

Motion to Remove Joint Candidacy

Joel Coulon (Proposer)¹ and Lewis Parry (Seconder)²

¹u2204489

²u2202942

1 This Society Notes:

1. Under Section 6.3.1 of the Constitution[1]: “All positions will be filled up to a maximum of 2 for all available positions, with exception of the ‘President’ role which has sole occupancy as well as Academic and Gaming coordinators which can have up to 3”.
2. Under Section 6.3.4 of the Constitution[1]: “If multiple members run together, they will be considered as 1 candidate in regard to shared office and as such each member will be considered $\frac{1}{n^{\text{th}}}$ of a full vote where n is the number of people running jointly”.
3. There is no upper limit to the number of individuals that could run for a single position.

2 This Society Believes:

1. Due to 1.3, there has been a large amount of unnecessary exec inflation.
2. It is often the case that members will run together to avoid having to run against each other[2].
3. Individuals running together leads to lack of accountability, as if an individual does not do the work expected and hence invokes repercussions (for example a VONC) this would have to affect anyone they originally ran with.

3 This Society Resolves:

1. To amend Section 6.3.4 of the Constitution as follows:

~~If multiple members run together, they will be considered as 1 candidate in regard to shared office and as such each member will be considered $\frac{1}{n^{\text{th}}}$ of a full vote where n is the number of people running jointly. Each seat can be filled by one (1) individual.~~

References

- [1] University of Warwick Computing Society, “University of warwick computing society constitution,” 2024, last Accessed 11/02/2025. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/UWCS/democracy/blob/cbc0644abf01ab391d13bc2522ae26d22b0ddc30/constitution.tex>
- [2] A. Skrzymowski, J. Coulon, J. Heng, and A. Wright, 2023, all ran together to avoid having to run against each other.