Audit report of USDWSWap_Router

Prepared By: - Kishan Patel
Prepared On: - 06/02/2025.

Prepared for: DWIN INTERTRADE COMPANY LTD.

Table of contents

- 1. Disclaimer
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Project information
- 4. List of attacks checked
- **5. Severity Definitions**
- 6. Good things in code
- 7. Critical vulnerabilities in code
- 8. Medium vulnerabilities in code
- 9. Low vulnerabilities in code
 - 10. Summary

THIS AUDIT REPORT WILL CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE SMART CONTRACT AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CUSTOMER AS WELL AS INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES OF THEIR EXPLOITATION.

THE INFORMATION FROM THIS AUDIT REPORT CAN BE USED INTERNALLY BY THE CUSTOMER OR IT CAN BE DISCLOSED PUBLICLY AFTER ALL VULNERABILITIES ARE FIXED - UPON THE DECISION OF THE CUSTOMER.

1. Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions). Because the total numbers of test cases are unlimited, the audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code.

It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on a blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

2. Introduction

Kishan Patel (Consultant) was contacted by DWIN INTERTRADE COMPANY LIMITED. (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contracts Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contracts and its code review conducted between 06/02/2025 - 07/02/2025.

The project has 1 files. It contains approx 730 lines of Solidity code. All the functions and state variables are well commented on using the natspec documentation, but that does not create any vulnerability.

3. Project information

Token Name	USDWSwap_Router	
Token Symbol	USDWSwap_Router	
Platform	Binance Smart Chain	
Order Started Date	06/02/2025	
Order Completed Date	07/02/2025	

4. List of attacks checked

- Over and under flows
- Short address attack
- Visibility & Delegate call
- Reentrancy / TheDAO hack
- Forcing BNB to a contract
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Byte array vulnerabilities
- Style guide violation
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Unchecked external call Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference

5. Severity Definitions

Risk	Level Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens loss etc.
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution

6. Good things in code

Good required condition in functions:-

 Here smart contract is checking that msg.sender for direct transfer will be always WETH contract.

 Here smart contract is checking that sufficient amounts are available in PancakeRouter.

```
// **** ADD LIQUIDITY ****
372
         function _addLiquidity(address tokenA, address tokenB, uint amountADe
373
             // create the pair if it doesn't exist yet
374
375
             if (IPancakeFactory(factory).getPair(tokenA, tokenB) == address(0)
                 IPancakeFactory(factory).createPair(tokenA, tokenB);
376
377
378
             (uint reserveA, uint reserveB) = PancakeLibrary.getReserves(facto
             if (reserveA == 0 && reserveB == 0) {
379
                  (amountA, amountB) = (amountADesired, amountBDesired);
380
             } else {
381
                  uint amountBOptimal = PancakeLibrary.quote(amountADesired, re-
382
383
                  if (amountBOptimal <= amountBDesired) {</pre>
                      require(amountBOptimal >= amountBMin, "PancakeRouter: INS
384
                      (amountA, amountB) = (amountADesired, amountBOptimal);
385
                  } else {
386
387
                      uint amountAOptimal = PancakeLibrary.quote(amountBDesired)
388
                      assert(amountAOptimal <= amountADesired);</pre>
                      require(amountAOptimal >= amountAMin, "PancakeRouter: INS
389
                      (amountA, amountB) = (amountAOptimal, amountBDesired);
390
```

o Here smart contract is checking that transfer method of WETH is successful.

o Here smart contract is checking that sufficient balance for amount a and amount b available in PancakeRouter.

```
// **** REMOVE LIQUIDITY ****
412
           function removeLiquidity(address tokenA, address tokenB, uint liquid
413
414
               address pair = PancakeLibrary.pairFor(factory, tokenA, tokenB);
415
               IPancakePair(pair).transferFrom(msg.sender, pair, liquidity); //
416
               (uint amount0, uint amount1) = IPancakePair(pair).burn(to);
               (address token0, ) = PancakeLibrary.sortTokens(tokenA, tokenB);
417
               (amountA, amountB) = tokenA == token0 ? (amount0, amount1) : (amountA)
418
               require(amountA >= amountAMin, "PancakeRouter: INSUFFICIENT_A_AM
419
               require(amountB >= amountBMin, "PancakeRouter: INSUFFICIENT_B_A
420
```

• Here smart contract is checking that sufficient output amount available in PancakeRounter.

