International Macroeconomics: Lecture Notes

Udayan Roy

2024-11-02

Table of contents

Preface

These lecture notes are for a very short course—roughly twelve 75-minute lectures—on international macroeconomics that I have taught to junior and senior undergraduates. Although no prior knowledge of economics is assumed, students typically come to the course after completing courses on introductory microeconomics and macroeconomics.

This course is the second half of a one-semester course on international economics, with the first half on international trade. The course's textbook is **krugman2022international**. My goal here is simply to present a concise version of chapters 13 through 18 of that book.

I had to grapple with some tough trade-offs because of the severe time constraint. The core of the course is the theoretical framework originally proposed by Robert A. Mundell and J. Marcus Fleming. I assume perfect capital mobility throughout and, thereby, avoid all discussion of wealth effects and portfolio theory. I also assume a "small" country and, thereby, avoid the complex interactions of two-country models. The perfect capital mobility and small country assumptions keep this course very similar to the undergraduate macroeconomics course that most of my students would have also taken. This simplicity, I think, allows students to focus on the special aspects of *international* macroeconomics without having to learn a new kind of macroeconomics.

However, the discussion of expectations here may surprise even students who have taken intermediate macroeconomics courses. I have broken up the discussion of short-run analysis into separate chapters on permanent and temporary changes in exogenous variables. Expectations are assumed to be anchored to the long-run equilibrium outcome. This assumption is at the root of the need to distinguish between temporary and permanent changes in, say, fiscal policy. A temporary change affects neither the long-run equilibrium outcome nor people's expectations, which are, after all, assumed to be tied to the long-run equilibrium outcome. On the other hand, a permanent change in, say, government spending

¹On these issues, see **rodseth2000open**. By perfect capital mobility I mean a world in which (a) people who buy assets care only about the expected returns of those assets, and (b) they all have the same expectations about future changes in exchange rates. See section XXX.

2 Preface

affects the long-run equilibrium level of the future value of the exchange rate and, consequently, people's expectations about the future value of the exchange rate. This change in expectations has short-run ramifications that are absent in the analysis of temporary changes in government spending.

These notions are present in **krugman2022international**, but are not developed in a way that is clear enough and leisurely enough for most students to grasp.² Other international macroeconomics textbooks are no better in this respect, and usually a lot worse.³

The role of the long-run outcome in anchoring expectations is also my reason to discuss the long run before the short run. It would be essentially impossible to do short-run analysis without some notion of how expectations are formed, and the formation of expectations would be impossible to explain without a discussion of long-run equilibrium.

These lecture notes are a work in progress. Please let me know if you see any errors or if you see a way to make the book better. My mailing address is Udayan Roy, College of Management, Long Island University, Brookville, NY 11548, USA. My email address is udayan.roy@liu.edu.

²On page 442 of **krugman2022international** the authors address the issue as follows: "A permanent policy shift affects not only the current value of the government's policy instrument (the money supply, government spending, or taxes) but also the *long-run* exchange rate. This in turn affects expectations about future exchange rates. Because these changes in expectations have a major influence on the exchange rate prevailing in the short run, the effects of permanent policy shifts differ from those of temporary shifts."

³Consider feenstra2008international. On page 551 of their excellent textbook, the authors write, "[W]e can form expectations of the future exchange rate using the long-run monetary approach ..." This easy-to-miss mention of the idea that expectations are based on the long-run equilibrium outcome is not adequately developed, as far as I am concerned. And the consequent need to distinguish between temporary and permanent policy changes is not as clearly developed as I would like.

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

1.1 Economics

Economics is the study of how we—as individuals and as societies—deal with the inescapable reality that "we can't always get what we want". This fact of life, which economists call *scarcity*, makes it important for us to know how the many economic variables that are important to us—such as the gross domestic product, the unemployment rate, the consumer price index, etc.—can be made to increase or decrease as needed. For example, scarcity makes it important to understand whether our gross domestic product would increase or decrease if we imposed tariffs on imported goods.

Economics consists of:

- theories, which are explanations—not necessarily proven—for the observed up and down movements of the economic variables that matter to us, and of
- statistical studies that seek to test the reliability of economic theories.

