Anticipatory Action Pilot

Agency M&E Checklist

Selection of key informants Sharing of project documents Sharing of reference materials Participation in process discussions o Group o Interviews o Email exchanges Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary numbers by location Beneficiary profile (head of household) o Location o Household size o Gender o Age o Vulnerability group (if any) • Pregnant and lactating women • Adolescent girls/boys • Under 2s • Under 5s • Chronically ill • Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: o High level • Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? • Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? • Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in previous years?	Proces	S			
Sharing of reference materials Participation in process discussions O Group O Interviews O Email exchanges Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary numbers by location Beneficiary profile (head of household) O Location O Household size O Gender O Age O Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: O High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		Selection of key informants			
Participation in process discussions Group Interviews Email exchanges Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary profile (head of household) Location Household size Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		g of project documents			
o Group o Interviews o Email exchanges Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary profile (head of household) o Location Household size o Gender o Age o Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Hagh level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		Sharing of reference materials			
o Group o Interviews o Email exchanges Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary profile (head of household) o Location Household size o Gender o Age o Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Hagh level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		Particip	pation in process discussions		
Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary profile (head of household) Location Household size Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		-	·		
Delivery Data sharing agreement (?) Beneficiary contacts Beneficiary profile (head of household) Location Household size Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in		0	Interviews		
 □ Data sharing agreement (?) □ Beneficiary contacts □ Beneficiary numbers by location □ Beneficiary profile (head of household) ○ Location ○ Household size ○ Gender ○ Age ○ Vulnerability group (if any) ■ Pregnant and lactating women ■ Adolescent girls/boys ■ Under 2s ■ Under 2s ■ Chronically ill ■ Disabled □ A light status update 6 weeks after project start □ The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period □ The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period □ Reports ought to answer the following questions: ○ High level ■ Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? ■ Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? ■ Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Email exchanges		
 □ Beneficiary contacts □ Beneficiary numbers by location □ Location ○ Household size ○ Gender ○ Age ○ Vulnerability group (if any) ■ Pregnant and lactating women ■ Adolescent girls/boys ■ Under 2s ■ Under 5s ■ Chronically ill ■ Disabled □ A light status update 6 weeks after project start □ The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period □ The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period □ Reports ought to answer the following questions: ○ High level ■ Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? ■ Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? ■ Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 	Delive	ſy			
 □ Beneficiary numbers by location □ Beneficiary profile (head of household) ○ Location ○ Household size ○ Gender ○ Age ○ Vulnerability group (if any) ■ Pregnant and lactating women ■ Adolescent girls/boys ■ Under 2s ■ Under 5s ■ Chronically ill ■ Disabled □ A light status update 6 weeks after project start □ The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period □ The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period □ Reports ought to answer the following questions: ○ High level ■ Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? ■ Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? ■ Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		Data sharing agreement (?)			
 □ Beneficiary profile (head of household) ○ Location ○ Household size ○ Gender ○ Age ○ Vulnerability group (if any) ■ Pregnant and lactating women ■ Adolescent girls/boys ■ Under 2s ■ Under 5s ■ Chronically ill ■ Disabled □ A light status update 6 weeks after project start □ The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period □ The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period □ Reports ought to answer the following questions: ○ High level ■ Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? ■ Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? ■ Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 					
 Location Household size Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		·			
 Household size Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 	•		ciary profile (head of household)		
 Gender Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Location		
 Age Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Household size		
 Vulnerability group (if any) Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Gender		
 Pregnant and lactating women Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Age		
 Adolescent girls/boys Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	Vulnerability group (if any)		
 Under 2s Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			 Pregnant and lactating women 		
 Under 5s Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			 Adolescent girls/boys 		
 Chronically ill Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			Under 2s		
 Disabled A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			Under 5s		
 A light status update 6 weeks after project start The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			Chronically ill		
 The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			Disabled		
 The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		A light status update 6 weeks after project start			
 Reports ought to answer the following questions: High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		The usual CERF interim update half-way through the implementation period			
 High level Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		The final CERF report after the end of the implementation period			
 Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		☐ Reports ought to answer the following questions:			
 the recipients, and if so, what was it? Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 		0	High level		
 Did the timing of the intervention make a difference? Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			 Has the anticipatory action had any impact on the survival or quality of life of 		
 Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in 			the recipients, and if so, what was it?		
with similar interventions which were conducted as rapid response in			Did the timing of the intervention make a difference?		
·			 Can we compare the M&E results from the 2020 anticipatory interventions 		
			·		
		0			
Detailed questionsAre there any multiplier or spillover effects?		O			
When was funding received?					
 When was the action intended to take place and when did it actually take 					

place?

When did it start?When did it end?

- Were any timing issues experienced?
- Where was the action implemented and at what scale (# and type of beneficiaries)?
 - # of beneficiaries by intervention
 - Breakdown of beneficiary by type (e.g. gender, age, vulnerability group)
- What was accomplished in the end during the AA implementation period?
- How effective was the intervention at mitigating the impact of the drought?
 - Changes in wellbeing
 - Coping strategies analysis
- What could've been done differently to enhance the benefit to the targeted population?

Evaluation

Beneficiary Data as outlined above		
Overview of targeting rationale		
Detailed breakdown of geographical reach and scope of work		
Selection and follow up with a control group (if possible)		
Selection and follow up with treatment group(s)		
Selection of key informants (staff and beneficiaries)		
Sharing of project documents		
Sharing of reference materials		
Participation in evaluation discussions		
0	Group	
0	Interviews	

o Email exchanges