

# External Sorting Performance Report

Fernando T.H.L.(210167E)

August 9, 2025

## Overview

This report presents the performance analysis of two external sorting algorithms—**External Merge Sort** and **External Quick Sort**—each tested on three 256 MB input files, using a memory limit of 16 MB per run. The analysis covers correctness, speed, and failure cases. Figure 1 provides a direct comparison.

## Experimental Results

Test Run	Merge Sort Time (s)	Quick Sort Time (s)
1	19.95	572.34
2	20.18	571.22
3	19.67	570.36
Average	19.94	571.30

Table 1: Performance summary of external sorting algorithms across three runs. “FAILED” indicates the algorithm failed to sort or crashed. “TIMEOUT” indicates the run exceeded the allowed time limit.

## Figures




Figure 1: Execution time comparison between external merge sort and quick sort on three different 256 MB files. Missing bars indicate failure or timeout.




Figure 2: Execution time for external merge sort on three 256 MB files.

## Summary and Recommendations

External Merge Sort completed successfully and efficiently in all test cases. External Quick Sort, however, failed to complete within the timeout in all runs, likely due to implementation issues in interval heap management or buffer partitioning. For production or further research, it is recommended to debug and optimize the quick sort implementation. Additionally, implementing optimal (Huffman-based) merging for merge sort could further improve performance when run sizes are highly variable.