_j to r_0 is clearly proper and non-empty. The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1, for $j \in N \setminus K$ and $K = \{j\}$.

Proof of Theorem 4

Proof. Showing (i) reduces to proving (8), when $\lambda = 0$, for $i \in K$. This can be done as in the proof of Theorem 1 with (13) being defined as $\pi_i = a_i$. It is easy to show (ii) and (iii).

Proof of Lemma 2

Proof. First observe that θ_{b,a_0} is integer. Solving, in the above expression, with respect to θ_{a_0} while substituting terms from the equation of Remark 1, we get

$$\theta_{b,a_0} = \sum_{i=1,\dots n} \frac{a_i + \epsilon_{b,a_i}(b - v_{b,a_i})}{b} - \frac{a_0 + \epsilon_{b,a_0}(b - v_{b,a_0})}{b}$$
$$= \frac{1}{b} (\sum_{i=1,\dots n} \epsilon_{b,a_i}(b - v_{b,a_i}) - \epsilon_{b,a_0}(b - v_{b,a_0})).$$

The maximum value of the right-hand side is attained if $\epsilon_{b,a_i} = 1$, $\upsilon_{b,a_i} = 1$ and $\epsilon_{b,a_0} = 0$. Therefore

$$\theta_{b,a_0} \le n \frac{b-1}{b} = n - \frac{n}{b}$$

yielding $\theta_{b,a_0} \leq n - \left\lceil \frac{n}{b} \right\rceil$ since θ_{b,a_0} is integer.

Proof of Theorem 5

To show Theorem 5, we observe that, for any $X, Y \subseteq N$,

$$|Y| = |Y \setminus X| + |Y \cap X|, \tag{2}$$

$$|X| = |X \setminus Y| + |Y \cap X|, \tag{3}$$

$$|Y \cup X| = |Y \setminus X| + |Y \cap X| + |X \setminus Y|. \tag{4}$$

The above equalities in conjunction with Lemma 2 yield

$$\theta_{|Y|} = \left\lceil \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|Y \cap X|}{\delta} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{|Y|}{\delta} \right\rceil, \tag{5}$$

$$\theta_{|X|} = \left\lceil \frac{|X \setminus Y|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|Y \cap X|}{\delta} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{|X|}{\delta} \right\rceil, \tag{6}$$

$$\theta_{|Y \cup X|} = \left\lceil \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|Y \cap X|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|X \setminus Y|}{\delta} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{|Y \cup X|}{\delta} \right\rceil, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\theta_{|Y|}, \theta_{|X|} \le 1, \text{ if } \delta \ge 2,$$
 (8)

$$\theta_{|Y \cup X|} \le \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \delta \ge 3, \\ 1, & \text{if } \delta = 2. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

We can drop the ceiling operator from (5), (6), (7) by substituting terms from the equation of Remark 1. To simplify notation we will drop the δ subscript from ϵ and v. This convention will be used throughout. Then canceling out equivalent terms from (2), (3), (4) respectively, yields

$$\theta_{|Y|} = \frac{\epsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\cap X|}) - \epsilon_{|Y|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y|})}{\delta}, \quad (10)$$

$$\theta_{|X|} = \frac{\epsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\cap X|}) - \epsilon_{|X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|X|})}{\delta}, \quad (11)$$

$$\theta_{|Y\cup X|} = \frac{\epsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\cap X|}) + \epsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}) - \epsilon_{|Y\cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\cup X|})}{\delta}.$$

$$(12)$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.

Proof. For $r_1 \geq r_0 + p_0 \rho(N)$ and any $X, Y \subseteq N$ with $X \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset$ we must show that

$$F = q(Y) - q(Y \setminus X) - (f(X) - f(X \setminus Y)) > 0.$$

$$(13)$$

By the definition of q

$$g(Y) - g(Y \setminus X) = (|Y| - |Y \setminus X|)r_1 - p_0\rho(Y)(|Y| - \frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(Y) + 1))$$
$$+ p_0\rho(Y \setminus X)(|Y \setminus X| - \frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(Y \setminus X) + 1))$$

while by the definition of f

$$f(X) - f(X \setminus Y) = (|X| - |X \setminus Y|)r_0 + p_0\rho(X)(|X| - \frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(X) + 1))$$
$$- p_0\rho(X \setminus Y)(|X \setminus Y| - \frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(X \setminus Y) + 1)).$$