1.2 Macroeconomics

Macroeconomics is the part of economics that deals with economic variables that describe a country. When describing the economy of the United States, an economist will probably mention the gross domestic product, the unemployment rate, the inflation rate, the trade deficit, etc., of the United States. These variables that describe an entire country are at the heart of macroeconomics. Macroeconomics consists of (a) theories that derive predictions about the likely changes in such economic variables and (b) statistical studies that scour history to check the predictive accuracy of the theories.

1.3 International Macroeconomics

The macroeconomic behavior of a country that is economically isolated from other countries—a **closed economy**, in the jargon of economics—will not necessarily be the same as the macroeconomic behavior of a highly globalized country—an **open economy**. International macroeconomics is the part of macroeconomics that deals with countries for which international economic links are important. Such links may include international trade in goods and services, cross-border migration of people, and cross-border borrowing and lending.

1.4 Why Study International Macroeconomics?

The point of studying international macroeconomics is to be able to evaluate alternative macroeconomic policies and choose the one that's best. If we have a reliable theory that explains the reasons why a certain variable goes up or down, we might be able to figure out policies that will move that variable in the desired direction. For example, if we can figure out the reasons for the up and down movements of a nation's trade deficit, we might be able to design economic policies that drive the trade deficit in the desired direction, be it up or down.

In discussing macroeconomic policy I will focus on **fiscal policy** and **monetary policy**.

1.4.1 What Is Fiscal Policy?

Fiscal policy consists of all the methods of controlling an economy by making changes to the government's budget. A government's budget is a description of its spending and revenue-raising plans. So, for my purposes, fiscal policy essentially consists of changes in total government spending, G, and total tax revenue, T.¹

Second, to be more precise, T represents total net tax revenue, which equals the tax revenues of the government less **transfer payments** made by the government. Transfer payments are gifts, such as cash grants to the poor.

Third, G represents government purchases rather than government spending. The latter includes the former plus transfer payments, which, as I said in the previous paragraph, are gifts, not payments made for purchases. G represents only what the government spends for its purchases of goods and services.

¹First, note that I have begun to introduce symbols to denote economic variables. As you will see, the good part of the use of symbols is that it speeds up the discussion considerably. The bad part is that you will need to remember which symbol denotes which variable.

1.4.2 What Is Monetary Policy?

Monetary policy consists of all the methods of controlling an economy by making changes to the economic variables that are directly controlled by the country's monetary authorities, such as the Federal Reserve in the United States. For my purposes, monetary policy consists of changes in a country's \mathbf{money} \mathbf{supply} , M. A central bank may print money and lend it to financial institutions such as banks. If and when these financial institutions in turn lend the money to people or to businesses, the newly printed money begins to affect actual economic activity. This, of course, is why the central bank printed the money in the first place.

1.4.3 Monetary Unions

In the case of the 24 European countries that all use the same currency, the euro, there is no monetary policy at all to conduct!² These countries have willingly given up their individual currencies and formed a **monetary union**. The monetary policy of the entire eurozone is determined by the European Central Bank in Frankfurt.³

It is important to understand the pros and cons of the formation of a monetary union so that countries considering joining a monetary union may make smart choices.

Just as multiple countries may choose to use a common currency, they may also choose to adopt a common fiscal policy whereby a central budget sets expenditure and revenue-raising rules for all members of the club. For example, the USA may be thought of as a union of fifty states with a common currency and a unified fiscal policy decided in Washington, D.C. In fact, we will see that it may be difficult for a group of countries to share a common currency and retain independent national fiscal policies. During the economic crises that cascaded through several eurozone countries—such as Ireland, Greece, and Spain—during 2009–2012, some commentators argued that the eurozone countries needed to unify their budgets—and become something like a United States of Europe—if they were to have a stable monetary union.

In any case, countries considering a monetary and/or fiscal union need to be able to make their choices with their eyes wide open. It is, therefore, necessary for international economics to have something useful to say on the issue.

²As of October 2023, the eurozone consists of 20 members who are European Union (EU) members and use the euro. They are Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Cyprus, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia and Spain. The non-EU countries that use the euro are Andorra, Vatican City, and Monaco and San Marino.

