

Principles of Programming Languages

CS 314

Recitation 9



RUTGERS

Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction

α -Reduction

Programming in Lambda Calculus

Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction

α -Reduction

Programming in Lambda Calculus

- A unified language to manipulate and reason about functions.
- Consider the function $f(x) = x + 4$.
- This can be expressed as $\lambda x. (+ x 4)$
- By **applying** a value to the function, we can evaluate the function.
- $((\lambda x. (+ x 4)) 2) = 6$ is equivalent to saying $f(2) = 2 + 4 = 6$.

Expressions in Lambda Calculus consist of λ -terms.

- Variables such as “x” or values such as “2”.
- Function abstraction ($\lambda x. M$), where M is some λ -term.
- Function application ($M N$), where M and N are λ -terms.

An example of function application was: $((\lambda x. (+ x 4)) 2)$

- $M = (\lambda x. (+ x 4))$
- $N = 2$

Function abstraction

- We can express a function of many arguments: $f(x, y) = x + y + 1$:

$$(\lambda x. \lambda y. (+ (+ x y) 1))$$

- We can also write the above expression as

$$(\lambda xy. (+ (+ x y) 1))$$

- One lambda for each input variable “ $\lambda x. \lambda y.$ ”, or one letter for each variable “ $\lambda xy.$ ”.

Free and bound variables

If we have an expression as follows:

$$(\lambda x. M)$$

Then we say that x is **bound** in expression M . All other variable occurrences in M for this particular function are **free**.

Free and bound variables

What variables are bound in this expression?

$$(\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ (x\ w)))$$

Free and bound variables

What variables are bound in this expression?

$$(\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ (x\ w)))$$

x and y are **bound** in this expression.

What variables are free in this expression?

Free and bound variables

What variables are bound in this expression?

$$(\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ (x\ w)))$$

x and y are **bound** in this expression.

What variables are free in this expression?

w is **free** in this expression.

Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction

α -Reduction

Programming in Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction is the technique of applying functions to their arguments.

$$((\lambda x.M) v) = [v / x]M$$

The notation “[v / x]M” means replacing all free occurrences of x in M with v.

Examples:

- $((\lambda x.(+ x 1)) 2) = [2 / x](+ x 1) = (+ 2 1) = 3$
- $((\lambda x.(+ x x)) 2) = (+ 2 2) = 4$
- $((\lambda x.3) 2) = 3$ No substitution happens here.

More examples:

- $((\lambda x. \lambda y. (+ x y)) 2) = [2 / x](\lambda y. (+ x y)) = (\lambda y. (+ 2 y)) = 2 + y$
- Apply the outer-most λ first.
- $((\lambda x. (x y)) (\lambda z. z)) = ((\lambda z. z) y) = y.$
- Functions can be “values” that can be applied.
- What about $((\lambda x. \lambda y. xy) (\lambda z. (z z)) x)?$

More examples:

- $((\lambda x. \lambda y. (+ x y)) 2) = [2 / x](\lambda y. (+ x y)) = (\lambda y. (+ 2 y)) = 2 + y$
- Apply the outer-most λ first.
- $((\lambda x. (x y)) (\lambda z. z)) = ((\lambda z. z) y) = y.$
- Functions can be “values” that can be applied.
- $((\lambda x. \lambda y. xy) (\lambda z. (z z)) x) = ((\lambda y. ((\lambda z. (z z)) y) x)$
Substitute $(\lambda z. (z z))$ for x
Substitute x for y
Substitute x for z
 $= ((\lambda z. (z z)) x)$
 $= (x x)$

Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction

α -Reduction

Programming in Lambda Calculus

Suppose we have the following example:

$$((\lambda x. \lambda y. (x \ y)) \textcolor{red}{y} \ w)$$

If I used β -Reduction here, I would've gotten

$$((\lambda y. (\textcolor{red}{y} \ y)) \ w)$$

Even though $\textcolor{red}{y}$ is not equal to y . How do we fix this problem?

We can use α -Reduction, which first renames y into another variable, say z .

$$((\lambda x. \lambda \textcolor{teal}{z}. (x \ \textcolor{teal}{z})) \textcolor{red}{y} \ w)$$

Now we can continue with β -Reduction.

$$((\lambda x. \lambda \textcolor{teal}{z}. (x \ \textcolor{teal}{z})) \textcolor{red}{y} \ w) = ((\lambda z. (\textcolor{red}{y} \ z)) \ w) = (\textcolor{red}{y} \ w)$$

Another example: Use α -Reduction and β -Reduction to reduce this expression:

$$((\lambda x. \lambda y. (x \ y)) (\lambda y. y) \ w)$$

Another example: Use α -Reduction and β -Reduction to reduce this expression:

$$((\lambda x. \lambda y. (x \ y)) (\lambda y. y) \ w)$$

First, use α -Reduction to rename y

$$((\lambda x. \lambda z. (x \ z)) (\lambda y. y) \ w)$$

Now use β -Reduction

$$((\lambda x. \lambda z. (x \ z)) (\lambda y. y) \ w) = ((\lambda z. ((\lambda y. y) \ z)) \ w)$$

$$((\lambda z. ((\lambda y. y) \ z)) \ w) = ((\lambda y. y) \ w)$$

$$((\lambda y. y) \ w) = w$$

Lambda Calculus

β -Reduction

α -Reduction

Programming in Lambda Calculus

We can also program using Lambda Calculus.