Putting it all in (13) and observing from (2), (3) that

$$|X \cap Y| = |Y| - |Y \setminus X| = |X| - |X \setminus Y| \tag{14}$$

yields

$$F = |X \cap Y| (r_1 - r_0)$$

$$- p_0(\rho(Y) |Y| + \rho(X) |X| - \rho(Y \setminus X) |Y \setminus X| - \rho(X \setminus Y) |X \setminus Y|)$$

$$+ p_0 \frac{\delta}{2} ((\rho(Y) - \rho(Y \setminus X))(\rho(Y) + \rho(Y \setminus X) + 1)$$

$$+ (\rho(X) - \rho(X \setminus Y))(\rho(X) + \rho(X \setminus Y) + 1)).$$

Substituting |Y|, |X| from (2), (3) respectively, we get

$$F = |X \cap Y| (r_1 - r_0)$$

$$- p_0((\rho(Y) + \rho(X)) |X \cap Y|$$

$$+ (\rho(Y) - \rho(Y \setminus X)) |Y \setminus X| + (\rho(X) - \rho(X \setminus Y)) |X \setminus Y|)$$

$$+ p_0 \frac{\delta}{2} ((\rho(Y) - \rho(Y \setminus X)) (\rho(Y) + \rho(Y \setminus X) + 1)$$

$$+ (\rho(X) - \rho(X \setminus Y)) (\rho(X) + \rho(X \setminus Y) + 1))$$

yielding

$$F = |X \cap Y| (r_1 - r_0)$$

$$- p_0((\rho(Y) + \rho(X)) |X \cap Y|)$$

$$+ p_0((\rho(Y) - \rho(Y \setminus X)) (\frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(Y) + \rho(Y \setminus X) + 1) - |Y \setminus X|)$$

$$+ (\rho(X) - \rho(X \setminus Y)) (\frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(X) + \rho(X \setminus Y) + 1) - |X \setminus Y|)).$$
(15)

Because

$$\begin{split} |Y \setminus X| &= \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{2} + \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{2} \\ &= \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{2} + \frac{|Y|}{2} - \frac{|X \cap Y|}{2}, \end{split}$$

(due to (2)), we have that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(Y) + \rho(Y \setminus X) + 1) - |Y \setminus X| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta \left\lceil \frac{|Y|}{\delta} \right\rceil - |Y|) + \frac{1}{2}(\delta \left\lceil \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{\delta} \right\rceil - |Y \setminus X|) + \frac{|X \cap Y|}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2}, \end{split}$$

while substituting terms in brackets from the equation of Remark 1, we obtain

$$\frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(Y) + \rho(Y \setminus X) + 1) - |Y \setminus X|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((|X \cap Y| - \delta) + \delta(\epsilon_{|Y|} + \epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|}) - \epsilon_{|Y|} v_{|Y|} - \epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|} v_{|Y \setminus X|})$$
(16)

In an analogous manner, we obtain

$$\frac{\delta}{2}(\rho(X) + \rho(X \setminus Y) + 1) - |X \setminus Y|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((|X \cap Y| - \delta) + \delta(\epsilon_{|X|} + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|}) - \epsilon_{|X|} v_{|X|} - \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} v_{|X \setminus Y|}). \quad (17)$$

Plugging (16), (17) in (15) and performing further substitutions from the equation of Remark 1, (2)–(7) and (12), we derive

$$F = (|X \cap Y| (r_1 - r_0) - |X \cap Y| p_0 \rho(Y \cup X)) + F' p_0,$$

where

$$F' = \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} \frac{\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} (\epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}) - \delta)$$

$$+ \frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cup X|}) + \frac{\delta}{2} (\theta_{|Y|} (\theta_{|Y|} + 1) + \theta_{|X|} (\theta_{|X|} + 1))$$

$$- \theta_{|Y|} (\epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}))$$

$$- \theta_{|X|} (\epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}))$$
(18)

Notice that the first bracket evaluates to a non-negative quantity if $r_1 - r_0 \ge p_0 \rho(N)$ since $\rho(N) \ge \rho(Y \cup X)$. Thus, it remains to show that F' also evaluates to a non-negative quantity.

