

## **Annex D - Outcomes of the INSARAG AEME Workplan for 2019/2020 discussions**

### **Breakout 1 – Promotion of National Accreditation Process (NAP) at regional level**

*Facilitators: Ciro Bolognese and Christophe Debray*

*Notetaker: Francesco Bernieri*

Three Key Discussion Areas:

1. NAP vs INAP
2. Benefits of accreditation process
3. Technical support to the process

Three Recommendations/Feedback

1. Clarify the difference between INAP/NAP/IEC/IER
2. Promote sustainable mentorship system in order to reduce as much as possible the burden for the Country
3. Make reference documentation available for the process

### **Breakout 2 – Results from survey on INSARAG, involvement of new countries in the region in the INSARAG family, synergies with other regions offer of classified teams for twinning with prone countries**

*Facilitators: Gianmario Gnechi and Krzysztof Pietrasik*

*Notetaker: Matteo Spagnesi*

1. Results from survey on INSARAG:
  - Comment on first results
  - Need to receive more responses from countries
  - How to continue the collection of data
2. Involvement of new countries in the region in the INSARAG family:
  - Opportunities and proposals from single countries or through European Union Civil Protection Mechanism
3. Synergies with other regions offer of classified teams for twinning with prone countries:
  - Offers, requests, Twinning proposal
  - Coordination through the INSARAG Secretariat