

Multiple flow FeNO in population research: R code methods demo

The following demo file provides example R code to implement six methods for research involving multiple flow exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measurements in a study population, where the goal is to relate estimated NO parameters to factors of interest (i.e., covariate(s) X).

0. Preliminaries: set up your R session

IMPORTANT! Install JAGS, if not already installed, follow instructions at: <https://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.io/>

Load the following required packages, installing first if not already available. To use the R2jags package, JAGS must be pre-installed.

```
# set working directory
setwd("K:/paper/newpackage/PopFeNO")
# load required packages
require(MASS)
require(lme4)
require(nlme)
require(reshape2)
require(R2jags)
```

1. Data simulation

First, set a random seed for your work.

```
set.seed(2022)
```

Simulate data from a cross-sectional study with multiple flow FeNO and a single standard normal covariate X using the function `DataGeneratorCS()` in `DataG.R`, which outputs a simulated dataset for `Ndat` participants, with data for each participant on mean flow and FeNO for all maneuvers as well as that participant's value of X.

```
# Simulate a multiple flow FeNO dataset in a study population
source("DataG.R") # code to generate multiple flow FeNO datasets
flow=c(rep(30,2),rep(50,2),rep(100,2),rep(300,2))
truebeta=c(0.1,0.1,0.1)
out <- DataGeneratorCS(Ndat=500, # number of study participants
                      alpha=c(1.5,3.5,2.5), # vector of NO parameter population means,
                                           # when X=0, in this order: CA, logCaw, logDaw
                      Xfn=function(n){rnorm(n,0,1)}, # function to generate participant-level covariate
                                           # as function of number of study participants
                      beta=truebeta, # vector of regression coefficients relating X to NO parameters,
                                           # in this order: CA, logCaw, logDaw
                      Flow=c(rep(30,2),rep(50,2),rep(100,2),rep(300,2)), # vector of flow rates in
```

```

SD=0.1, #SD of the error # multiple flow FeNO protocol
sdalphaCa = 0.45, # population SD of CA
sdalphalogCaw = 0.65, # population SD of logCaw
sdalphalogDaw = 0.55, # population SD of logDaw
coralphalogCawCa = 0.66, # correlation of NO parameters: logCaw, CA
coralphalogCawlogDaw = -0.35, # correlation of NO parameters: logCaw, logDaw
coralphalogDawCa = -0.38 # correlation of NO parameters: logDaw, CA
)
# output is two versions of the same dataset, plus dataset only including X and id
# one dataset for use in Bayesian methods via JAGS (datJAGS)
# one dataset for all other methods (dat)
dat <- out$dat
datJAGS <- out$datJAGS
datX <- out$datX

```

The dat dataset is in the usual 'long' data format:

```
dat[1:10,]
```

```

##      id      eno    logeno flow flowTarget
## 1    1 19.416852 2.966141   30          30
## 2    1 23.020485 3.136384   30          30
## 3    1 12.260047 2.506346   50          50
## 4    1 13.544361 2.605970   50          50
## 5    1  7.956771 2.074023  100         100
## 6    1  9.577102 2.259375  100         100
## 7    1  3.964285 1.377326  300         300
## 8    1  3.379312 1.217672  300         300
## 9    2 16.057166 2.776155   30          30
## 10   2 11.648306 2.455161   30          30

```

2. Estimate NO parameter associations with X

2.1 TS_NLS: Two-stage nonlinear least squares 1: NLS_StageI: Estimated NO parameters ordered by id, exist NAs 2: NLS_StageIX: Combine NO parameters and covariate X by id 3: Fit individual grouped linear regression models for each NO parameters

```

source("TS_NLS.R")

# Stage I
NLS_StageI<-TS_NLS_StageI(dat)

# create dataset including both Stage I estimates and X
NLS_StageIX <- merge(NLS_StageI,datX,by="id")

# Stage II - edit to include any additional Stage II covariates (e.g., confounder adjustments)
TS_NLS_Ca <-lme(Ca ~ X, random=~1|id, data = NLS_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_NLS_logCaw <-lme(logCaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = NLS_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_NLS_logDaw <-lme(logDaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = NLS_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)

```

Interpretation for CANA:

The population mean CANO is 1.3972161 (95% CI: 1.3364499,1.4579824). For 1 unit increase in covariate X, CANO increases 0.0690305, (95% CI: `r.intervals(TS_NLS_Ca,which="fixed")[[1]][2,1]`, 0.129065).

```
source("TS_HMA.R")

# Stage I, specify target flow rates for HMA (low, medium, high)
HMA_StageI <- TS_HMA_StageI(dat, flowLMH=c(30,100,300))

# create dataset including both Stage I estimates and X
HMA_StageIX <- merge(HMA_StageI,datX,by="id")

# Stage II - edit to include any additional Stage II covariates (e.g., confounder adjustments)
TS_HMA_Ca <- lme(Ca ~ X, random=~1|id,data = HMA_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_HMA_logCaw <- lme(logCaw ~ X, random=~1|id,data = HMA_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_HMA_logDaw <- lme(logDaw ~ X, random=~1|id,data = HMA_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
```

2.2 TS_HMA: Two-stage Högman & Merilänen Algorithm

```
source("TS_NLME.R")
#Stage I
TSNLME_StageIout <- TSNLME_StageI(dat,tol1=0.1,tol2=0.01,outputFit=TRUE)# include X for later unified
TSNLME_StageI <- TSNLME_StageIout$ests
TSNLME_StageIfit <- TSNLME_StageIout$fit # save fit to speed up U_NLME

# create dataset including both Stage I estimates and X
TSNLME_StageIX <- merge(TSNLME_StageI,datX,by="id")

# Stage II - edit to include any additional Stage II covariates (e.g., confounder adjustments)
TS_NLME_Ca <- lme(Ca ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSNLME_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_NLME_logCaw <- lme(logCaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSNLME_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_NLME_logDaw <- lme(logDaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSNLME_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
```

2.3 TS_NLME: Two-stage nonlinear mixed effects model

```
# The function is for single X. If you want to fit with multiple X, just modify the "fixed" and start s
source("U_NLME.R")
# direct approach
U_NLMEout<-U_NLME_direct(dat,datX,tol=0.1)
# update approach
U_NLMEout_u<-U_NLME_update(TSNLME_StageIout,dat,datX,tol=0.1)
# anova(U_NLMEout,U_NLMEout_u) # compare two approaches
```

2.4 UNLME: Unified nonlinear mixed effects model

2.5 TS_HB: Two-stage Hierarchical Bayesian method

- Load existing results if exists.

```
source("TS_HB.R")
set.seed(2022)
# Stage I
if(!file.exists("TSHB_cc.Rdata")){
  TSHB_S1<-TSHB_iter(beta0_prior=c(2,4,3),
                    rhat=1.1,addon.iter=4000,Max_update=10,
                    n.final=3000,N.iterT=3000,N.burnin=2500,N.thinM=1,N.chain=3,
                    flow=flow,dat=datJAGS,
                    tracing=c("beta0_Ca","beta0_logCaw","beta0_logDaw"))
  save(TSHB_S1,file="TSHB_cc.Rdata")
}else{
  load("TSHB_cc.Rdata")
}

TSHB_S1_dat<-data.frame("Ca"=TSHB_S1$summary[grepl("^Ca",rownames(TSHB_S1$summary)),1],
                        "logCaw"=TSHB_S1$summary[grepl("^logCaw",rownames(TSHB_S1$summary)),1],
                        "logDaw"=TSHB_S1$summary[grepl("^logDaw",rownames(TSHB_S1$summary)),1]
                        )
TSHB_S1_dat$id <- as.numeric(unlist(lapply(rownames(TSHB_S1_dat),function(x) strsplit(strsplit(x,"\\["))
TSHB_S1_dat <- TSHB_S1_dat[order(TSHB_S1_dat$id),]
TSHB_StageIX <- cbind(TSHB_S1_dat,datX)

# Stage II - edit to include any additional Stage II covariates (e.g., confounder adjustments)
TS_HB_Ca <-lme(Ca ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSHB_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_HB_logCaw <-lme(logCaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSHB_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
TS_HB_logDaw <-lme(logDaw ~ X, random=~1|id, data = TSHB_StageIX, na.action = na.omit)
```

2.5.ex Convergence diagnostic for TS_HB via Rhat (See Gelman and Rubin (1992), Brooks and Gelman (1998))