Case 1 $\theta_{|X|} = \theta_{|Y|} = \theta_z$, where $\theta_z \in \{0, 1\}$.

$$F' = (\epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} \frac{\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} - \theta_z)(\epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|X \setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}))$$

$$- \delta \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} \frac{\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} + \frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})$$

$$+ \delta \theta_z (\theta_z + 1) - \theta_z \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}). \tag{19}$$

Subcase 1.1 $\theta_z = 0$.

(12) implies that

$$\begin{split} \delta\theta_{|Y\cup X|} + \epsilon_{|Y\cup X|}(\delta - v_{|Y\cup X|}) \\ = \epsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}(\delta - v_{|Y\setminus X|}) + \epsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}(\delta - v_{|X\setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}(\delta - v_{|Y\cap X|}) \end{split}$$

and thus

$$F' = \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} \frac{\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} (\delta \theta_{|Y \cup X|} + \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) - \delta)$$

$$\frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})}{\delta} (|X \cap Y| + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}))$$

$$+ \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}) (\theta_{|Y \cup X|} - 1). \tag{20}$$

If $\epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}=0$ then (20) implies $F'\geq 0$. Otherwise, we will show that $F'\geq 0$. Hence, let $\epsilon_{|Y\cap X|}=1$. If $\epsilon_{|Y\cup X|}=0$ then $\theta_{|Y\cup X|}$ must be greater than or equal to one (implying $F'\geq 0$) since if $\theta_{|Y\cup X|}=0$ (12) yields

$$\epsilon_{|Y\setminus X|}(\delta - v_{|Y\setminus X|}) + (\delta - v_{|Y\cap X|}) + \epsilon_{|X\setminus Y|}(\delta - v_{|X\setminus Y|}) = 0$$

which cannot be true as $(\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}) \ge 1$ and the remaining terms of the right-hand side are nonnegative. If $\epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} = 1$ then (20) becomes

$$\begin{split} F' &= (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})(\frac{|X \cap Y| - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} + 1) \\ &+ (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|})(\theta_{|Y \cup X|} - 1). \end{split}$$

Clearly $F'\ge 0$ if $\theta_{|Y\cup X|}\ge 1$. Thus assume $\theta_{|Y\cup X|}=0$ and because $\frac{|X\cap Y|-v_{|Y\cap X|}}{\delta}\ge 0$

$$F' \ge (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) - (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}).$$

Observe that if $\theta_{|Y \cup X|} = 0$ then (12) yields

$$(\delta - v_{|Y \cup X|}) = (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}) + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|}(\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \setminus X|}(\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|})$$

and because the two last terms of the right-hand side are nonnegative, we have that

$$(\delta - v_{|Y \cup X|}) \ge (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|})$$

implying that $F' \geq 0$.

Subcase 1.2 $\theta_z = 1$.

(19) yields

$$F' = \delta \theta_{|Y \cup X|} (\epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} \frac{\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} - 1)$$

$$+ 2(\delta - \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}))$$

$$+ \frac{\epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cup X|})}{\delta} (|X \cap Y| + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}) - \delta).$$
 (21)

If $\epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} = 0$ then (21) becomes

$$F' = (2 - \theta_{|Y \cup X|})\delta + \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})(\frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} - 1). \tag{22}$$

In this case, $\delta \mid |X \cap Y|$ implying that $\frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} = k$, where $k \geq 0$ and integer. For $k \geq 1$, the above implies that $F' \geq 0$. Next assume that k = 0 implying that $|X \cap Y| = 0$. Then (4) becomes $|Y \cup X| = |Y \setminus X| + |X \setminus Y|$, (7) $\left\lceil \frac{|Y \setminus X|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|X \setminus Y|}{\delta} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{|Y \cup X|}{\delta} \right\rceil + \theta_{|Y \cup X|}$. In this case, Lemma 2 implies $\theta_{|Y \cup X|} \leq 1$. Thus (22) yields

$$F' \ge \delta - \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) \ge 1,$$

since $\delta - 1 \ge v_{|Y \cup X|} \ge 1$.

If $\epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} = 1$ then (21) becomes

$$F' = \frac{\epsilon_{|Y \cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|})}{\delta}(|X \cap Y| - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|})$$
$$-\theta_{|Y \cup X|}\upsilon_{|Y \cap X|} + 2\upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}$$

which implies that $F' \geq 0$ since $|X \cap Y| - v_{|Y \cap X|} \geq 0$ and $\theta_{|Y \cup X|} \leq 2$.

