A comparison of design-based and model-based approaches for finite population spatial data – Supplementary Material.

- Michael Dumelle*,a, Matt Higham^b, Lisa Madsen^c, Anthony R. Olsen^a, Jay M. Ver Hoef^d
- ^a United States Environmental Protection Agency, 200 SW 35th St, Corvallis, Oregon, 97333
 ^b Saint Lawrence University Department of Mathematics, Computer Science, and Statistics,
 23 Romoda Drive, Canton, New York, 13617
- Oregon State University Department of Statistics, 239 Weniger Hall, Corvallis, Oregon,
 97331
- d Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Oceanic and
 Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington, 98115

13 1. Numerical Study Tables

Recall the four design-analysis combinations and the parameter configurations, summarized in Table 1 and 2, respectively.

	Design	Model
IRS	IRS-Design	IRS-Model
GRTS	GRTS-Design	GRTS-Model

Table 1: Types of Sampling Design and Analysis combinations considered in the simulation study. The rows give the two types of sampling designs while the columns give the two types of analyses.

Sample Size (n)	50	100	200
Layout	Random	Gridded	-
Proportion of Dependent Error	0	0.5	0.9
Response Type	Normal	Lognormal	-

Table 2: Simulation parameters. Total variability for all scenarios was 2 so that the partial sill was $0,\,1,\,$ or 1.8.

Next we present a summary table for each of the 36 simulation scenarios.

16

 $Email\ address: \verb|Dumelle|.Michael@epa.gov| (Michael\ Dumelle)$

^{*}Corresponding Author

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0	50	-0.0023	0.1968	0.9440
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	50	-0.0029	0.1988	0.9400
GRTS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0	50	-0.0011	0.1946	0.9110
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	50	-0.0006	0.1949	0.9300

Table 3: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0036	0.2007	0.9080
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0094	0.2071	0.9045
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0007	0.1962	0.8690
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0022	0.1969	0.8945

Table 4: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0	50	0.0019	0.1861	0.9505
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0	50	0.0014	0.1892	0.9445
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0	50	-0.0040	0.1955	0.9090
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0	50	-0.0040	0.1965	0.9315

Table 5: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	50	0.0032	0.1843	0.9205
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0039	0.1945	0.9105
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0056	0.1944	0.8870
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	50	-0.0078	0.1967	0.9075

Table 6: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.5	50	0.0025	0.1762	0.9470
IRS-Model	Gridded	Normal	0.5	50	0.0007	0.1655	0.9305
GRTS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.5	50	0.0004	0.1524	0.9115
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	50	-0.0003	0.1499	0.9320

Table 7: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.5	50	0.0004	0.1863	0.9140
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	50	-0.0076	0.1834	0.9035
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	50	0.0016	0.1612	0.8810
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	50	-0.0017	0.1606	0.8940

Table 8: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	50	0.0037	0.1657	0.9590
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	50	0.0027	0.1546	0.9495
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	50	-0.0034	0.1511	0.9170
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	50	-0.0037	0.1504	0.9305

Table 9: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	50	0.0023	0.1637	0.9245
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	50	-0.0055	0.1622	0.9125
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	50	-0.0057	0.1570	0.9000
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	50	-0.0079	0.1567	0.9100

Table 10: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.9	50	0.0053	0.1579	0.9470
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	50	0.0026	0.1165	0.9315
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	50	0.0013	0.1074	0.9220
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	50	-0.0007	0.0949	0.9430

Table 11: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.9	50	0.0031	0.1731	0.9220
IRS-Model	Gridded	Lognormal	0.9	50	-0.0020	0.1325	0.9135
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	50	0.0031	0.1183	0.9065
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	50	-0.0002	0.1090	0.9120

Table 12: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	50	0.0062	0.1520	0.9525
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	50	0.0037	0.1074	0.9525
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	50	-0.0029	0.1038	0.9340
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	50	-0.0027	0.0940	0.9360

Table 13: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	50	0.0053	0.1542	0.9325
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	50	-0.0012	0.1160	0.9115
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	50	-0.0033	0.1150	0.9160
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	50	-0.0031	0.1014	0.9235

Table 14: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0	100	-0.0029	0.1322	0.9430
IRS-Model	Gridded	Normal	0	100	-0.0033	0.1332	0.9410
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	100	0.0041	0.1302	0.9245
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	100	0.0038	0.1304	0.9420

Table 15: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0	100	-0.0052	0.1334	0.9335
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	100	-0.0072	0.1350	0.9300
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	100	0.0042	0.1303	0.9035
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	100	0.0034	0.1307	0.9265

Table 16: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0	100	-0.0066	0.1366	0.9405
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0	100	-0.0067	0.1370	0.9385
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0	100	0.0028	0.1364	0.9180
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0	100	0.0029	0.1363	0.9345

Table 17: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	100	-0.0051	0.1348	0.9280
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	100	-0.0075	0.1372	0.9230
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	100	0.0002	0.1375	0.8840
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	100	-0.0003	0.1377	0.9090