³This lack of the ability to use monetary policy is also true of a handful of countries that have "dollarized". These are countries that have decided to use another country's currency as their own currency. For example, East Timor, Ecuador, El Salvador, and Panama use the U.S. dollar as their currency.

Chapter 2

National Income Accounts, Prices, and Inflation

Recall from sections Section ?? – Section ?? that international macroeconomics consists of explanations for the observed up-and-down movements of important macroeconomic variables in a globalized (or, open) economy. This chapter begins the task of defining some of those important macroeconomic variables. This chapter also discusses certain accounting rules that describe how certain important macroeconomic variables are related to each other, simply by virtue of the way they are defined.

2.1 National Income Accounts

The national income accounts of a country consist of data on variables that tell us about the country's total production of goods and services, and what those goods and services are being used for. An important measure of the total production of goods and services is the gross domestic product (GDP). If you look up a country's GDP data in a book or on the Internet, you'll see that GDP data comes in two flavors, nominal and real. Nominal GDP is discussed in the next section, and real GDP is discussed in the section after that.

2.2 Nominal Gross Domestic Product

There are three equivalent ways of understanding nominal gross domestic product: the value-added approach, the income approach, and the expenditure approach.

2.2.1 GDP: The Value-Added Approach

The **value added** by a firm is the monetary market value of the goods and services produced by the firm *minus* the monetary market value of the goods and services that the firm purchases from other firms (for use in its own production, obviously). A country's gross domestic product is then the total value added—during a specified time period, such as a year—by all producers of for-sale goods located within the country.

Consider a university. Let's say that the market value of the educational services provided by this university was \$20 m during 2008, as measured by the tuition paid by its students. It would be incorrect, however, to say that the market value of the work done in the university was \$20 m. The university used a lot of electricity that was produced by some other firm. The university bought massive amounts of paper, computer printer cartridges, and other stationery from other firms. The university's capital equipment (which includes its buildings, its computers, its fleet of cars and trucks, etc.) needed costly replacements that had to be bought from other firms. Suppose the monetary market value of these and all other goods and services that were purchased from other firms and used by the university's employees during 2008 was \$16 m. Then the value added by the university was only \$20 m - \$16 m = \$4 m.

And, as we saw two paragraphs back, the total value added by all producers of for-sale goods and services located within a country's borders is that country's gross domestic product.

2.2.2 GDP: The Income Approach

Now, returning to our hypothetical university, a question arises: What happens to the \$4 m that the university has left of its \$20 m tuition revenues after paying \$16 m to other firms for its various purchases? Where will this \$4 m go?

A big chunk will go to pay **wages** to the university's employees. The university will have to pay **rent** on some property that it had leased from others. It will have to pay **interest** to its banks for the loans it had taken. It will have to pay what are called **indirect business taxes** to the government. And whatever remains will be the university's **profits**, which belong to the university's owners or shareholders. In other words, the university's value added ends up as the income of the owners of the resources employed by the university.

What's true for this university is true for all firms in the country. Therefore, as a country's gross domestic product is its total value added, one can say that a country's gross domestic product is the total income earned by the owners of all the resources employed by producers located within the country's borders.

2.2.3 GDP: The Expenditure Approach

Let us consider our hypothetical university one more time. The education it provides its students is an example of a **final good**.¹ A good is called a final good when it is sold to its final user; a final user is someone who will not use the purchased good to produce something else for sale.

The electricity, paper, other stationery, etc., that the university buys for the purpose of producing educational services are called **intermediate goods**. When goods are bought by businesses from other businesses, used as inputs in the production of other for-sale goods, and, in the process, end up disappearing completely inside those other goods, they are called intermediate goods. (Think of all the milk produced by U.S. dairy farmers that disappear into the ice cream made by U.S. firms such as Ben & Jerry's.)

In my example, students paid \$20 m for education, the final good produced by the university. As we saw in section Section ?? above, this money ends up as the incomes of all the resources—employed by the university and by the firms from which the university bought electricity, paper, and all sorts of other intermediate goods—that were used to produce the final good, education.