Case 2
$$\theta_{|Y|} = 1 - \theta_{|X|}$$

Without loss of generality assume that $\theta_{|X|}=0$ yielding $\theta_{|Y|}=1.$ (10) leads to

$$\delta + \epsilon_{|Y|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y|}) = \epsilon_{|Y \backslash X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \backslash X|}) + \epsilon_{|Y \cap X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}).$$

That implies

$$\epsilon_{|Y\setminus X|} = \epsilon_{|Y\cap X|} = 1 \tag{23}$$

because the left-hand side is greater than or equal to δ whereas each of the terms of the right-hand side evaluates to at most $\delta - 1$. Thus (10) yields

$$\epsilon_{|Y|}(\delta - v_{|Y|}) = \delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|} \ge 0 \tag{24}$$

since the left-hand side is non-negative.

Setting $\theta_{|X|} = 0$ and $\epsilon_{|Y \cap X|} = 1$ in (11) yields

$$\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|} + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|X \setminus Y|}) = \epsilon_{|X|} (\delta - \upsilon_{|X|})$$

implying that

$$\epsilon_{|X|} = 1 \tag{25}$$

since $\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} + \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) \ge 1$. (11) yields

$$\epsilon_{|X\backslash Y|}(\delta - v_{|X\backslash Y|}) = v_{|Y\cap X|} - v_{|X|} \ge 0 \tag{26}$$

because the left-hand side is non-negative.

(18) becomes

$$F' = -\frac{v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} (\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|})$$

$$\frac{\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|})$$

$$+ \frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \cup X|}). \tag{27}$$

(12) yields

$$\epsilon_{|Y \cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) = (\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \setminus X|} - \upsilon_{|Y \cap X|}) + (\upsilon_{|Y \cap X|} - \upsilon_{|X|}) + \delta(1 - \theta_{|Y \cup X|}).$$

Substituting in (27), we obtain

$$\begin{split} F' &= -v_{|Y \cap X|} (\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|}) \\ &\frac{\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) \\ &+ \frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} ((\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|}) + (v_{|Y \cap X|} - v_{|X|}) + \delta (1 - \theta_{|Y \cup X|})) \\ &= (\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|}) (\frac{|X \cap Y| - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta}) \\ &+ \frac{\delta - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} \epsilon_{|X \setminus Y|} (\delta - v_{|X \setminus Y|}) \\ &+ \frac{|X \cap Y|}{\delta} (v_{|Y \cap X|} - v_{|X|}) + |X \cap Y| (1 - \theta_{|Y \cup X|}). \end{split}$$

Because of $\delta - v_{|Y \setminus X|} - v_{|Y \cap X|} \ge 0$ (by (24)), $\frac{|X \cap Y| - v_{|Y \cap X|}}{\delta} \ge 0$ and $v_{|Y \cap X|} - v_{|X|} \ge 0$ (by (26)), we have that $F' \ge 0$ if $\theta_{|Y \cup X|} \le 1$. Assume that $\theta_{|Y \cup X|} = 2$. It is easy to see that (12) and (11) yield

$$\delta\theta_{|Y\cup X|} = \delta\theta_{|X|} + \epsilon_{|X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|X|}) + \epsilon_{|Y\backslash X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\backslash X|}) - \epsilon_{|Y\cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y\cup X|}).$$

In this case $\theta_{|X|}=0,$ $\epsilon_{|X|}=1$ (by (25)), $\epsilon_{|Y\backslash X|}=1$ (by (23)) and $\theta_{|Y\cup X|}=2$ yielding

$$\begin{split} 2\delta &= 2\delta - \upsilon_{|X|} - \upsilon_{|Y \backslash X|} - \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) \Rightarrow \\ \epsilon_{|Y \cup X|}(\delta - \upsilon_{|Y \cup X|}) &= -(\upsilon_{|X|} + \upsilon_{|Y \backslash X|}) \end{split}$$

leading to a contradiction since the left-hand side is strictly non negative and the right-hand side is negative ((25) and (23) imply that $v_{|X|}, v_{|Y\setminus X|} \ge 1$). Thus it can only be $\theta_{|Y\cup X|} \le 1$ and therefore $F' \ge 0$.