- Print out the estimation (95% CL) and Rhat (converge if <1.1)

```
TSHB_S1$summary[c("beta0_Ca","beta0_logCaw","beta0_logDaw","sdCa","sdlogCaw","sdlogDaw","corlogCawCa","sigma_c"),c("2.5%","mean","97.5%","Rhat")]
```

##	2.5%	mean	97.5%	Rhat
## beta0_Ca	1.49753345	1.5500093	1.6037103	1.077980
## beta0_logCaw	3.34077083	3.4193315	3.5234249	1.069067
## beta0_logDaw	2.50648100	2.6206368	2.7006227	1.147185
## sdCa	0.40415293	0.4448635	0.4875842	1.034334
## sdlogCaw	0.54649166	0.6178530	0.6861821	1.207797
## sdlogDaw	0.09509015	0.1695061	0.2905103	3.280325
## corlogCawCa	0.46963490	0.5885458	0.6918710	1.060723
## corlogCawlogDaw	-0.16443516	0.4106666	0.6810458	1.795172
## corlogDawCa	-0.47858958	-0.1729028	0.4829335	1.298679
## sigma_c	0.09760268	0.1000675	0.1026716	1.008098

2.6 U_HB: Unified Hierarchical Bayesian method

- Load existing results if exists.

```
source("U_HB.R")
set.seed(2022)
if(!file.exists("UHB_cc.Rdata")){
  UHB_sim<-UHB_iter(beta0_prior=c(2,4,3),
                    betaC_prior =c(0.1,0.1,0.1),
                    rhat=1.1,addon.iter=1000,Max_update=3,n.final=1000,
                    N.iterT=1500,N.burnin=1000,N.thinM=1,N.chain=3,
                    flow=flow,dat=datJAGS,X=datJAGS$X,tracing=c("beta1_Ca","beta1_logCaw","beta1_logDaw"),
                    save(UHB_sim,file="UHB_cc.Rdata")
}else{
  load("UHB_cc.Rdata")
}
```

2.6.ex Convergence diagnostic for U_HB via Rhat

- Print out the estimation (95% CL) and Rhat (converge if <1.1)

```
UHB_sim$summary[c("beta0_Ca","beta0_logCaw","beta0_logDaw",
                  "beta1_Ca","beta1_logCaw","beta1_logDaw",
                  "sdCa","sdlogCaw","sdlogDaw",
                  "corlogCawCa","corlogCawlogDaw","corlogDawCa",
                  "sigma_c"),c("2.5%","mean","97.5%","Rhat")]
```

##		2.5%	mean	97.5%	Rhat
##	beta0_Ca	1.50734338	1.56716769	1.62650389	1.391810
##	beta0_logCaw	3.39601309	3.56316972	3.81813904	3.362638
##	beta0_logDaw	2.17308229	2.45901273	2.65526517	3.566671
##	beta1_Ca	0.05626447	0.10940456	0.16415525	1.050764
##	beta1_logCaw	0.10916633	0.18882113	0.28310534	1.068274
##	beta1_logDaw	-0.12078440	-0.02400036	0.06057182	1.122616
##	sdCa	0.39790539	0.44804412	0.49821050	1.543450
##	sdlogCaw	0.54126778	0.62818253	0.74339953	2.397158
##	sdlogDaw	0.27629953	0.43399990	0.69885785	4.365563
##	corlogCawCa	0.47066993	0.63056685	0.77348775	2.434320
##	corlogCawlogDaw	-0.51631785	-0.13254616	0.18855645	3.765336
##	corlogDawCa	-0.46534325	-0.24830977	0.08582834	2.663337
##	sigma_c	0.09686811	0.09945313	0.10206027	1.015140

3. Create plot comparing estimated NO parameter associations across 6 methods

- Y axis: 6 methods
- X axis: coefficient effect size: The values used in simulation were all equalled to 0.1 for CANO, logCawNO and logDawNO. Which means for 1 unit increase in the covariate X, the corresponding NO paramters CANO, logCawNO and logDawNO increase 0.1 unit. The geometric interpretation for CawNO was that it was $(\exp(0.1)-1)$ times higher for 1 unit increase in the covariate, so was for DawNO

