CS 314 Principles of Programming Languages

Lecture 15: Functional Programming

Prof. Zheng Zhang



Class Information

- HW5 posted in Sakai.
- HW6 will be posted by the end of today.
- Next week's recitation is a review for midterm. Attendance is encouraged!
- Reminder: **Midterm exam** 11/7, in class, closed book, closed notes. Midterm covers lecture 1-12, hw 1-5, and corresponding book chapters.
- There will be extended office hours next week. Pay attention to Sakai announcements!

Scheme - Functions as First Class Values (Higher-Order)

Functions as arguments:

```
(define f (lambda (g x) (g x) )
```

- $(f number? 0) \Rightarrow (number? 0) \Rightarrow \#t$
- (f length '(1 2)) \Rightarrow (length '(1 2)) \Rightarrow 2
- $(f (lambda (x) (* 2 3)) 3) \Rightarrow ((lambda (x) (* 2 3)) 3) \Rightarrow (* 2 3) \Rightarrow 6$

Scheme - Functions as First Class Values (Higher-Order)

Computation, i.e., **function application** is performed by reducing the initial S-expression (program) to an S-expression that represents a value.

Reduction is performed by **substitution**, i.e., replacing formal by actual parameters in the function body.

Examples for S-expressions that directly represent values, i.e., cannot be further reduced:

- function values (e.g.: (lambda (x) e))
- constants (e.g.: 3, #t)

Computation completes when S-expression cannot be further reduced

Review: Higher-Order Functions (Cont.)

• (plusn 5) evaluates to a function that adds 5 to its argument:

Question: How would you write down the value of (plusn 5)?

$$(lambda (x) (+ 5 x))$$

• ((plusn 5) 6) = ?

Review: Higher-Order Functions (Cont.)

In general, any n-ary function

(lambda (x_1 x_2 ... x_n) e)

can be rewritten as a nest of n unary functions:

(lambda (x_1)

(lambda (x_2)

(... (lambda(x_n) e) ...)))

Review: Higher-Order Functions (Cont.)

In general, any n-ary function

(lambda
$$(x_1 x_2 ... x_n) e$$
)

can be rewritten as a nest of *n* unary functions:

This translation process is called <u>currying</u>. It means that having functions with multiple parameters do not add anything to the expressiveness of the language:

Review: Higher-order Functions: map

```
(define map (lambda (f) 1)

(if (null? l) | list

(cons (f (car l)) (map f (cdr l)))

Apply f to the first element of l Apply map and f to the rest of l

)
```

- map takes two arguments: a function f and a list l
- map builds a new list by applying the function to every element of the (old) list

Review: Higher-Order Functions: map

• Example:

$$(\text{map abs '}(-1\ 2\ -3\ 4)) \Rightarrow (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$$

 $(\text{map (lambda } (x)\ (+\ 1\ x))\ '(-1\ 2\ 3) \Rightarrow (0\ 3\ 4)$

• Actually, the built-in **map** can have more than two arguments: $(map + '(1 \ 2 \ 3) '(4 \ 5 \ 6)) \Rightarrow (5 \ 7 \ 9)$

Review: More on Higher-Order Functions

reduce

Higher order function that takes a binary, associative operation and uses it to "roll up" a list

```
(define reduce
 (lambda (op l id)
             ( if (null? 1)
                id
               (op (car l) (reduce op (cdr l) id))))
Example: (\text{reduce} + '(10\ 20\ 30)\ 0) \Rightarrow
               (+ 10 (reduce + '(20 30) 0)) \Rightarrow
               (+ 10 (+ 20 (reduce + '(30) 0))) \Rightarrow
               (+ 10 (+ 20 (+ 30 (reduce + '() 0)))) \Rightarrow
               (+ 10 (+ 20 (+ 30 0))) \Rightarrow
               60
```

Review: Higher-Order Functions

Compose higher order functions to form compact powerful functions

```
(define sum
(\mathbf{lambda} (f \ l))
(\mathbf{reduce} + (\mathbf{map} f \ l) \ 0)))
(sum (lambda (x) (* 2 x)) '(1 2 3)) \Rightarrow
(reduce (lambda (x y) (+ 1 y)) '(a b c) 0) \Rightarrow
```

Lexical Scoping and let, let*, and letrec

All are variable binding operations:

Lexical Scoping and let, let*, and letrec

• let:

- binds variables to values (no specific order), and evaluate body **e** using bindings
- new bindings are not effective during evaluation of any e_i

• let*:

- binds variables to values in textual order of write-up
- new binding e_{i-1} is effective for next e_i .

