## **Graph Algorithms**

## **Problems on Graphs**

2/51

What kind of problems do we want to solve on/via graphs?

- is the graph fully-connected?
- can we remove an edge and keep it fully-connected?
- is one vertex reachable starting from some other vertex?
- what is the cheapest cost path from v to w?
- which vertices are reachable from v? (transitive closure)
- is there a cycle that passes through all vertices? (circuit)
- is there a tree that links all vertices? (spanning tree)
- what is the minimum spanning tree?
- what is the maximal flow through a graph?
- ..
- can a graph be drawn in a plane with no crossing edges? (planar graphs)
- are two graphs "equivalent"? (isomorphism)

# **Graph Algorithms**

3/51

In this course we examine algorithms for

- connectivity (simple graphs)
- path finding (simple/directed graphs)
- minimum spanning trees (weighted graphs)
- shortest path (weighted graphs)
- maximum flow (weighted graphs)

We already looked at depth-first (DFS) and breadth-first (BFS) traversal ...

# **Other DFS Examples**

4/51

Other problems to solve via DFS graph search

- checking for the existence of a cycle
- determining which connected component each vertex is in



Graph with two connected components, a path and a cycle

### **Exercise #1: Buggy Cycle Check**

5/51

A graph has a cycle if

- it has a path of length > 1
- with start vertex *src* = end vertex *dest*

• and without using any edge more than once

We are not required to give the path, just indicate its presence.

The following DFS cycle check has two bugs. Find them.

```
hasCycle(G):
  Input graph G
  Output true if G has a cycle, false otherwise
  choose any vertex v∈G
  return dfsCycleCheck(G,v)
dfsCycleCheck(G,v):
  mark v as visited
   for each (v,w)∈edges(G) do
      if w has been visited then
                                    // found cycle
         return true
      else if dfsCycleCheck(G,w) then
         return true
   end for
   return false
                                    // no cycle at v
```

- 1. Only one connected component is checked.
- 2. The loop

```
for each (v,w)∈edges(G) do
```

should exclude the neighbour of v from which you just came, so as to prevent a single edge w-v from being classified as a cycle.

## **Computing Connected Components**

7/51

Problems:

- how many connected subgraphs are there?
- are two vertices in the same connected subgraph?

Both of the above can be solved if we can

- build an array, one element for each vertex V
- indicating which connected component V is in
- componentOf[] ... array [0..nV-1] of component IDs

#### ... Computing Connected Components

8/51

Algorithm to assign vertices to connected components:

```
components(G):
    Input graph G


    for all vertices veG do
        componentOf[v]=-1
    end for
    compID=0
    for all vertices veG do
```

### **Exercise #2: Connected components**

9/51

Trace the execution of the algorithm

- 1. on the graph shown below
- 2. on the same graph but with the dotted edges added



Consider neighbours in ascending order

| 1. | [0] | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] | [7] |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|    | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | -1  | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | 0   | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 2   | 2   |

| 2. | [0] | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] | [7] |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|    | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    | 0   | 0   | 0   | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  | -1  |
|    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|    | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |

## **Hamiltonian and Euler Paths**

### **Hamiltonian Path and Circuit**

Hamiltonian path problem:

- find a simple path connecting two vertices v,w in graph G
- such that the path includes each *vertex* exactly once

If v = w, then we have a *Hamiltonian circuit* 

Simple to state, but difficult to solve (*NP*-complete)

Many real-world applications require you to visit all vertices of a graph:


- Travelling salesman
- Bus routes
- ..

Problem named after Irish mathematician, physicist and astronomer Sir William Rowan Hamilton (1805 - 1865)

#### ... Hamiltonian Path and Circuit

13/51

Graph and two possible Hamiltonian paths:



#### ... Hamiltonian Path and Circuit

14/51

Approach:

- generate all possible simple paths (using e.g. DFS)
- keep a counter of vertices visited in current path
- stop when find a path containing V vertices

Can be expressed via a recursive DFS algorithm

- similar to simple path finding approach, except
  - $\circ$  keeps track of path length; succeeds if length = v
  - o resets "visited" marker after unsuccessful path

#### ... Hamiltonian Path and Circuit

15/51

Algorithm for finding Hamiltonian path:

```
visited[] // array [0..nV-1] to keep track of visited vertices
```

```
hasHamiltonianPath(G, src, dest):
```


```
for all vertices v∈G do
   visited[v]=false
end for
return hamiltonR(G,src,dest,#vertices(G)-1)
```

```
hamiltonR(G, v, dest, d):
   Input G
              graph
              current vertex considered
         dest destination vertex
              distance "remaining" until path found
   if v=dest then
      if d=0 then return true else return false
   else
      visited[v]=true
      for each (v,w) \in edges(G) \land \neg visited[w] do
         if hamiltonR(G,w,dest,d-1) then
            return true
         end if
      end for
   end if
   visited[v]=false
                               // reset visited mark
   return false
```