Extrapolating from this example, we can say that the total expenditure on all final goods produced domestically and sold to domestic final users—let's call these goods category a goods—is equal to the income earned by all resources employed in the production of these final goods and the intermediate goods used in the production of these final goods.

Moreover, what's true for education must also be true for the domestic production of all exported goods—let's call these category b goods. The total expenditure on these goods must end up as the total income of all resources used to make these goods and the intermediate goods bought by the producers of these goods.

Therefore, the total expenditure on the goods in categories a and b minus the value of the intermediate goods imported by the producers of category a and b goods is the total income of all resources that were used to make category a and b goods and the domestically produced intermediate goods bought by their producers.

But this income must be the total income of all resources employed in domestic production. After all, any domestic producer would have to produce either final goods sold to domestic buyers (category a), or exported goods (category b), or the domestically produced intermediate goods bought by category a and b producers.

Therefore, going by the definition of GDP in Section \ref{MDP} , the total expenditure on category a and b goods minus imports of intermediate goods equals gross domestic product.

¹When I say "good", I mean "good or service".

In publications of government statistics, the total expenditure by households residing within a country is called **personal consumption expenditure** or, simply, consumption. The total spending on final goods by domestic businesses is called **gross private domestic investment** or, simply, investment. Total spending by domestic government entities is called **government expenditure**. Therefore, total expenditure on all final goods by domestic residents is consumption + investment + government spending. Consequently, total expenditure on final goods produced domestically and sold to domestic residents—category a—is consumption + investment + government spending — imports of final goods. Therefore, the total expenditure on goods in categories a and b equals consumption + investment + government spending + exports — imports of final goods. Therefore, recalling the last sentence of the previous paragraph, gross domestic product equals consumption + investment + government spending + exports — imports of final goods — imports of intermediate goods. Consequently, we get:

$$GDP = Consumption + Investment + Government Purchases + Exports - Imports.$$
(2.1)

This is easily the most important equation in this book. It is called the **national income identity** and will be central to our discussion right up to the very end of this book.

Note that it is not terribly meaningful to distinguish between exports of final goods and exports of intermediate goods. And the same can be said about imports too. Nevertheless, if we simplify a bit and assume that all exports and imports are of final goods, Equation ?? can be interpreted as follows: a country's gross domestic product is the market value of all final goods and services produced within the country in a given period of time (usually a year). This is a very popular definition that you will see in many textbooks. I will use this definition in this book too, as a convenient shorthand.

2.2.4 GDP: Overview

Table 2.1

TICA 0014

USA, 2014 – 2023					
Year	Nominal GDP	Real GDP	GDP Deflator		
2014	17608.14	18261.71	96.421		
2015	18295.02	18799.62	97.316		
2016	18804.91	19141.67	98.241		
2017	19612.10	19612.10	100.000		
2018	20656.52	20193.90	102.291		
2019	21539.98	20715.67	103.979		

2020	21354.10	20267.58	105.361
2021	23681.17	21494.80	110.172
2022	26006.89	22034.83	118.026
2023	27720.71	22671.10	122.273

According to the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce, the nominal GDP of the United States for 2014 was \$17608.14 billion. This means that spending by US residents on the final goods and services that were "Made in USA" in 2014 plus net exports of "Made in USA" goods and services were together equal to a grand total of \$17608.14 billion. This number is also the total value added by all producers located in the USA. And—perhaps most meaningfully—this number is the total income earned by all productive resources employed by producers located in the USA.

2.3 Real Gross Domestic Product

Looking at the second column in Table ??, we see that the nominal gross domestic product of the United States increased from \$17608.14 billion in 2014 to \$18295.02 billion in 2015 and to \$18804.91 billion in 2016. If one assumes that our quality of life depends crucially on the goods and services we produce, these ever increasing dollar figures seem like good news.

But are they?

As nominal gross domestic product is the monetary market value of all final goods produced, it could increase from one year to the next either as a result of:

- increases in the production of various goods, or
- increases in the market prices of those goods, or
- increases in both production and prices.

Consequently, increases in nominal gross domestic product do not necessarily imply increases in production. Mere inflation could make the numbers go up and up.

