

CSCI 570 - HW 5

1. Given Function

$$10x_1 + 8x_2 + 5x_3$$

Framing wood needed:

$$1 \cdot 500 + 2 \cdot 300 + 2 \cdot 200 = 1500 \text{ ft}$$

1500 ft is the minimum framing wood needed, this month the framing wood has been increased by 100, so the maximum framing wood which can be used is 1600 ft

So the equation for the framing wood is

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 1600$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Cabinet wood needed:

$$3 \cdot 500 + 2 \cdot 300 + 1 \cdot 200 = 2300 \text{ ft}$$

Originally 2300 ft is the minimum cabinet wood needed, this month the cabinet wood has been decreased by 600, so the maximum framing wood which can be used is 1700 ft

So the equation for the Cabinet wood is

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 1700$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

$$\text{Min}(10x_1 + 8x_2 + 5x_3)$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 1600$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 1700$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

2. Dual:

$$\text{min}(4y_1 + 6y_2 - 3y_3 + 3y_4 + 8y_5)$$

$$y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 - y_4 + y_5 \geq 3$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 + y_5 \geq 2$$

$$y_1 + 3y_2 - 2y_3 + 2y_4 + y_5 \geq 1$$

$$3. \text{Min}([\text{summation from } k, l=1 \text{ to } m] [\text{summation from } i=1, j=2 \text{ to } 2] X_{ki} + X_{lj})$$

Let X_{ab} be the assignment of ath frequency with the bth station and two adjacent station b and b+1 must have two different frequencies, i.e. $X_{ab} \neq X_{cb+1}$ where c is the frequency assigned to the station b+1 and $c! = a$

Objective function:

$$\text{Min}([\text{summation from } a=1 \text{ to } m] [\text{summation from } b=1 \text{ to } n] X_{ab})$$

Constraints:

Pick a frequency 1, 2, ..., m

$$X_{1b} + X_{2b} + \dots \geq 2$$

Pick a station 1, 2, ..., n

$$X_{a1} + X_{c2} + \dots = n$$

Constraints on X_{ab}

$$X_{ab} \in \{0, 1\}$$

4.

(i) The given statement is false

Proof:

B is harder than and it is hard to reduce A and find a solution in polynomial time for NP-hard

(ii) The given statement is true

Proof:

B ∈ NP implies that B can be verified in polynomial time and according to non deterministic turing machine A ∈ NP

(iii) The given Statement is False

Proof:

3-SAT has 3 literals per clause

Example: $(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \neg x_3)$

2-SAT, for every clause there will be 2 literals

Example: $(x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2)$

The 3-SAT problem is a NP complete and the 2-SAT problem can be solved in polynomial time that is P complete

Therefore the statement is contradiction since 3-SAT cannot be reduced to 2-SAT problem

(iv) The given statement is True

Proof:

NP-complete problems can be solved in $O(2^{\text{poly}(n)})$ time, where n is the size of the input, if the non deterministic turing machine always finds a solution to the given problem

(v) The given statement is false

Proof:

If A is in P, then it can be solved in polynomial time, and if B is in NP, $A \leq_p B$, however $B \leq_p A$ is not possible because B is NP and any NP problem cannot be solved in polynomial time

5. Suppose A be a polynomial time algorithm that decides whether a SAT instance is satisfiable or not

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m be the clauses and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be the variables, then the boolean formula of the SAT is

$\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_1 \wedge c_2 \wedge \dots \wedge c_m$

If the given instance $A(\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = 0$ or False, then the instance is not satisfiable

If the given instance $A(\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = 1$ or True, then the assignment is satisfying

x_i will be either 1 or 0, consider x_1 is either 0 or 1

if x_1 is 0 then $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \Phi(0, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ or if x_1 is 1 then $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \Phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, either of them must be satisfiable

if $A(\Phi)$ is 1, $x_1=0$, but there is x_j satisfying to the Φ in which $x_1=0$, now repeat the process to find all the n variables using Φ_0

if $A(\Phi)$ is 0, then $A(\Phi_1)$ must be satisfiable, so now assign x_1 as 1 and repeat the process to find all the assignments of n variables using Φ_1

To find all the assignments to the variables we iterate n+1 number of times, by this we can possibly find satisfying assignments to the given 3-SAT instance in polynomial time

6. Given:

$d(u, v)$ is shortest path between u and v

$d(v, P)$ is the distance between node v to the closest node on a Path P

aggregate remoteness of P

$r(P) = (\sum_{v \in V} d(v, P))$

->Highway E NP

This can be certified by traversing through all the vertices on the Highway and find the $\min(r(p))$ and $\min(r(p)) \leq k$

-> Hamiltonian path $\leq p$ Highway

Given any graph with Hamiltonian path we need to construct with minimum aggregate remoteness $\min(r(p))$ and $\min(r(p)) \leq k$

Claim: Graph G has a Hamiltonian path if and only if the highway has minimum aggregate remoteness $\min(r(p))$ and $\min(r(p)) \leq k$

Proof:

Any graph G has Hamiltonian path

Construct a highway using the vertices in the graph G and the highway must have minimum aggregate remoteness $\min(r(p))$ and $\min(r(p)) \leq k$

Find a Hamiltonian path in the graph G and using the Hamiltonian path construct the highway by adding edges duv

If the constructed highway has minimum aggregate remoteness $\min(r(p))$ and $\min(r(p)) \leq k$ and the highway must be in the Hamiltonian path