Small team statistics

George G. Vega Yon
Kayla de la Haye

October 1, 2018

Exponential Random Graph Models

Formals

The distribution of **Y** can be parameterized in the form

$$\Pr(\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}|\theta, \mathcal{Y}) = \frac{\exp \theta^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y})}{\kappa(\theta, \mathcal{Y})}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y} \tag{1}$$

Where $\theta \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ is the vector of model coefficients and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y})$ is a q-vector of statistics based on the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{y}$.

- Model (1) may be expanded by replacing $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y})$ with $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})$ to allow for additional covariate information $\mathbf{X}$ about the network. The denominator,

$$\kappa(\theta, \mathcal{Y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp \theta^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z})$$

- Is the normalizing factor that ensures that equation (1) is a legitimate probability distribution.
- Even after fixing $\mathcal{Y}$ to be all the networks that have size n, the size of $\mathcal{Y}$ makes this type of models hard to estimate as there are $N = 2^{n(n-1)}$ possible networks! (Hunter et al. 2008)

How does ERGMs look like (in R at least)

```
network ~ edges + nodematch("hispanic") + nodematch("female") +
  mutual +  esp(0:3) +  idegree(0:10)
```

Here we are controlling for:

- `edges`: Edge count,
- `nodematch(hispanic)`: number of homophilic edges on race,
- `nodematch(female)`: number of homophilic edges on gender,
- `mutual`: number of reciprocal edges,
- `esp(0:3)`: number of shared parterns (0 to 3), and
- `indegree(0:10)`: indegree distribution (fixed effects for values 0 to 10)

(See Hunter et al. 2008).

Example with precision

For each team T, we defined the following statistic:

$$S_T \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \in N} H(G_i, G_T)$$

Where H is the hamming distance, G_i is i's Cognitive Social Structure, and G_T is the true network.

The statistic is normalized so that it lies wihin 0 and 1, with 0 been complete missmatch, and 1 perfect match.

Simulation process

For each set of experiments, generate N teams by doing:

1. Draw a random graph of size n_i from a bernoulli distribution with parameter p_i, call it G_i.
2. Generate n_i other graphs by permuting G_i with different levels of accuracy a_{ij}
3. Generate $Y_i \sim \text{Beta}\left(\exp\left(\theta^{\text{t}} X_i\right), 1.5\right)$, where X_i is a vector of team level statistics, including $a_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_j a_{ij}$, the average level of accuracy. The resulting value Y_i will be between 0 and 1.

Once all N teams have been simulated, estimate the model using MLE

Monte Carlo Experiments

Table 1: Estimates from simulated data

	Estimate	Std. Error
beta	-0.0124107	0.2187180
h	-0.4519792	0.2868577
X1	-1.7388996	0.3339253
X2	0.4180847	0.1425746


Simulated Experiment (50 teams)
Frequency
0
5
10
15
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
Response
(hamming distance)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
True Precision


Power
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
beta
hamming_distance
X1
X2





References

Hunter, David R., Mark S. Handcock, Carter T. Butts, Steven M. Goodreau, and Martina Morris. 2008. “ergm : A Package to Fit, Simulate and Diagnose Exponential-Family Models for Networks.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 24 (3). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v024.i03.