First, some definitions:

True = $(\lambda xy.x)$ (select-first)

False = $(\lambda xy.y)$ (select-second)

and = $(\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ \text{False}))$

not = $(\lambda x.((x\ \text{False})\ \text{True}))$

Can we show that $(\text{not False}) = \text{True}$?

We want to show that $(\text{not False}) = \text{True}$:

Recall that $\text{not} = (\lambda x.((x \text{ False}) \text{ True}))$ and $\text{False} = (\lambda xy.y)$

$$(\text{not False}) = ((\lambda x.((x \text{ False}) \text{ True})) \text{ False})$$

By β -Reduction we have:

$$(\text{not False}) = ((\text{False False}) \text{ True}) = ((\lambda xy.y) \text{ False}) \text{ True}$$

By β -Reduction, again, we have:

$$((\lambda xy.y) \text{ False}) \text{ True} = ((\lambda y.y) \text{ True}) = \text{True}$$

Thus, $(\text{not False}) = \text{True}$

Given

True = $(\lambda xy.x)$ (select-first)

False = $(\lambda xy.y)$ (select-second)

and = $(\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ \text{False}))$

not = $(\lambda x.((x\ \text{False})\ \text{True}))$

Can we show that $(\text{and}\ \text{True}\ \text{False}) = \text{False}$?

We want to show that $(\text{and True False}) = \text{False}$:

Recall that $\text{and} = (\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ \text{False}))$ and $\text{True} = (\lambda xy.x)$

$$(\text{and True False}) = ((\lambda xy.((x\ y)\ \text{False}))\ \text{True False})$$

By β -Reduction we have:

$$(\text{and True False}) = ((\text{True False})\ \text{False}) = ((\lambda xy.x)\ \text{False})\ \text{False}$$

By β -Reduction, again, we have:

$$((\lambda xy.x)\ \text{False})\ \text{False} = ((\lambda y.\text{False})\ \text{False}) = \text{False}$$

Thus, $(\text{and True False}) = \text{False}$.

Consider: What would be the Lambda Calculus representation of “or”?

Church numerals

$$0 = (\lambda f. \lambda x. x)$$

$$1 = (\lambda f. \lambda x. (f\ x))$$

$$2 = (\lambda f. \lambda x. (f\ (f\ x)))$$

...

$$n = (\lambda f. \lambda x. (f\ (f\ \dots\ (f\ (f\ x)))) \quad \text{n copies of "f"}$$

So, $(n\ f\ x) = (f\ (f\ (f\ \dots\ f\ (f\ x))))$, with n copies of “ f ”, where n is the church numeral.

Church numerals

The successor function ($s(x) = x + 1$) is defined as follows:

$$s(n) = (\lambda n. \lambda f x. (f (n f x)))$$

Note that the idea is to just apply one more “f”

Example: $s(1) = 2$: Recall that $1 = (\lambda f. \lambda x. (f x))$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\lambda n. \lambda f x. (f (n f x))) (\lambda f. \lambda x. (f x))) &= (\lambda f x. (f ((\lambda f. \lambda x. (f x)) f x))) \\ &= (\lambda f x. (f (f x))) = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Church numerals

The addition function ($\text{add}(m, n) = m + n$) is defined as follows:

$$\text{add}(m, n) = (\lambda mn. \lambda fx. (m \ f \ (n \ f \ x)))$$

Example: $\text{add}(1, 2) = 3$: Recall that $1 = (\lambda fx. (f \ x))$ and $2 = (\lambda fx. (f \ (f \ x)))$

$$\text{add}(1, 2) = ((\lambda mn. \lambda fx. (m \ f \ (n \ f \ x))) (\lambda fx. (f \ x)) (\lambda fx. (f \ (f \ x))))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. ((\lambda fx. (f \ x)) \ f \ ((\lambda fx. (f \ (f \ x))) \ f \ x))))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. ((\lambda x. (f \ x)) ((\lambda fx. (f \ (f \ x))) \ f \ x))))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. ((\lambda x. (f \ x)) (f \ (f \ x))))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. (f \ (f \ (f \ x)))) = 3.$$

Church numerals

The multiplication function ($\text{mult}(m, n) = m * n$) is defined as follows:

$$\text{mult}(m, n) = (\lambda mn. \lambda fx. ((m (n f)) x))$$

Example: $\text{mult}(1, 2) = 2$: Recall that $1 = (\lambda fx. (f x))$ and $2 = (\lambda fx. (f (f x)))$

$$\text{mult}(1, 2) = ((\lambda mn. \lambda fx. ((m (n f)) x)) (\lambda fx. (f x)) (\lambda fx. (f (f x))))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. (((\lambda fx. (f x)) ((\lambda fx. (f (f x))) f)) x))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. (((\lambda fx. (f x)) (\lambda x. (f (f x)))) x))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. (((\lambda x. ((\lambda x. (f (f x))) x))) x))$$

$$= (\lambda fx. ((\lambda x. (f (f x))) x)) = (\lambda fx. (f (f x))) = 2.$$