### **Exercise #3: Hamiltonian Path**

16/51

Trace the execution of the algorithm when searching for a Hamiltonian path from 1 to 6:



Consider neighbours in ascending order

| 1-0-2-3-4-5-6       | d≠0                    |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1-0-2-3-4-5-7-8-9   | no unvisited neighbour |
| 1-0-2-3-4-5-7-9-8   | no unvisited neighbour |
| 1-0-2-3-4-7-5-6     | d≠0                    |
| 1-0-2-3-4-7-8-9     | no unvisited neighbour |
| 1-0-2-3-4-7-9-8     | no unvisited neighbour |
| 1-0-2-3-4-8-7-5-6   | d≠0                    |
| 1-0-2-3-4-8-7-9     | no unvisited neighbour |
| 1-0-2-3-4-8-9-7-5-6 | ✓                      |


Repeat on your own with src=0 and dest=6

#### ... Hamiltonian Path and Circuit

18/51

Analysis: worst case requires (V-1)! paths to be examined

Consider a graph with isolated vertex and the rest fully-connected



Checking has Hamiltonian Path(g, x, 0) for any x

- requires us to consider every possible path
- e.g 1-2-3-4, 1-2-4-3, 1-3-2-4, 1-3-4-2, 1-4-2-3, ...
- starting from any x, there are 3! paths  $\Rightarrow$  4! total paths
- there is no path of length 5 in these (V-1)! possibilities

There is no known simpler algorithm for this task  $\Rightarrow$  NP-hard.

Note, however, that the above case could be solved in constant time if we had a fast check for 0 and x being in the same connected component


**Euler Path and Circuit** 

19/51


Euler path problem:

- find a path connecting two vertices v,w in graph G
- such that the path includes each *edge* exactly once (note: the path does not have to be simple ⇒ can visit vertices more than once)

If v = w, the we have an Euler circuit



Euler Path: 4-3-1-5-2-1



Euler Circuit: 1-2-5-4-3-1

Many real-world applications require you to visit all edges of a graph:

- Postman
- · Garbage pickup
- ..

Problem named after Swiss mathematician, physicist, astronomer, logician and engineer Leonhard Euler (1707 - 1783)

... Euler Path and Circuit

20/51

One possible "brute-force" approach:

- check for each path if it's an Euler path
- would result in factorial time performance


Can develop a better algorithm by exploiting:

Theorem. A graph has an Euler circuit if and only if it is connected and all vertices have even degree

Theorem. A graph has a non-circuitous Euler path if and only if it is connected and exactly two vertices have odd degree

#### **Exercise #4: Euler Paths and Circuits**

Which of these two graphs have an Euler path? an Euler circuit?



#### No Euler circuit

Only the second graph has an Euler path, e.g. 2-0-1-3-2-4-5

#### ... Euler Path and Circuit

23/51

Assume the existence of degree (g, v) (degree of a vertex, cf. problem set week 6)

Algorithm to check whether a graph has an Euler path:

```
hasEulerPath(G, src, dest):
         graph G, vertices src, dest
  Output true if G has Euler path from src to dest
          false otherwise
   if src≠dest then
      if degree(G,src) or degree(G,dest) is even then
         return false
      end if
  else if degree(G,src) is odd then
      return false
  end if
   for all vertices v∈G do
      if v≠src and v≠dest and degree(G,v) is odd then
         return false
      end if
   end for
   return true
```

#### ... Euler Path and Circuit

24/51

Analysis of hasEulerPath algorithm:

- assume that connectivity is already checked
- assume that degree is available via O(1) lookup
- single loop over all vertices  $\Rightarrow O(V)$

If degree requires iteration over vertices

- cost to compute degree of a single vertex is O(V)
- overall cost is  $O(V^2)$

⇒ problem tractable, even for large graphs (unlike Hamiltonian path problem)

For the keen, a linear-time (in the number of edges, *E*) algorithm to compute an Euler path is described in [Sedgewick] Ch.17.7.

