

Sorting

Dirty tricks to sort faster than $O(n \log n)$

Mustafa Hajj

MSDS program

University of San Francisco


Sorting

- We can sort any kind of element for which we have a similarity or distance measure between any two elements (subject to triangle inequality property*)
- Traditional sorting algorithms: bubble sort, merge sort, quicksort
- Dirty tricks: pigeonhole sort, bucket sort can often sort in $O(n)$
- Really dirty trick: nested bucket sort
- What's the fastest we could ever sort n numbers?
 - It depends on whether we're stuck using comparisons only
- Sorting notebook <https://github.com/USFCA-MSDS/msds689/blob/master/notes/sorting.ipynb>

*https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Triangle_inequality

Bubble sort



- $O(n^2)$
- *Stable*: order of equal elements doesn't change
- **Idea**: look for out-of-order elements and then keep swapping until nothing changes



Bubble sort in Python

```
changed=True
second_to_last_idx = len(A)-2
while changed:
    changed=False
    for i in range(second_to_last_idx+1):
        if A[i] > A[i+1]:
            A[i], A[i+1] = A[i+1], A[i]
            changed=True
```

Why is this $O(n^2)$?
(hint: What is worst case order in array?)



Merge sort (review)

- Faster than bubblesort: $O(n \log n)$
- Simpler too, if you are comfortable with recursion
- It's stable
- Not in-place, uses lots of extra storage (sort halves)
- **Idea:** split currently active region in half, sorting both the left and right subregions, then merge two sorted subregions
- Eventually, the regions are so small we can sort in constant time; i.e., sorting 2 nums is easy
- Merging two sorted lists can be done in linear time

Quicksort, another divide and conquer sort

- $O(n^2)$ worst-case behavior but $O(n \log n)$ typical behavior
- **Idea:** pick pivot, partition so elements left of pivot are less than pivot and elements right are greater (not sorting here); recursively partition the left and right until small enough to sort trivially
- Picks a pivot element, rather than just split in half like mergesort
- Faster than bubble because it moves elements more than just one spot in the array
- Quicksort is / can be in-place whereas merge sort makes lots of temporary arrays, which can get expensive
- Quicksort is mostly faster than merge sort due to the constant in front of the complexity (memory allocation, hardware efficiencies, ...)


Quicksort algorithm

```
def qsort(A, lo=0, hi=len(A)-1):  
    if lo >= hi:  
        return  
    pivot_idx = partition(A,lo,hi)  
    qsort(A, lo, pivot_idx-1)  
    qsort(A, pivot_idx+1, hi)
```


```
# many ways to do this; here's a slow O(n) one  
# breaks idea of in-place for qsort  
def partition(A,lo,hi):  
    pivot = A[hi] # pick last element as pivot  
    left = [a for a in A if a<pivot]  
    right = [a for a in A if a>pivot]  
    A[lo:hi+1] = left+[pivot]+right # copy back  
    return len(left) # return index of pivot
```

Compare bubble, quicksort

Bubble sort



Quicksort




So much for traditional sorts

- Theory says we can't beat $O(n \log n)$...
- ...for generic elements and doing comparisons
- But, what if we know the elements are ints or strings or floats?
- What if we know something about the values?
- E.g., what if we know the elements are ints in range 0..99?
- How can we sort those numbers in less than $O(n \log n)$?


Pigeonhole sort

- **Idea:** Map each key to unique pigeonhole in an ordered range of holes; then just walk pigeonholes in order to get sorted elements
- Works best when the range of keys, m , is similar to the number of elements, n ; why is that?
- $T(n,m) = n + m$
- This should smack of perfect hashing to you!




Pigeonhole sort algorithm

```
# fill holes  
size = max(A) + 1  
holes = [0] * size  
for a in A:  
    holes[a] += 1  
  
# pull out in order  
A_ = []  
for i in range(0, size):  
    A_.extend([i] * holes[i])
```




Compare quicksort, pigeonhole

Quicksort



Pigeonhole




Issue with pigeonhole sort

- Super fast and simple but...
- What do we do when $m \gg n$? E.g., sort 2 numbers, 5 and 5 million. Takes $T(n,m) = n + m = 5 + 5,000,000$
- How can we handle this case & generalize to work for floats too?
- Hint: compress m to some fixed number of buckets instead of range of numbers
- Now we have hash table but with special hash function

Bucket sort (also called bin sort)

- Idea: distribute n elements across m buckets, sort elements within buckets, then concatenate elements from buckets in order




- Hash must preserve order of values!
- Similar to pigeonhole sort but pigeonhole has 1 key per bucket
- Best when there is even distribution of values like hash table
- Works for floats not just ints; see notebook for implementation

Key bits of bucket sort algorithm

```
mx = max(A)
...
for a in A:
    a_normalized = a / mx # get into 0..1
    # spread across buckets
    i = int(a_normalized * (nbuckets-1))
    buckets[i].append(a)
...
for i in range(nbuckets):
    A_.extend( sorted(buckets[i]) )
```

Bucket sort worst-case analysis




- What is $T(n,m)$ worst-case?
- What if all values are the same? All go into 1 bucket!
- Sorting one bucket at best costs us $O(k \log k)$ for bucket size k
- Bubblesort might be faster for small buckets but that's $O(k^2)$ worst-case in theory
- Can use insertion sort, which is $O(k^2)$, for adding to bucket or leave unsorted and sort later

Bucket sort best-case analysis

- What does the best case or average case look like?
- Assume even distribution of elements across m buckets
- Choose m always so $k=n/m$ is some small fixed constant size k
- Sort k elements m times (bubblesort $O(k^2)$), merge m sorted lists
- $T(n,m,k=n/m) = m * k^2 + n$
- Replace $m=n/k$:
 $T(n,k) = n/k * k^2 + n = n*k + n = n(k+1)$ (choose small k)
- That gives us $O(n)$


Bucket sort on strings

- Use first letter as bucket key
- Add strings to buckets
- Sort within bucket
- Walk a..z buckets, concatenating those sorted lists into single list
- See sorting notebook for implementation



Key bits of string bucket sort

```
for s in A:  
    i = ord(s[0]) - ord('a')  
    holes[i].append(s)  
...  
for i in range(ord('z') - ord('a') + 1):  
    A_.extend( sorted(holes[i]) )
```




Exercise: What if all words start with same letter?

Nested or recursive string bucket sort

(Called TRIEs and we'll see again)

- Nested indexes based upon $s[i]$
- With nesting k deep, words are sorted uniquely to first k letters, giving nested bucket sort
- Nested dynamically to full len of string gives nested pigeonhole sort
- Walk all edges in alpha order to collect words in leaves



Summary

- If asked, sorting is $O(n \log n)$ (via comparisons)
- Divide and conquer, merge and quicksort, are primary algorithms
 - Mergesort merges two sorted halves recursively; takes extra memory
 - Quicksort partitions instead of sorting halves; works in-place (usually better)
- But, we can do better with pigeonhole sort, mapping each element to unique bucket based on the key; $O(n)$
- If mapping to unique bucket is hard, as with floating-point numbers, use bin/bucket sort like a hash table; $O(n)$ if reasonably evenly distributed and enough buckets
- Use `ord(char)` for strings to bucket sort
- Use all letters in strings to get nested bucket sort (called a TRIE)