• Here smart contract is checking that sufficient input amount available in PancakeRounter.

```
function swapTokensForExactTokens(uint amountOut, uint amountInMax, address[]

if (path[0] == USDW) {
    amounts = getAmountsIn(amountOut, path);
    IERC20(path[0]).transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amounts[0] / (16)
    if (path[path.length - 1] == USDT) {
        IERC20(USDT).transfer(to, amounts[0]);
    } else {
        require(amounts[0] <= amountInMax * (10 ** DECIMAL_DIFF), "PancakeRot TransferHelper.safeTransfer(USDT, PancakeLibrary.pairFor(factory, USDT));
        require(amounts, path, to);
        require
```

 Here smart contract is checking that path is valid, sufficient output amount available in PancakeRounter, and transfer method of WETH contract is successfully called.

```
function swapExactETHForTokens(uint amountOutMin, address[] calldata path, addresuire(path[0] == WETH, "PancakeRouter: INVALID_PATH");
  (uint amountInX, uint amountOutMinX, ) = countFees(msg.value, amountOutMin)
  amounts = getAmountsOut(amountInX, path);
  require(amounts[amounts.length - 1] >= amountOutMinX, "PancakeRouter: INSUFINETH(WETH).deposit{value: amounts[0]}();
```

• Here smart contract is checking that path is valid, and sufficient input amount available in PancakeRounter,

```
function swapTokensForExactETH(uint amountOut, uint amountInMax, add
frequire(path[path.length - 1] == WETH, "PancakeRouter: INVALID_F

if (path[0] == USDW) {
    amounts = getAmountsIn(amountOut, path);
    require(amounts[0] <= amountInMax * 10 ** DECIMAL_DIFF, "Pancaker TransferHelper.safeTransfer(USDT, PancakeLibrary.pairFor(factor))

TransferHelper.safeTransf
```

o Here smart contract is checking that path is valid, and sufficient output amount available in PancakeRounter,

• Here smart contract is checking that path is valid, and sufficient input amount available in PancakeRounter,

```
function swapETHForExactTokens(uint amountOut, address[] calldata page
function swapETHForExactTokens(uint amountOut, address[] calldata page
frequire(path[0] == WETH, "PancakeRouter: INVALID_PATH");
(uint amountInX, uint amountOutMinX, ) = countFees(msg.value, amounts)

if (path[path.length - 1] == USDW) {
    amounts = getAmountsIn(amountOutMinX, path);
    require(amounts[0] <= amountInX, "PancakeRouter: EXCESSIVE_I

IMETH(WETH).deb0sit{xa}He: amounts[0]}();

reduire(amounts[0] == amountInX, "PancakeRouter: EXCESSIVE_I

EXCESSIVE_I

IMETH(WETH).deb0sit{xa}He: amounts[0]}();</pre>
```

o Here smart contract is checking that tokenAddress is valid and proper.

```
function setRewardToken(address _tokenAddress) public adminOnly {
contact require(_tokenAddress != address(0), "invaid address found");
contact REWARDTOKEN = IERC20(_tokenAddress);
contact require(_tokenAddress);
contact r
```

o Here smart contract is checking that usdt is valid and proper.

```
function setUsdtAddress(address _usdt) public adminOnly{
require(_usdt != address(0) , "not valid");
USDT = _usdt;
```

o Here smart contract is checking that _usdw is valid and proper.

```
function setUsdwAddress(address _usdw) public adminOnly{
    require(_usdw != address(0) , "not valid");

USDW = _usdw;
```

7. Critical vulnerabilities in code

• No Critical vulnerabilities found

8. Medium vulnerabilities in code

No Medium vulnerabilities found

9. Low vulnerabilities in code

9.1. Suggestions to add code validations:-

- => You have implemented required validation in contract.
- => There are some places where you can improve validation and security of your code.
- => These are all just suggestion it is not bug.