Now if, by sheer luck, all prices remained unchanged during 2014 – 2016, then, yes, the increases in nominal GDP during that period would indeed strongly indicate rising overall levels of production.²

Of course, in real life, prices do not stay unchanged year after year. But even if prices moved around a lot during 2014 - 2016, we could still ask a hypothetical question: What would nominal GDP have been during 2014 - 2016 if prices had remained unchanged at 2014 levels? This is not an unanswerable question. We

²Even in this case, production might rise for some goods and fall for others. But it is straightforward to show that if nominal GDP rises and prices stay unchanged, a country would be able to buy increasing amounts of *every* good through international trade.

know the 2014 prices of all final goods, and we know the quantities produced, of all final goods, during the years 2014, 2015 and 2016. (Otherwise, we would not have been able to calculate the nominal GDP figures in Table ??.) Therefore, we can easily calculate what America's nominal GDP would have been in those years, had the prices of 2014 prevailed in all years.

Indeed, data on this hypothetical measure—formally called **real gross domestic product** or **constant-prices gross domestic product** or **inflation-adjusted gross domestic product**—is available for virtually every country in the world. The third column of Table ?? shows America's real gross domestic product, calculated on the assumption that the prices of the year 2017 prevailed in all years, for the decade 2014-2023.³

As you can see, not only did America's nominal GDP increase throughout 2014–2023, so did *real* GDP. This is clear evidence of actual increases in production. To repeat, the dollar figures in the third column of Table ?? were all calculated on the hypothetical assumption that 2017's prices prevailed in every year. As the same prices were used for every year's real GDP calculations, the increases in real GDP strongly indicate overall increases in production.

The year whose prices are being assumed hypothetically to prevail in all years—the year 2017 in this case—is called the **base year**. There is nothing sacrosanct about the year 2017—any other year could have served just as well. As long as every year's GDP is calculated using the same set of prices, we will get a measure of GDP that is not affected by fluctuations in the overall level of prices.

In the rest of this book, all references to gross domestic product are references to real gross domestic product. Also, I will use the symbol Y to denote real gross domestic product.

2.3.1 Notation: Growth Rates

Consider a variable x. I will denote its *current value* as simply x and its *past value* as x_{-1} . Then, the *growth rate* of x, which I will denote x_g , can be defined as follows:

$$x_g \equiv \frac{x - x_{-1}}{x_{-1}}. (2.2)$$

Here, $x-x_{-1}$ represents the increase in the value of x. Therefore, $(x-x_{-1})/x_{-1}$ is the proportionate increase in the value of x or, simply, the growth rate of x. If $x_{-1}=50$ and x=60, the increase is $x-x_{-1}=60-50=10$. But the rate of growth is $x_q\equiv (x-x_{-1})/x_{-1}=(60-50)/50=0.20$.

If you want the growth rate as a percentage, simply multiply x_g by 100 to get $0.20 \times 100 = 20$ percent.

³Formally, these numbers are in "chained 2017 dollars". The subtleties of this particular method of adjusting nominal values for inflation will not concern us here.

Table 2.2

USA.	2014 -	- 2023
$\cup \mathcal{D}A$.	ZU14 -	= 2020

Year	Growth, Nominal GDP (%)	Growth, Real GDP (%)	Inflation (%)
2014	4.31	2.52	1.74
2015	3.90	2.95	0.93
2016	2.79	1.82	0.95
2017	4.29	2.46	1.79
2018	5.33	2.97	2.29
2019	4.28	2.58	1.65
2020	-0.86	-2.16	1.33
2021	10.90	6.06	4.57
2022	9.82	2.51	7.13
2023	6.59	2.89	3.60

To take a more concrete example, consider real gross domestic product (Y) and its growth rate (Y_g) . Table ?? tells us that real GDP of the U.S. (in billions of chained 2017 dollars), was \$18261.71 in 2014 and \$18799.62 in 2015. Therefore, the growth rate of America's real GDP in 2015 was

$$Y_{g,2015} = \frac{Y_{2015} - Y_{2014}}{Y_{2014}} = \frac{18799.62 - 18261.71}{18261.71} \times 100 = 2.95$$

percent. The real GDP growth rates for the decade 2014–2023 are given in Table ??.