## **Directed Graphs**

# **Directed Graphs (Digraphs)**

26/51

In our previous discussion of graphs:

- an edge indicates a relationship between two vertices
- an edge indicates nothing more than a relationship


In many real-world applications of graphs:

- edges are directional  $(v \rightarrow w \neq w \rightarrow v)$
- edges have a *weight* (cost to go from  $v \rightarrow w$ )

### ... Directed Graphs (Digraphs)

27/51

Example digraph and adjacency matrix representation:



|   | а | b | С | d | е | f | g |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| а | 1 | 0 |   |   | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| С | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| d | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| g | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Undirectional ⇒ symmetric matrix Directional ⇒ non-symmetric matrix

Maximum #edges in a digraph with V vertices: V<sup>2</sup>

### ... Directed Graphs (Digraphs)

28/51

Terminology for digraphs ...

Directed path: sequence of  $n \ge 2$  vertices  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow v_n$ 

- where  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in edges(G)$  for all  $v_i, v_{i+1}$  in sequence
- if  $v_1 = v_n$ , we have a directed cycle

Reachability: w is reachable from v if  $\exists$  directed path v,...,w

## **Digraph Applications**

29/51

Potential application areas:

| Domain       | Vertex          | Edge          |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Web          | web page        | hyperlink     |
| scheduling   | task            | precedence    |
| chess        | board position  | legal move    |
| science      | journal article | citation      |
| dynamic data | malloc'd object | pointer       |
| programs     | function        | function call |
| make         | file            | dependency    |

### ... Digraph Applications

30/51

Problems to solve on digraphs:

- is there a directed path from s to t? (transitive closure)
- what is the shortest path from s to t? (shortest path)
- are all vertices mutually reachable? (strong connectivity)
- how to organise a set of tasks? (topological sort)
- which web pages are "important"? (PageRank)
- how to build a web crawler? (graph traversal)


# **Digraph Representation**

31/51

Similar set of choices as for undirectional graphs:

- array of edges (directed)
- vertex-indexed adjacency matrix (non-symmetric)
- vertex-indexed adjacency lists

V vertices identified by  $\theta$  .. V-I



## Reachability

### **Transitive Closure**

33/51

Given a digraph G it is potentially useful to know

• is vertex *t* reachable from vertex *s*?

Example applications:

- can I complete a schedule from the current state?
- is a malloc'd object being referenced by any pointer?

... Transitive Closure

One possibility:

- implement it via hasPath(G,s,t) (itself implemented by DFS or BFS algorithm)
- feasible if *reachable*(*G*,*s*,*t*) is infrequent operation

What if we have an algorithm that frequently needs to check reachability?

Would be very convenient/efficient to have:


```
reachable(G,s,t):
    return G.tc[s][t]  // transitive closure matrix
```


Of course, if *V* is *very* large, then this is not feasible.

#### **Exercise #5: Transitive Closure Matrix**


35/51


Which reachable s .. t exist in the following graph?






#### Transitive closure of example graph:





adjacency matrix



transitive closure

### ... Transitive Closure

37/51

Goal: produce a matrix of reachability values

- if tc/s/t is 1, then t is reachable from s
- if tc[s][t] is 0, then t is not reachable from s

So, how to create this matrix?

Observation:

```
\forall i, s, t \in \text{vertices}(G):

(s,i) \in \text{edges}(G) \text{ and } (i,t) \in \text{edges}(G) \implies tc[s][t] = 1

\text{tc}[s][t] = 1 \text{ if there is a path from } s \text{ to } t \text{ of length } 2 \quad (s \rightarrow i \rightarrow t)
```

... Transitive Closure

If we implement the above as:

```
make tc[][] a copy of edges[][]
for all i evertices(G) do
    for all s evertices(G) do
        for all t evertices(G) do
        if tc[s][i]=1 and tc[i][t]=1 then
            tc[s][t]=1
        end if
    end for
end for
```

then we get an algorithm to convert edges into a tc

This is known as Warshall's algorithm

... Transitive Closure

How it works ...

After iteration 1, tc[s][t] is 1 if

• either  $s \rightarrow t$  exists or  $s \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow t$  exists

After iteration 2, tc[s][t] is 1 if any of the following exist

•  $s \rightarrow t$  or  $s \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow t$  or  $s \rightarrow l \rightarrow t$  or  $s \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow l \rightarrow t$  or  $s \rightarrow l \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow t$ 


Etc. ... so after the  $V^{th}$  iteration, tc[s][t] is 1 if

• there is any directed path in the graph from s to t

### **Exercise #6: Transitive Closure**

40/51

Trace Warshall's algorithm on the following graph:



 $1^{st}$  iteration i=0:

| tc  | [0] | [1] | [2] | [3] |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| [0] | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| [1] | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| [2] | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   |
| [3] | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |

 $2^{\text{nd}}$  iteration i=1:

| tc [0] |   | [1] | [2] | [3] |  |
|--------|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| [0]    | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1   |  |
| [1]    | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1   |  |
| [2]    | 1 | 1   | 1   | 1   |  |
| [3]    | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   |  |

```
3<sup>rd</sup> iteration i=2: unchanged
```

4<sup>th</sup> iteration i=3: unchanged

... Transitive Closure

Cost analysis:

• storage: additional  $V^2$  items (each item may be 1 bit)

- computation of transitive closure:  $V^3$
- computation of reachable(): O(1) after having generated tc[][]

Amortisation: would need many calls to reachable () to justify other costs

Alternative: use DFS in each call to reachable() Cost analysis:

- storage: cost of queue and set during reachable
- computation of reachable (): cost of DFS =  $O(V^2)$  (for adjacency matrix)

## **Digraph Traversal**

43/51

Same algorithms as for undirected graphs:

#### depthFirst(v):

- 1. mark v as visited
- for each (v,w)∈edges(G) do if w has not been visited then depthFirst(w)

### breadth-first(v):

- 1. enqueue v
- while queue not empty do
   dequeue v
   if v not already visited then
   mark v as visited
   enqueue each vertex w adjacent to v

# **Example: Web Crawling**

44/51

Goal: visit every page on the web

Solution: breadth-first search with "implicit" graph

visit scans page and collects e.g. keywords and links

PageRank 45/51

Goal: determine which Web pages are "important"

**Approach:** ignore page contents; focus on hyperlinks

- treat Web as graph: page = vertex, hyperlink = di-edge
- pages with many incoming hyperlinks are important
- need to computing "incoming degree" for vertices

Problem: the Web is a *very* large graph

• approx.  $10^{14}$  pages,  $10^{15}$  hyperlinks

Assume for the moment that we could build a graph ...

Most frequent operation in algorithm "Does edge (v,w) exist?"

... PageRank 46/51

Simple PageRank algorithm:

```
PageRank(myPage):
    rank=0
    for each page in the Web do
        if linkExists(page,myPage) then
        rank=rank+1
        end if
    end for
```

Note: requires *inbound* link check (not outbound as assumed above for cost of representation)

... PageRank 47/51

V = # pages in Web, E = # hyperlinks in Web

Costs for computing PageRank for each representation:

 Representation
 linkExists(v,w)
 Cost

 Adjacency matrix
 edge[v][w]
 1

Adjacency lists  $inLL(list[v], w) \cong E/V$ 

Not feasible ...

- adjacency matrix ...  $V = 10^{14} \Rightarrow$  matrix has  $10^{28}$  cells
- adjacency list ... V lists, each with  $\approx 10$  hyperlinks  $\Rightarrow 10^{15}$  list nodes

So how to really do it?

... PageRank 48/51

Approach: the random web surfer

- if we randomly follow links in the web ...
- ... more likely to re-discover pages with many inbound links

```
curr=random page, prev=null
for a long time do
   if curr not in array ranked[] then
      rank[curr]=0
  end if
  rank[curr]=rank[curr]+1
   if random(0,100)<85 then
                                        // with 85% chance ...
      prev=curr
      curr=choose hyperlink from curr
                                       // ... crawl on
                                        // avoid getting stuck
      curr=random page
      prev=null
  end if
end for
```

Could be accomplished while we crawl web to build search index

### **Exercise #7: Implementing Facebook**

49/51

Facebook could be considered as a giant "social graph"

- what are the vertices?
- what are the edges?
- are edges directional?

What kind of algorithm would ...

• help us find people that you might like to "befriend"?

### **Tips for Week 7 Problem Set**

50/51

Main theme: Graph traversal, digraphs

- Test your understanding of Euler/Hamiltonian paths/cycles
- Test your understanding of directed graphs
- Algorithms:
  - o correct the "buggy" cycle check from above

- maintain "connected component array" as part of graph ADT implementation
- Do the online mock test
- Review all concepts, data structures, algorithms from weeks 2-7

Summary 51/51

- Graph traversal: cycle check, connected components
- Hamiltonian paths/circuits, Euler paths/circuits
- Digraphs: representations, applications
- Warshall's algorithm to compute reachability
- Suggested reading (Sedgewick):
  - Hamiltonian/Euler paths ... Ch.17.7
  - Digraphs ... Ch.19.1-19.3

Produced: 3 Sep 2018