• letrec:

- bindings of variables to values in no specific order
- independent evaluations of all e_i to values have to be possible
- new bindings effective for all e_i
- mainly used for recursive function definitions

```
( let ( (a 5) (b 6) ) ( + a b ) )
```

```
( let ( (a 5) (b 6) )
( + a b ) ) ;; \Rightarrow 11
```

(let ((a 5) (b 6))

$$(+ab)$$
) ;; $\Rightarrow 11$

(let ((a 5) (b 6))

$$(+ab)$$
) ;; $\Rightarrow 11$

(let ((a 5) (b 6))

$$(+ab)$$
) ;; $\Rightarrow 11$

(let ((a 5) (b (+ a 6)))
(+ a b) ;;
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ERROR: a: undefined

```
( let ( (a 5) (b 6) )
     (+ab) ;; \Rightarrow 11
(let ( (a 5) (b (+ a 6)) )
   (+ab) ;; \Rightarrow ERROR: a: undefined
(let* ( (a 5) (b (+ a 6)) )
     (+ab)
```

```
(let ( (a 5) (b 6) )

( + a b ) ) ;; \Rightarrow 11

(let ( (a 5) (b (+ a 6)) )

( + a b ) ) ;; \Rightarrow ERROR: a: undefined

(let* ( (a 5) (b (+ a 6)) )
```

(+ab) ;; $\Rightarrow 16$

```
(letrec ( (a 5)

(b ( lambda() (+ a 6) ) ) )

( + a (b) ) ) ;; ⇒ 16
```

Lexical (Static) Scoping

- The occurrence of a variable matches the lexically closed **binding** occurrence.
- An occurrence of a variable without a matching binding occurrence is called *free*.
- A variable can occur free and bound in an expression.

We only substitute free occurrences of the formal arguments in the function body when making a function application!

Free and Bound

Consider the following function definition:

```
(define plusn
(lambda (n)
(lambda (x) (+ n x))
)
n is free
```

Free and Bound

Consider the following function definition:

```
(define plusn

(lambda (n)

(lambda (x) (+ n x))

n is bound
```

Environment and Closure

Environment:

• Record the bindings for the variables. If we need the value that a variable denotes, we just look it up in the environment.

An environment is a finite map from variables to values

$$\rho \in Env = Variables \rightarrow Values$$

Closures

Pair the environment with a function (lambda abstraction). The environment must contain values for all free variables of the function. The function can only be evaluated in its attached environment.

Such a pairing is called a Closure.

A closure is a pair consisting of an environment and a function definition.

$$cl \in Closure = \{\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle \mid FreeVar(\lambda) \subseteq DOM(\rho) \}$$

Closures can be used to implement lexical scoping.

They represent lexically scoped function values.

How to Apply a Closure?

How to apply a closure value to actual argument values?

- Let c_v be the closure value < (lambda (x) e), ρ >
- Apply c_v to a value a_v as follows:

Evaluate the body e of the function in the environment ρ of the closure extended by the mapping of the **formal** parameter x to the actual parameter $a_v(\rho[x \longrightarrow a_v])$.

How to Apply a Closure: Examples

```
 \begin{array}{c} ((lambda(\textbf{x})\\ ((lambda(\textbf{z})\,((lambda(\textbf{x})(\textbf{z}\,\textbf{x}))\,3))\,\,(lambda(\textbf{y})(+\,\textbf{x}\,\textbf{y}))))\,\textbf{1}) \end{array}
```

closure interpreter

	{ }
((lambda(z) ((lambda(x)(z x)) 3)) $(lambda(y)(+ x y)))$	$\{ \mathbf{x} \rightarrow 1 \}$
(lallibua(y)(+ x y)))	
$((lambda(\mathbf{x}) (z \mathbf{x}))$	$\{x \to 1,$
3)	$z \rightarrow \langle (lambda(y)(+xy)), \{x \rightarrow 1\} \rangle \}$
(z x)	$ \{ x \rightarrow 3, \\ z \rightarrow \langle (lambda(y)(+x y)), \{x \rightarrow 1\} \rangle \} $
(+ x y)	$\{x \rightarrow 1, y \rightarrow 3\}$
4	

Next Lecture

Reading:

- Scott, Chapter 11.1 11.3
- Scott, Chapter 11.7