	2008	2009	2010	2011
Gross domestic product	13161.9	12703.1	13088	13315.1
Personal consumption expenditures	9211.7	9037.5	9220.9	9421.3
Gross private domestic investment	1939.8	1454.2	1714.9	1797.3
Fixed investment	1978.6	1606.3	1648.4	1761
Change in private inventories	-36.3	-144.9	58.8	34.6
Net exports of goods and services	-494.8	-358.8	-421.8	-413.6
Exports	1649.3	1494	1663.2	1774.2
Imports	2144	1852.8	2085	2187.7
Government consumption expenditures and gross investment	2497.4	2539.6	2556.8	2502.7

Figure 2.1Real GDP and its Components, U.S.A., 2008–2011, in billions of chained 2005 dollars. Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Income and Product Accounts, Table 1.1.6.

For my discussion of the theory of international macroeconomics, I will also use a forward-looking definition of the growth rate of a variable. Specifically, the forward-looking growth rate of x is defined as follows:

$$x_g \equiv \frac{x_f - x}{x}. (2.3)$$

	2008	2009	2010	2011
Gross domestic product	100	100	100	100
Personal consumption expenditures	69.99	71.14	70.45	70.76
Gross private domestic investment	14.74	11.45	13.10	13.50
Fixed investment	15.03	12.64	12.59	13.23
Change in private inventories	-0.28	-1.14	0.45	0.26
Net exports of goods and services	-3.76	-2.82	-3.22	-3.11
Exports	12.53	11.76	12.71	13.32
Imports	16.29	14.59	15.93	16.43
Government consumption expenditures and gross investment	18.97	19.99	19.54	18.80

Figure 2.2Real GDP and its Components, U.S.A., 2008–2011, as percent of Real GDP. Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Income and Product Accounts, Table 1.1.6.

Here, x_f represents the value of x in the future. Therefore, $x_f - x$ represents the increase in the value of x. Therefore, $(x_f - x)/x$ is the proportionate increase in the value of x or, simply, the growth rate of x.

2.4 The Components of GDP

Now that we have discussed the measurement of a country's total production, let us look at what happens to it. Government statisticians typically publish data not only on a country's output of final goods and services (that is, its GDP) but also on who bought those final goods and services. As in Figure ??, national income data usually breaks down the big GDP number into four smaller numbers that represent the final-goods purchases made by four major categories of buyers:

- personal consumption expenditures (C),
- gross private domestic investment (I),
- government purchases (G), and
- net exports of goods and services (NX).

In other words, Equation ??, which is called the national income identity and breaks down *nominal* gross domestic product into its components, is equally true for *real* gross domestic product:

$$Y = C + I + G + NX. \tag{2.4}$$

2.4.1 Consumption

The real (i.e., inflation-adjusted) **personal consumption expenditures** of the residents of a country in a given year is denoted by the symbol C. In U.S. data, C consists of *spending by households* on all final goods except newly built homes. As you can see from the U.S. data in Figure $\ref{eq:constraint}$, C is a very large part—more than two-thirds—of GDP.

2.4.2 Investment

The real gross private domestic investment (or, simply, investment) of a country is denoted by the symbol I. In U.S. data, I consists of:

- the purchases of fixed assets (equipment, software, and buildings) by businesses for use in production,
- the purchases of new homes by households, 4 and
- increases in inventories of unsold goods held by businesses.

Note that the inclusion of these three categories of final goods under investment is not random. There is an underlying theme here: machines, new buildings, stocks of as-yet-unsold goods, etc., all contribute to our *future* welfare. 5 The money we spend on pizzas and backrubs, by contrast, are all about the here and now and are included under consumption, C.

As you can see from the U.S. data in Figure $\ref{eq:condition}$, I, at less than 15% of GDP, is a lot less important than C. And yet, because of its tendency to fluctuate wildly, investment spending is an important cause of the ups and downs of the overall economy.

2.4.2.1 Inventories

The inclusion of increases in businesses' stocks of unsold goods in I needs some justification. What's the point of including this in I?

