

# Regression Diagnostics and Troubleshooting

Jeffrey Arnold

May 3, 2016

# Topics for Today

1. Omitted Variable Bias
2. Measurement Error
3. Non-Normal Errors
4. Missing data

# Omitted Variable Bias: Description

- ▶ The population is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

- ▶ But we estimate a regression without  $X_2$

$$y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1^{(omit)} x_{1,i} + \varepsilon_i$$

# Omitted Variable Bias: Problem

## Coefficient Bias

$$E\left(\hat{\beta}_1^{(omit)}\right) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \frac{\text{Cov}(X_2, X_1)}{\text{Var}(X_1)}$$

## Bias Components

- ▶  $\beta_2$ : Effect of omitted variable  $X_2$  on  $Y$
- ▶  $\frac{\text{Cov}(X_2, X_1)}{\text{Var}(X_1)}$ : Association between  $X_2$  and  $X_1$

# Omitted Variable Bias: Hueristic Diagnostic

- ▶ Heuristic: sensitivity of the coefficient to inclusion of controls
- ▶ If insensitive to inclusion of controls, OVB less plausible
- ▶ Note: sensitivity of **coefficient** not  $p$ -value.

*“These controls do not change the coefficient estimates meaningfully, and the stability of the estimates from columns 4 through 7 suggests that controlling for the model and age of the car accounts for most of the relevant selection.” (Lacetera et al. 2012)*

# Omitted Variable Bias: Diagnosing Statistic

- ▶ Suppose  $X$  and  $Z$  observed, and  $W$  unobserved in,

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Z + \beta_3 W + \varepsilon$$

- ▶ Statistic to assess importance of OVB

$$\delta = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, \beta_3 W)}{\text{Cov}(X, \beta_2 Z)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_C}{\hat{\beta}_{NC} - \hat{\beta}_C}$$

- ▶ If  $Z$  representative of all controls, then large  $\delta$  implies OVB implausible
- ▶ Example in Nunn and Wantchekon (2011)

# Omitted Variable Bias: Reasoning about Bias

If know omitted variable, may be able to reason about its effect

$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$	$\text{Cov}(X_2, Y) > 0$	$\text{Cov}(X_2, Y) = 0$	$\text{Cov}(X_2, Y) < 0$
$> 0$	+	0	-
0	0	0	0
$< 0$	-	0	+

# Omitted Variable Bias: Solutions by Design

- ▶ OVB always a problem with methods relying on selection on observables
- ▶ Other methods (Matching, propensity scores) may be less model dependent, but still can have OVB
- ▶ Preference for methods relying on identification in other ways
  - ▶ experiments
  - ▶ instrumental variables
  - ▶ regression discontinuity
  - ▶ fixed effects/diff-in-diff



# Measurement Error in $X$ : Description

- ▶ We want to estimate

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$$

- ▶ But we estimate

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1^* + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon$$

- ▶ Where  $X_1^*$  is  $X_1$  with measurement error

$$X_i^* = X_i + \delta$$

where  $E(\delta) = 0$ , and  $\text{Var}(\delta) = \sigma_\delta$ .

# Measurement Error in $X$ : Problem

- ▶ Similar to OVB
- ▶ For variable with the measurement error
  - ▶  $\hat{\beta}_1$  biased towards zero (**attenuation bias**)
- ▶ For other variables:
  - ▶  $\hat{\beta}_2$  biased towards OVB bias.
  - ▶ When measurement error high, it's as if that variable is not controlled for

# Measurement error in $Y$

- ▶ Population is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \epsilon$$

- ▶ But we estimate

$$Y_i + \delta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \epsilon_i$$

- ▶  $\beta$  not biased, but larger standard errors

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + (\epsilon_i + \delta_i)$$

where  $E(\epsilon_i + \delta_i) = 0$ , and  $\text{Var}(\epsilon_i + \delta_i) = \sigma_\epsilon^2 + \sigma_\delta^2$ .

- ▶ If each  $\delta_i$  has different variances, then heteroskedasticity

# Measurement Error: Solutions

- ▶ If in treatment variable:
  - ▶ get better measure
- ▶ If in control variables:
  - ▶ include multiple measures. Multicollinearity less problematic than measurement error.
- ▶ Models for measurement error: Instrumental variables, structural equation models, Bayesian models, multiple imputation.

# Non-Normal Errors

- ▶ Usually not-problematic
- ▶ Does not bias coefficients
- ▶ Only affects standard errors, only for small samples
- ▶ **But** may indicate
  - ▶ Model mis-specified
  - ▶  $E(Y|X)$  is not a good summary
- ▶ Diagnose: QQ-plot of (Studentized) residuals

# Missing Data in $X$

## Listwise Deletion

- ▶ Drop row with *any* missing values in  $Y$  or  $X$
- ▶ Problem: If missingness correlated with  $X$ , coefficients biased

## Multiple Imputation

- ▶ Predict missing values from non-missing data
- ▶ Multiple imputation packages: **Amelia**, **mice**
- ▶ Almost always better than listwise deletion

# More complicated Missing Data Problems

- ▶ MNAR: Missing not-at random in  $X$ .
  - ▶ Values in  $X$  do not predict missingness
  - ▶ Need to model the selection process
- ▶ Truncation or censored dependent variable: specific MLE models