Function: - addLiquidityETH

```
function addLiquidityETH(address token, uint amountTokenDesired, uin

(amountToken, amountETH) = _addLiquidity(token, WETH, amountToken

address pair = PancakeLibrary.pairFor(factory, token, WETH);

TransferHelper.safeTransferFrom(token, msg.sender, pair, amountToken

WETH(WETH).deposit{value: amountETH}();
```

• Here in addLiquidityETH function smart contract can check that deposit method of WETH contract is successfully called.

Function: - removeLiquidityETH

```
function removeLiquidityETH(address token, uint liquidity, uint amou

(amountToken, amountETH) = removeLiquidity(token, WETH, liquidity

TransferHelper.safeTransfer(token, to, amountToken);

IWETH(WETH).withdraw(amountETH);
```

• Here in removeLiquidityETH function smart contract can check that withdraw method of WETH contract is successfully called.

• Function: - removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens

```
function removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(address token)

uint amountToken;

(amountToken, amountETH) = removeLiquidity(token, WETH, liquidity

TransferHelper.safeTransfer(token, to, amountToken);

IWETH(WETH).withdraw(amountETH);

IMELH(MELH):MITTUGLEM(SWONDITELH);
```

 Here in removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens function smart contract can check that withdraw method of WETH contract is successfully called.

Function: - swapExactTokensForTokens

```
function swapExactTokensForTokens(uint amountIn, uint amountOutMin,

description swapExactTokens(uint amountOutMin, uint amountOutMin, uint amountOutMin,

description sw
```

• Here in swapExactTokensForTokens function smart contract can check that transfer method of USDT contract is successfully called.

Function: - swapTokensForExactTokens

• Here in swapTokensForExactTokens function smart contract can check that transfer method of USDT, USDW contracts are successfully called.

Function: - swapExactETHForTokens

```
function swapExactETHForTokens(uint amountOutMin, address[] calldata
frequire(path[0] == WETH, "PancakeRouter: INVALID_PATH");

(uint amountInX, uint amountOutMinX, ) = countFees(msg.value, amounts = getAmountsOut(amountInX, path);

require(amounts[amounts.length - 1] >= amountOutMinX, "PancakeRouter: INVALID_PATH");

statement = weth = w
```

• Here in swapExactETHForTokens function smart contract can check that transfer method of WETH contract is successfully called.

Function: - setadminswallet

```
function setadminsWallet(address _adminWallet) external adminOnly {
651 | adminwallet = payable(_adminWallet);
652 }
```

• Here in setadminswallet function smart contract can have check that _adminWallet address is valid and proper.

o Function: - withdrawBNB

```
function withdrawBNB() external adminOnly {
655 adminwallet.transfer(address(this).balance);
656 }
```

• Here in withdrawBNB function smart contract can have check that transfer to adminwallet is successfully done.

o Function: - transferAnyERC20Token

• Here in transferAnyERC20Token function smart contract can have check that transfer method of tokenAddress contract is successfully done.

10. Summary

• Number of problems in the smart contract as per severity level

Critical	Medium	Low
0	0	9

According to the assessment, the smart contract code is well secured. The code is written with all validation and all security is implemented. Code is performing well and there is no way to steal funds from this contract.

- Good Point: Code performance and quality are good. All kind of necessary validation added into smart contract and all validations are working as excepted.
- Suggestions: Please try to implement suggested code validations.