Keep in mind that to get an accurate picture of the health of an economy in a given year we need to count the market value of all goods and services produced during the year, whether or not they are sold by December 31st of that year. Those unsold goods would not be counted in C, I, G, and NX, if these four variables included only the actual purchases of final goods by households, businesses, the government, and foreign buyers. To make sure that all goods produced in 2008 get counted in that year's GDP—even if they are not sold in 2008—statisticians include the additions of unsold goods to businesses' inventories (or, warehouse stocks) in I.

Note that I did not say that additions to businesses' inventories of unsold final goods are included in I; even the intermediate goods that were produced in 2008 but not sold by the end of that year need to be counted in that year's GDP.

Sure, as the ice cream made by Ben & Jerry's is counted in GDP, one should not separately count the milk that went into it because the monetary market value of the ice cream already includes the monetary market value of the milk. But let's complicate the story a little. Suppose Ben & Jerry's buys \$10 million of freshly produced milk some time in 2008, but does not turn it into ice cream by

 $^{^4}$ As we saw in the previous paragraph, this is the only category of household spending that is *not* included in C.

⁵The first two categories—fixed assets and new homes—are combined into the *fixed invest-ment* category in Figure ??.

December 31, 2008. Instead, the milk is sitting in their freezer on that last day of 2008, waiting to be turned into Cherry Garcia some time in 2009. This \$10 million worth of milk was produced in 2008 and, therefore, should be included in 2008's GDP. To ensure this, the rules of GDP accounting require that any goods that have been added to the inventories (or, warehouse stocks of goods) of private businesses during 2008 are *final* goods and their value must be counted in the GDP for 2008.

2.4.3 Government Spending

Real **government expenditures** (G) is pretty much what it sounds like; it is the inflation-adjusted monetary value of all final goods and services bought by government entities.

Typically, governments also spend huge amounts of money on **transfer payments** or, loosely speaking, gifts (usually to needy people). But G includes only the money spent on the purchase of final goods and does not include transfer payments.

2.4.4 Net Exports

Real **exports of goods and services** (EX) is the inflation-adjusted value of all domestically produced goods that are bought by foreigners.

Real **imports of goods and services** (IM) is the inflation-adjusted value of all foreign-made goods that are bought by domestic residents.

Real **net exports** (NX) is then defined as $NX \equiv EX - IM$. This also goes by other names, such as **trade surplus**, **balance on goods and services**, and, somewhat loosely, **balance on the current account**—see Equation ??. Note, therefore, that NX could be positive, zero or negative. When NX is positive/zero/negative, the country is said to have a **Trade Surplus/Balanced Trade/a Trade Deficit**.

2.4.4.1 All exports and imports are final!

There's one more loose end in my definition of GDP that I need to tie up. Note that in Section ?? above I defined exports as "all domestically produced goods that are bought by foreigners", not all domestically produced final goods". Similarly, note that I defined imports as "all foreign-made goods that are bought by domestic residents", not all foreign-made final goods". Why am I including exports and imports of intermediate goods? Suppose that American dairy farmers produce \$10 million of milk in 2008 and sell it to a Canadian ice cream company that turns the milk into ice cream in its plant in Vancouver, also in 2008. The monetary value of the ice cream would not be counted in America's GDP because the ice cream was made in Canada. So, if the \$10 million of milk is regarded as an intermediate good, it would not be counted at all in America's GDP. When the milk is turned into ice cream by Ben & Jerry's, the ice cream

is counted in America's GDP and, therefore, so is the milk, although indirectly. But as for the milk that is sold to a Canadian ice cream company, the only way to have it counted in America's GDP is to require that anything sold to foreigners is counted in EX. Similarly, it is straightforward to show that imports of intermediate goods should be counted in the importing country's IM.

So, here's the final corrected version—fingers crossed!—of the definition of gross domestic product: GDP is the monetary market value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given year plus the increase in its inventories of intermediate goods plus its net exports of intermediate goods.

2.5 The National Income Identity

To recap, we have so far defined real gross domestic product (Y), real personal consumption expenditure (C), real gross private domestic investment (I), real government spending (G), and real exports (EX). It is tempting to argue that Y must be equal to C+I+G+EX. After all, Y represents all final goods that are "Made in USA" and any such good would have to be bought either by American households (C), or by American businesses (I), or by America's government (G), or by foreigners (EX). Therefore, Y should be equal to C+I+G+EX, right?

