A Comparison of Design-Based and Model-Based Approaches for Spatial Data

(in alphabetical order) Michael Dumelle and Matthew Higham and Others

Overview

$_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$ Why This Paper?

1

- We believe the distinction between these approaches is often misunderstood and there
- ⁴ are several ways we could enchance the literature surrounding this topic:
- 5 1. There are no comparisons in the literature between spatial model-based approaches and
- *spatially balanced* design approaches. From what we have seen, these comparisons
- are between spatial model-based approaches and independent random sample designs.
- While important to study this behavior, this comparison is no longer fair nor modern.
- Spatially balanced sampling has exploded in popularity throughout the last decade,
- and the design-based vs model-based literature needs to reflect this trend.
- 2. A "fair" comparison
- 3. Misspecification
- 4. Finite AND infinite populations
- 5. Centered around the pragmatic questions practitioners will be faced with
- 6. Provide reliable software

$_{\scriptscriptstyle 16}$ Initial Literature

- Design-Based Overview (Särndal et al., 2003; Lohr, 2009)
- Model-Based Overview (Cressie, 2015; Schabenberger and Gotway, 2017)
- Design-Based and Model-Based Comparisons (Hansen et al., 1983; Brus and De Grui-
- 20 jter, 1997; Ver Hoef, 2002; Cooper, 2006; Sterba, 2009; Brus, 2020; Chan-Golston et al.,
- 2020)

• Spatially Balanced Design and Analysis (Stevens Jr and Olsen, 2003, 2004) 22 • Finite Population Block Kriging (Ver Hoef, 2002, 2008; Higham et al., 2020) 23 Potential Journals **OUTLINE** 25 INTRODUCTION 1. 26 2. **BACKGROUND** 27 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS **3.** 28 Simulation-Based 3.1 Data-Based 3.2

4.

3.3

32

Software

DISCUSSION

33 References

- Brus, D. and De Gruijter, J. (1997). Random sampling or geostatistical modelling? choos-
- ing between design-based and model-based sampling strategies for soil (with discussion).
- Geoderma, 80(1-2):1-44.
- Brus, D. J. (2020). Statistical approaches for spatial sample survey: Persistent misconcep-
- tions and new developments. European Journal of Soil Science.
- ³⁹ Chan-Golston, A. M., Banerjee, S., and Handcock, M. S. (2020). Bayesian inference for finite
- populations under spatial process settings. *Environmetrics*, 31(3):e2606.
- 41 Cooper, C. (2006). Sampling and variance estimation on continuous domains. Environ-
- metrics: The official journal of the International Environmetrics Society, 17(6):539–553.
- ⁴³ Cressie, N. (2015). Statistics for spatial data. John Wiley & Sons.
- 44 Hansen, M. H., Madow, W. G., and Tepping, B. J. (1983). An evaluation of model-dependent
- and probability-sampling inferences in sample surveys. Journal of the American Statistical
- Association, 78(384):776–793.
- ⁴⁷ Higham, M., Ver Hoef, J., Madsen, L., and Aderman, A. (2020). Adjusting a finite population
- block kriging estimator for imperfect detection. *Environmetrics*, page e2654.
- Lohr, S. L. (2009). Sampling: design and analysis. Nelson Education.
- 50 Särndal, C.-E., Swensson, B., and Wretman, J. (2003). Model assisted survey sampling.
- 51 Springer Science & Business Media.
- 52 Schabenberger, O. and Gotway, C. A. (2017). Statistical methods for spatial data analysis.
- CRC press.
- 54 Sterba, S. K. (2009). Alternative model-based and design-based frameworks for inference
- from samples to populations: From polarization to integration. Multivariate behavioral
- research, 44(6):711–740.
- 57 Stevens Jr, D. L. and Olsen, A. R. (2003). Variance estimation for spatially balanced samples
- of environmental resources. *Environmetrics*, 14(6):593–610.
- 59 Stevens Jr, D. L. and Olsen, A. R. (2004). Spatially balanced sampling of natural resources.

- Journal of the american Statistical association, 99(465):262–278.
- ⁶¹ Ver Hoef, J. (2002). Sampling and geostatistics for spatial data. *Ecoscience*, 9(2):152–161.
- ⁶² Ver Hoef, J. M. (2008). Spatial methods for plot-based sampling of wildlife populations.
- $Environmental\ and\ Ecological\ Statistics,\ 15(1):3-13.$