Table 18: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.5	100	-0.0016	0.1177	0.9540
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	100	-0.0023	0.1072	0.9470
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	100	0.0051	0.0998	0.9300
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	100	0.0039	0.0982	0.9470

Table 19: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.5	100	-0.0021	0.1211	0.9445
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	100	-0.0058	0.1153	0.9295
GRTS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.5	100	0.0041	0.1090	0.9085
GRTS-Model	Gridded	Lognormal	0.5	100	0.0019	0.1090	0.9180

Table 20: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	100	-0.0064	0.1222	0.9440
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	100	-0.0049	0.1073	0.9440
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	100	0.0013	0.1041	0.9155
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	100	0.0014	0.1020	0.9400

Table 21: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	100	-0.0063	0.1204	0.9355
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	100	-0.0097	0.1150	0.9275
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	100	0.0003	0.1092	0.8960
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	100	-0.0004	0.1088	0.9120

Table 22: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.9	100	-0.0007	0.1059	0.9605
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	100	-0.0019	0.0700	0.9445
GRTS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.9	100	0.0044	0.0655	0.9435
GRTS-Model	Gridded	Normal	0.9	100	0.0030	0.0585	0.9440

Table 23: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0011	0.1092	0.9540
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0033	0.0779	0.9330
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	100	0.0035	0.0757	0.9190
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	100	0.0017	0.0693	0.9190

Table 24: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	100	-0.0048	0.1095	0.9430
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	100	-0.0017	0.0671	0.9535
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	100	-0.0009	0.0674	0.9265
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	100	0.0000	0.0595	0.9420

Table 25: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0045	0.1110	0.9375
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0052	0.0771	0.9310
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0009	0.0732	0.9195
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	100	-0.0007	0.0661	0.9165

Table 26: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0	200	0.0013	0.0882	0.9550
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	200	0.0013	0.0886	0.9530
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	200	-0.0045	0.0885	0.9380
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0	200	-0.0045	0.0885	0.9460

Table 27: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0	200	0.0001	0.0880	0.9350
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	200	-0.0002	0.0883	0.9355
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0	200	-0.0034	0.0898	0.9285
GRTS-Model	Gridded	Lognormal	0	200	-0.0035	0.0900	0.9335

Table 28: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0	200	-0.0014	0.0893	0.9465
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0	200	-0.0015	0.0896	0.9465
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0	200	0.0007	0.0868	0.9460
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0	200	0.0007	0.0867	0.9490

Table 29: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	200	-0.0028	0.0891	0.9425
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	200	-0.0033	0.0896	0.9395
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0	200	0.0015	0.0860	0.9365
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0	200	0.0012	0.0861	0.9415

Table 30: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.5	200	0.0022	0.0788	0.9535
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	200	0.0008	0.0678	0.9580
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	200	-0.0024	0.0671	0.9335
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.5	200	-0.0030	0.0661	0.9410

Table 31: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.5	200	0.0016	0.0816	0.9420
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0000	0.0739	0.9335
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0033	0.0744	0.9330
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0041	0.0742	0.9350

Table 32: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	200	-0.0016	0.0790	0.9480
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	200	-0.0010	0.0690	0.9475
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.5	200	-0.0002	0.0652	0.9455
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.5	200	0.0001	0.0640	0.9500

Table 33: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0027	0.0809	0.9494
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0037	0.0732	0.9454
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0008	0.0671	0.9434
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.5	200	-0.0012	0.0662	0.9479

Table 34: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Normal	0.9	200	0.0021	0.0704	0.9570
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	200	0.0005	0.0411	0.9590
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	200	-0.0005	0.0423	0.9370
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Normal	0.9	200	-0.0012	0.0377	0.9450

Table 35: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Gridded	Lognormal	0.9	200	0.0014	0.0744	0.9520
IRS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0002	0.0480	0.9355
GRTS-Design	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0014	0.0499	0.9380
GRTS-Model	$\operatorname{Gridded}$	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0022	0.0459	0.9380

Table 36: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	200	-0.0009	0.0725	0.9470
IRS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	200	-0.0010	0.0409	0.9450
GRTS-Design	Random	Normal	0.9	200	-0.0005	0.0405	0.9490
GRTS-Model	Random	Normal	0.9	200	0.0001	0.0354	0.9510

Table 37: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.

Approach	Layout	Response	DE Prop	n	Bias	rMS(P)E	Coverage
IRS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0006	0.0750	0.9393
IRS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0025	0.0461	0.9418
GRTS-Design	Random	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0013	0.0452	0.9348
GRTS-Model	Random	Lognormal	0.9	200	-0.0012	0.0397	0.9418

Table 38: Approach, layout (Layout), response type (Response) roportion of dependent error (DE Prop), sample size (n), mean (prediction) bias (Bias), root-mean-squared-(prediction) error (rMS(P)E), and 95% interval coverage (Coverage) for a simulation scenario.