# CSCI 570 - HW 3

```
1. (i) T(n) = 4T(n/2) + (n^2)\log n
    a=4, b=2, k=2, p=1
    log_b a = 2
    ->case 3
    Therefore: \emptyset((n^2)^*(\log n)^2)
(ii) T(n) = 8T(n/6) + nlogn
a=8, b=6, k=1, p=1
log_ba = log_34 = 1.2
        ->case 1
Therefore: \emptyset(n^{(\log_6 8)})
(iii) T(n) = sqrt(6006)T(n/2) + (n^sqrt(6006))
T(n) = 77.5T(n/2) + (n^77.5)
a=77.5, b=2, k=77.5, p=0
log_b a = log_2 77.5 = 6.3
        ->case 3
        Therefore: Ø(n^sqrt(6006))
(iv) T(n) = 10T(n/2) + (2^n)
T(n) = 10T(n/2) + \log n
a=10, b=2, k=0, p=1
log_b a = log_2 10 = 3.3
->case 1
Therefore: \emptyset(n^{(\log_2 10)})
(v)T(n) = 2T(sqrt(n)) + logn
Master theorem is not applicable because the equation is not of the form T=aT(n/b)+f(n)
Substitute: m=logn
T(2^m) = 2T(2^m/2) + m
Substitute: s=2<sup>m</sup>
T(s) = 2T(s/2) + logs
a=2, b=2, k=0, p=1
log_b a = log_2 2 = 1
->case 1
Therefore: \emptyset(s^{\log_2 2})
=>\emptyset(2^m)
=>\emptyset(2^{\log n})
(vi)T(n)=T(n/2)-n+10
Master Theorem cannot be applied because f(n) is negative and when increases the value tends
to decrease and will be less than 0
(vii)T(n)=(2^n)T(n/2)+n
Master Theorem cannot be applied because a is not a constant
(viii)T(n)=2T(n/4)+(n^0.51)
```

```
a=2, b=4, k=0.51, p=0 \log_b a = \log_4 2 = 0.5 case 3 Therefore: \emptyset(n^0.51) (ix)(1) = 0.5 = 0.5 = 0.5 Therefore: 0.5 = 0.5 = 0.5 case 2 Therefore: 0.5 = 0.5 = 0.5 case 2
```

## 2. Given A array of n numbers

n>2, index i is said to be local minimum of the array A, if it satisfies  $1 \le i \le n$ , A[i-1]>=A[i] and A[i+1]>=A[i]

Proof using Induction

Base case: n=3

A[1:3]

The array consists of 3 numbers, A[1] >= A[2] and A[3] >= A[2]

Therefore A[2] is the local minimum

Case 2: A consists n number of elements and lm be the local minimum

So there exists a local minimum A[1:lm+1] (from the base case)

Consider there exists a local minimum at the array length lm, so there exists a local minimum for A[i:lm+(i-1)], that is consider i=2, A[2:lm+1] with the array length lm This satisfies the induction hypothesis that there exists a local minimum for every lm sub array, hence by induction it is proved that there exists a local minimum for A[i:lm+(i-1)]

#### Algorithm:

Step 1: if n==3, then A[2] is local minimum

Step 2: if n > 3, then lm = n/2

Step 3: if  $A[lm-1] \ge A[lm]$  and  $A[lm+1] \ge A[lm]$  then A[lm] is local minimum

Step 4: if A[lm-1]<A[lm] then return A[1:lm] else return A[lm:n]

#### Complexity:

Recurrence Relation=> T(n) = T(n/2)+1 a=1, b=2, k=0, p=0  $log_ba = log_21 = 0$ case 2 Therefore:  $O(log_n)$ 

### Proof of correctness:

By using induction. Assume that the algorithm is correct for  $n \le k$  and now consider the input k+1, then lm=[(k+1)/2], from the algorithm we know that it returns correct output for 3 number of elements, for n number of elements, and from the question the given algorithm can find correct result if  $lm \le k$  (by using induction hypothesis). This is valid for  $lm \ge 2$  for all values of  $m \ge 3$ . Therefore by induction the algorithm gives the correct output for k+1 number of elements.

