Lab 3: Motion Planning with a 6-DOF Manipulator

(72 points total)

1 Main Implementation

In this lab assignment, you will implement the RRT algorithm for a 6-DOF robotic manipulator. To perform the assignment, you will need to have installed the AIKIDO infrastructure. We provide for you the file **adarrt.py**, which contains several methods you will fill in. During development, you will run your code in simulation with -

```
$ python adarrt.py --sim
```

The python file contains the following classes and functions:

- `AdaRRT`: This is the main class. It initializes the start and goal node, the number of iterations, the step_size δ when extending a node in the tree, the desired goal precision ϵ and the joint limits of the robot. It also includes information about the environment, which includes a table, a soda can that we want to grasp, the robot and a set of constraints that check for collisions. This implementation will be very similar to the RRT you built in HW4, with a few modifications discussed below.
- `AdaRRT.Node`: A Node object should contain a copy of the state, a pointer to the parent node in the tree, and a list of pointers to all its child nodes in the tree. A state in the provided code is a 6D `np.array` that contains the robot's configuration.
- `main`: this function specifies the start and goal configurations, sets up the RRT planner and computes a path. It then calls the AIKIDO function `compute_joint_space_path`, which generates a trajectory for the robot to follow.

Steps to complete the lab:

1. **Implement an RRT algorithm** by filling in the code in the provided file. For start-

ing configuration q_S and goal configuration q_G, and parameters ϵ and δ use:

$$q_S = [-1.5, 3.22, 1.23, -2.19, 1.8, 1.2]$$
$$q_G = [-1.72, 4.44, 2.02, -2.04, 2.66, 1.39]$$
$$\delta = 0.25$$
$$\epsilon = 1.0$$

Make sure you have `roscore` running before starting your RRT!

2. **Visualize the trajectory in rviz**. First, execute your `AdaRRT` implementation, but don't execute the trajectory. Then, open rviz from the command line using `rosrun rviz rviz`. In the bottom left module, click the "Add" button and navigate to the "By Topic" tab. You should see a `InteractiveMarkers` topic under `/dart_markers`. Add the topic before executing your trajectory generated by `AdaRRT`.

   (a) Accept the GitHub Classroom invitation
   (GitHub Classroom)

   (b) Download the docker image (we will not use the same docker images as in Lab1 and Lab2).
   If use **ARM-64** architecture:

   - If use Python2:
     Download and directly load this docker image:
     (Google Drive)
     `docker load -i cs545-lab3-melodic-arm64.tar`.
   - If use Python3:
     Download and directly load this docker image:
     (Google Drive)
     `docker load -i cs545-lab3-noetic-arm64.tar`.

   If use **X86-64** architecture:

   - If use Python2:
     Download and directly load this docker image:
     (Google Drive)
     `docker load -i cs545-lab3-melodic-x86-64.tar`.

   If build your own Docker image:

   - Follow this instruction:
     (Google Drive)

   (c) Build the provided workspace (already in the docker image, you can skip this step): (Google Drive)

Unzip it to your home directory. Before running the assignment codes, make sure you have run source /ros_ws/devel/setup.bash in the same terminal as your lab script. Remove build, devel, and log from your ros_ws and rebuild the workspace by running

```
catkin_make_isolated  -j4  # Use 4 parallel jobs
```

(d) Create Docker Container

(e) Run lab3 code

If use **ARM-64** architecture:

- Terminal 1: Run roscore
  Run roscore
  ```
  source /opt/ros/melodic/setup.bash
  roscore
  ```
- Terminal 2: Run your script
  Put your script in ' /ros_ws/src/lab3/adarrt.py'.
  Run your script:
  ```
  source ~/ros_ws/devel_isolated/libada/setup.bash
  export ROS_PACKAGE_PATH=$ROS_PACKAGE_PATH:/root/ros_ws/src/libada
  python ~/ros_ws/src/lab3/adarrt.py --sim
  ```
- Terminal 3: Run Rviz visualization
  ```
  source /opt/ros/melodic/setup.bash
  source ~/ros_ws/devel_isolated/libada/setup.bash
  export ROS_PACKAGE_PATH=$ROS_PACKAGE_PATH:/root/ros_ws/src/libada
  rosrun rviz rviz
  ```

If use **X86-64** architecture:

- Terminal 1: Run roscore
  Run roscore
  ```
  source ~/.bashrc
  roscore
  ```
- Terminal 2: Run your script
  Put your script in `~/lab3/adarrt.py`.
  Run your script:
  ```
  source ~/.bashrc
  python ~/lab3/adarrt.py --sim
  ```
- Terminal 3: Run Rviz visualization
  ```
  source ~/.bashrc
  rosrun rviz rviz
  ```

3. **(40 points)** Use an off-shelf screen capture software (e.g., `https://itsfoss.com/kazam-screen-recorder/`) to **record a video** of the trajectory. Include the video in the root of your GitHub repo as a file named `question-3.mp4`.

