Abstract

- Our project involves Smart Cards. Smart Cards (SC) have a small CPU and a limited amount of memory; they communicate with a terminal specifically programmed to interact with a SC. SC and Terminal programs are developed in Java; however, SCs use a language that is a subset of
- Our project will take in a single annotated Java program with both Terminal code and SC code written in the same file. It will then create two separate files: one file holding card code with methods translated so that it may call the terminal, the other file being terminal code.

Before:

public class Card{
 public void public void foo(){}
 Process(){}
 public short getX(){}
 public boolean getY()
 {}
}

After:

lass Scheduler {

@TERM public void foo() {}

@CARD public void Process() {}

@CARD public short getX();
@TERM public int getX();

@BOTH public boolean getY();

Refactoring the Java Card Framework

Faculty Advisor: Steve Zdancewic, Alwyn Goodloe



Format of the Response APDU Module Module Dependency Tree Tre	e Card, jana Term, jana
Trailer of the control of the contro	BadAkehk133
Body (optional) of the part of	

) {	The Scheduler's	generated switch	states		authenticate_entry();	line_5();	line_6A()	line_6B();	line_7();	line_9();	line_10();	line_11();	(MvApp)obi.authenticate exp():
o, short s		Ļ		return;	(MyApp)obj.:	(MyApp)obj.line_5();	(MyApp) obj.line_6A()	(MyApp) obj.line_6B();	(MyApp) obj.line_7();	(MyApp)obj.line_9();	(MyApp) obj.line_10();	(MyApp) obj.line_11();	(MvApp)obj.
<pre>public static void goto(Object o, short s) { obj = o; state = s;</pre>	. :	public static void mainloop() {	while (true) { switch (state) {	ë	 STATE_authenticate_entry: (MyApp)obj.authenticate_entry();	STATE_5:	STATE_6A:	STATE_6B:	STATE_7:	STATE_9:	STATE_10:	STATE_11:	STATE authenticate exp:

		V . V	
$\stackrel{\cdots}{\eta_m}=(t_{m-1},n,x)$	$\begin{split} \eta &= (b,n,x) \\ \eta_1 &= (t_1,n,x) \\ \eta_2 &= (t_2,n,x) \end{split}$	$\eta = (b, n, x)$ $\eta_1 = (t_1, n, x)$	ors.
where	where	where	on algo
$\mathbb{S}_{stm[[Sm]_{\eta_m}}$	$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}_{\text{genul}}[f(e) \ s_1 \ \text{else} \ s_2]_{\eta} \\ &= \ \mathbb{E}_{\text{term}}[s_1]_{\eta_1} \\ \mathbb{S}_{\text{stron}}[s_1]_{\eta_1} \end{split}$	$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{n}} \ \mathbf{w} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{e}(s) \mathbf{s} \ _1 \\ &= & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{n},\mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{p}} \ \mathbf{e} \ , t_1, n)_{\eta} \text{where} \eta = (b, n, x) \\ & \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{n},\mathbf{d}} \ \mathbf{s} \ _{\eta_1} \end{array}$	A few of the translation algors.

The resulting translated code

public class Term{	private int x, y;	public void foo()	<u></u>	public int getX()		public boolean get Y()		,
public class Card {	private int x, y;	public void Process()	{···}	public short getX()	{···}	public boolean getY()	{···}	

Conclusion

project, and learned the problems with the mastersecond phase of our project. In the second half of our project, we actually created this translation of challenge for us. After we cleared this hurdle, we In the end, we created a program that takes in an flatten a given statement or expression. Then we programs from this one, which is fully translated implemented the paper's translation of SC code; however, we did not translate the terminal code. We initially created a Smart Card and Terminal translator. First, we created a Jflat program to slave model that we tried to alleviate with the annotated Java program, creates two separate environment that we were going to build off. a SC program. Here we learned Ocaml, and functional programming, which was a great to break the master-slave model that it was Then, we were finally able to work on our had to dissect and learn the compiler and previously tied to.