7. Let P be the original array and n be the number of elements of the array Algorithm:

Step 1: construct the infinite array using the original array and the length of the infinite array is len(infinite\_array)>=b

Step 2: count the number of 1's in infinite array up to len(infinite\_array)==2n and store in variable count

Step 3: divide the algorithm into 2 parts, i.e. part 1 from index i=0 to i=a-1 and second part i=a to i= len(infinite array)

Step 4: now use the second sub array and divide the second sub array into two parts from i=a to i%n==0 (first occurrence of this condition, let the index value here be g) and i%n==0 to len(infinite array)

Step 5: now use a flag variable and increment flag if i%n==0, repeat this step until len(infinite\_array)<=b (let the index value here be h), and when this condition fails divide the second sub array from step 4 again into two parts from index value g to index value h and second sub array from index value h to len(infinite array)

Step 6: divide the second sub array from step 5 into two parts, i.e. from index value i=h to index value i=b and the other sub array from index value i=b+1 to len(infinite array)

Step 7: using for loop count the number of 1's in the sub arrays i=a to i=g and another sub array i=h to i=b, store this value to final\_answer

Step 8: now calculate the number of 1's between i=g to i=h by the formula number\_1s=(flag/2)\*count

Step 9: now add the count and final answer to get the total number 1's between a and b

```
Recurrence Relation:

T(n) = 5T(n/2)+n

Master Theorem:

a=5, b=2, k=1, p=0

log_ba=log_25=2.3

->case 1

Therefore: \emptyset(n^{(log_25)})

Time Complexity:

O(n) < O(b)
```

4.let cour[k,a] be the minimum sum of courses for which Erica will get exhausted for completing all the courses before her exam by completing at least k number of courses for every two consecutive days and ai is number of courses she can complete for the i<sup>th</sup> day without getting exhausted and bi be the number of courses Erica must complete for the i<sup>th</sup> day even if she gets exhausted.

```
Sub problems:
cour[k,a]=sum(max(0,b_i-a_i))
Base case: if for all b_i \le a_i
cour[k,a]=0
Case 2: if for any b<sub>i</sub>>a<sub>i</sub>
cour[k,a]=sum(max(0,b_i-a_i))
Pseudo code:
Cour(k,a):
        b = []
        exh=0
        b_0=a_0
        for i in range(1,len(a)):
                 if i%2==0:
                          b_i=a_i
                 else:
                          b_i = k - a_i
```

```
for i in range(len(a)):
              exh=exh+max(0,b_i-a_i)
       retrun exh
Time Complexity: O(n)
5. let chessman score[n,a] be the maximum points which can be scored by the chessman before
moving out of the given array a[]
Sub problems:
chessman score[n,a]=max(scores)
Base case: if n=1
chessman score[n,a]=scores0
Case 2: if n>0
chessman score[n,a]=max(scores)
Pseudo code:
chessman score(){
       scores = []
       for i in range(n):
              scores[i] = a[i]
               for j in range((i+a[i]),n,(a[i]+i)):
                      scores[i]=scores[i]+a[i]
       return max(scores)
}
Time Complexity: O(n)
```

### 6. Algorithm:

Step 1: read the two strings a and b

Step 2: check whether the two strings a and b are same or not, if a and b are same then return "a and b are J similar"

Step 3: if a and b are not same then rearrange both a and b in alphabetical order

Step 4: check if len(a) is equal to len(b), if they are same then go to step 5, else return "a and b are not J similar"

Step 5: divide the array into two sub arrays and repeat steps 4 and 5 for each substring, if a substring is J similar then return "a and b are J similar" else return "a and b are not J similar", repeat these steps until there is no more possibility to divide the sub array

Recurrence Relation:

```
T(n)=2T(n/2)+n
Master Theorem:
a=2, b=2, k=1, p=0
log_ba=log_22=1
->case 2
Therefore: \mathcal{O}(nlog_n)
```

3. let min\_time[i,j] be the minimum sum of travel time required for marco and polo to pass through all the cities, in the shortest time possible, i and j values represent the city index, i < j and  $T_{i,j}$  is the time required to travel from one city to another

Sub problems:

Base case: n=1

```
\begin{aligned} & \underset{\text{min\_time}[i,j] = 0}{\text{Pseudo code:}} \\ & \underset{\text{min\_time}(n,T[][]):}{\text{M\_time=0}} \\ & \underset{\text{for i from 0 to n:}}{\text{calculate the time taken to find the shortest time taken for marco to travel from city 1 to city n and add the sum to M_time & for j from 0 to n: & find if there is any other possible path to city j and if that path is less than the time taken for marco then assign that time to polo P_time and decrement M_time & total_time = P_time+M_time & return total_time & Time Complexity: O(n^2) \end{aligned}
```