4. **(10 points)** The RRT trajectory is typically jerky. Typical planners use shortcutting algorithms to make the plath smoother. **Replace the function** `ada.compute_joint_space_path` with `ada.compute_smooth_joint_space_path`. Capture the new trajectory with two videos – one showing the default isometric view, and another showing the top view. Include the videos in the root of your GitHub repo as files named `question-4-default.mp4` and `question-4-top.mp4`.

5. **(10 points)** The goal precision ϵ of 1.0 in the previous question is too large. In order to avoid collisions, we need to improve the precision. However, this dramatically increases the time to compute a solution. To improve computation, **add a method** `_get_random_sample_near_goal` that generates a sample around the goal within a distance of 0.05 along each axis of the search space. Then, change the `build` method so that it calls `_get_random_sample_near_goal` with probability 0.2 and `_get_random_sample` with probability 0.8. Reduce ϵ to 0.2.

   Write down your observations in the PDF file. Also capture the new trajectory with two videos – one showing the default isometric view, and another showing the top view. Include the videos in the root of your GitHub repo as files named `question-5-default.mp4` and `question-5-top.mp4`.

   Answer:
   We reduced ϵ to 0.2 to make the goal more precise. The reason this significantly slows down planning is that the target region becomes much smaller, so uniform sampling rarely produces nodes close enough for the RRT to satisfy the stopping condition. The tree must grow many more nodes before it accidentally reaches the tighter goal region, which increases computation time.

   To speed up the search, we introduce goal-biased sampling. By generating 20% of the samples within ± 0.05 of the goal, we artificially increase the density of nodes in the part of the configuration space that the planner actually needs to reach. This greatly improves the chance that the tree expands into the goal region, compensating for the stricter ϵ. The remaining 80% uniform sampling preserves global exploration so the planner does not get stuck behind obstacles.

   In short, the smaller ϵ improves precision but makes the goal harder to reach; goal-biased sampling works because it directly supplies nearby samples that guide the tree toward the goal faster while still allowing exploration. With the tighter ϵ and 20% goal-bias, it produced a trajectory that reached the goal configuration more accurately. The end effector arrives closer to the desired pose and result in a path that looks more direct and precise compared to the earlier trajectory.

6. **(10 points)** Explain why it is not a good idea to call `_get_random_sample_near_goal` with probability 1.0. Also present an example where this could be problematic. Write your answer in the PDF.

   Answer:
   Calling get_random_sample_near_goal with probability 1.0 is not a good idea because it removes the exploration capability that makes RRT effective. RRT depends on sampling across the entire configuration space so the tree can grow around obstacles and discover feasible paths. If all samples are taken only near the goal, the tree will repeatedly attempt to extend toward the goal region without expanding outward from the start.

   This becomes a serious problem whenever the goal is not directly reachable in a straight line. For example, if an obstacle such as a table blocks the direct approach, sampling only near the goal prevents the planner from exploring configurations that move the arm upward or around the obstacle. As a result, the algorithm cannot build a valid path even though one exists.

   In short, 100% goal-biased sampling causes RRT to fail in environments where obstacles require detours, because the planner never explores the rest of the configuration space.

In-person lab: Once you are confident in your simulation results, you are ready to run it on the real robot. This can be done on the lab workstations with -

```
$ python adarrt.py --real
```

Refer to Piazza for more instructions on scheduling time in the lab.

2 Additional Questions

As a very rough guideline, we anticipate that for most teams, the answers to each question below will be approximately one paragraph long (or about 4-5 bullet points). However, your answers may be shorter or longer if you believe it is necessary.

2.1 Resources Consulted

Question: **(1 points)** Please describe which resources you used while working on the assignment. You do not need to cite anything directly part of the class (e.g., a lecture, the CSCI 545 course staff, or the readings from a particular lecture). Some examples of things that could be applicable to cite here are: (1) did you get help from a classmate

not part of your lab team; (2) did you use resources like Wikipedia, StackExchange, or Google Bard in any capacity; (3) did you use someone's code (again, for someone *not* part of your lab team)? When you write your answers, explain not only the resources you used but HOW you used them. If you believe your team did not use anything worth citing, *you must still state that in your answer* to get full credit.

Answer:

- we used ChatGPT to help us with the docker image/container setup and running the simulation.
- For Q6, to understand what will happen if the goal-sampling probability increases in RRT, we referred to the following link.
  https://vhartmann.com/rrt_goal_bias/

2.2 Team Contributions

Question: (1 points) Please describe below the contributions for each team member to the overall lab. *Furthermore, state a (rough) percentage contribution for each member*. For example, in a team of 4, did each team member contribute roughly 25% to the overall effort for the project?

Answer:

- Gawun Kim implemented the code for Section 3 and contributed to the recording of the video.
- Louison Lu implemented the code for Section 3 and contributed to the recording of the video.
- Autumn Kwon implemented the code for Section 4 and contributed to the recording of the videos.
- Ji Hwan (Jon) Moon implemented the code for Sections 5 and 6 and contributed to the writing of the respective Sections 5 and 6.
- Hyeonho Oh implemented the code for Sections 5 and 6 and contributed to the writing of the respective Sections 5 and 6.