




Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 250383
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%)	Excellent	Your introduction links your audience to your subject matter, but be more explicit regarding the type of research you intend to implement into an academic study of this nature, and so the 'what' and 'how', moving forward. This will also help you to plan your assignment more effectively. There are some discussions in your content regarding limitations to research, but you should have explicitly connected research gaps in the Literature review in a focused and formal manner, as this approach reflects the rationale of why the study is being carried out. Given all of the above however, the Literature Review is focused, zones in on one particular topic of discussion, [which is what a literature review should do], and examines the topic of discussion in some detail with appropriate solutions suggested for problems in the medical health system. The content also has good pace and is interesting. This outcome does then tip into the excellent criteria, do just make note of the suggestions in this section for future academic assignments.
Criticality (30%)	Very Good	A very good level regarding criticality, with some appropriate suggestions to resolve problems that engaged with discussion, comparison of fact, with some debate in evidence. Continue to build on this approach moving forward. You did include theory with practice, which is a requirement of the outcome. Overall, a very good outcome here. You also ensured that all discussion was objective and did not reflect personal opinion in the discussion, which is critical regarding academic writing.
Use of relevant sources (20%)	Very Good	Very good use of relevant resources. It is clear in your assignment that research has taken place. Do just focus a more structured discussion regarding research gaps, [you could have executed this in your conclusion for example]. Your conclusion was very short given the overall discussion and so do invest more time into planning where to apply your



		research and findings in future assignments. Overall a very good outcome however.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	Satisfactory	The assignment is presented to a satisfactory standard. Sub-headers are used which is good practice. However, as standard add page numbers to the assignment, these can then be used to feed into a contents pages, [so that the viewer knows what to find where]. Also pay attention to the length of your paragraphs. Try to make these evenly weighted in future assignments.
Academic integrity (10%)	Very Good	The assignment is within the appropriate word count which is good practice. Just use formal language in an academic assignment, and so avoid terms like, 'whopping' and use formal terms such as, 'a large percentage...' for example. Only use speech marks for quotes, [not to highlight a word in text], and if speech marks are used for a quote cite immediately after. Use commas and full stops to break up sentences not hyphens. Your sub headers in your assignment, do logically reflect what the discussion is, but again make them more formally academic moving forward. When you undertake your dissertation module for example, work with your supervisor to ensure your sub headers correlate with a more academically appropriate context. Whilst blockchain and EHR are essential parts of the discussion, plan your sentence structure a little more so that you don't need to keep repeating these phrases over and over with little space between the phraseology. Don't use italics, particularly as part of citation, just use standard text. Pay a little more attention to conventions regarding referencing and so (Young M, 2016), should be (Young, 2016) have your University of Essex Harvard Guide open when completing academic assignments. Despite the above, you do have a strong, focus and fluid writing style which is critical in tone. Take more time executing and planning your academic assignments, [checking all academic conventions for example] and you should find then that you are able to smooth out some of the above details, with that extra time to plan and check all prior to final upload.
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally excellent knowledge of the topic chosen demonstrated • Overall, a very good, fluid, concise academic writing style in evidence • An interesting, well research and informative Literature Review 		



Points for development:

- Be clearer in identifying the gaps in research as this will further underpin your rationale regarding your chosen study topic
- Pay closer attention to academic conventions
- Apply more planning to your assignment, as you do have all the underlying structure and fundamentals in place to deliver very good academic assignment outcomes as demonstrated in this Literature review.