Well, not exactly. Although C+I+G, which incidentally is referred to as **gross domestic purchases**, does represent the total purchases by domestic households, businesses, and government entities, it includes purchases of imported goods as well as domestically produced goods. Therefore, only if we subtract the inflation-adjusted monetary value of all imported goods (denoted by the symbol IM) from C+I+G+EX would we get Y. That is, Y=C+I+G+EX-IM.

As we saw in Section ??, the terms **net exports**, **trade surplus**, and **balance on goods and services** all refer to $NX \equiv EX - IM$, the excess of exports over imports. Therefore, we get the **national income identity**:

$$Y = C + I + G + NX. \tag{2.5}$$

This is a reappearance of Equation ?? and Equation ??.

Figure ?? shows data on real gross domestic product and its components for the United States for the years 2005–8. You can check that C + I + G + NX is indeed equal to real GDP.

A theoretically equivalent measure is **gross domestic income**. When government statisticians measure the total value added by domestic producers, they measure the gross domestic product (GDP). When they measure the total income of all resources employed by domestic producers, they measure gross domestic income (GDI). As we have seen above, if measured with perfect accuracy, these two magnitudes should be the same, as they are theoretically equivalent. In practice, however, errors do creep in, and the GDP and GDI

numbers tend to differ. This difference is called the **statistical discrepancy**: GDP = GDI + statistical discrepancy.

2.5.1 Beyond GDP: Other Measures of Total Production

Gross domestic product is not the only measure of a country's total production, there are others.

2.5.1.1 Gross National Product

Recall that a country's gross domestic product is not only the total value added by all producers located within the country, it is also equal to gross domestic income, which is the total income earned by the factors of production (or, in plain language, resources) employed by all producers located within the country.⁶

Some of the resources employed by producers located within the country may be owned by foreign residents, and the income paid to these resources goes, necessarily, to their foreign owners. Conversely, some residents of the domestic country may earn income for work done for producers located in foreign countries. A country's **net factor income earned from foreign residents** (NIF) equals total income earned by domestic residents from foreign residents minus total income paid by domestic residents to foreign residents.

As, in some cases, it is important to know the total income earned by the factors of production owned by a country's residents, we often pay attention to a country's gross national product (GNP):

$$GNP = GDP + NIF. (2.6)$$

A theoretically equivalent measure is gross national income: GNI = GDI + NIF. Recall from Section ?? that although GDP and GDI are theoretically the same, and would be equal if accurately measure, in practice the measured magnitudes differ slightly: GDP = GDI +statistical discrepancy. The same distinction needs to be made between GNP and GNI as well; they'd be equal if measured accurately, but in practice GNP = GNI +statistical discrepancy.

2.5.1.2 Gross National Disposable Income

The residents of a country may send gifts to—and receive gifts from—the residents of other countries. A country's **net unilateral transfers of income from foreign residents** (NUT) is defined as gifts received *minus* gifts given. Gross national disposable income (GNDI) is then defined as

$$GNDI = GNI + NUT. (2.7)$$

Recall that gross domestic product is denoted by the symbol Y. In practice, the statistical discrepancy between GDP and GDI (or between GNP and GNI) is

⁶In other words, if measured accurately, GDP = GDI.

small. Moreover, net factor income earned from foreign residents (NIF) and net unilateral transfers of income from foreign residents (NUT) tend to be small too. As a result, the differences between GDP, GDI, GNP, GNI, and GNDI are, for most practical purposes, small enough to be ignored. Consequently, to keep the discussion simple, I will use the symbol Y to refer to all these different ways of measuring a country's total value added, total income, and total expenditure.

2.5.1.3 National Income Identity, Revisited

Recall from Equation ?? that

$$Y = C + I + G + NX$$
.

Therefore,

$$Y + NIF + NUT = C + I + G + NX + NIF + NUT.$$

It is clear from equations Equation ?? and Equation ??, the last equation can be re-written as

$$GNDI = C + I + G + (NX + NIF + NUT).$$

As we will see in the next chapter's discussion of balance of payments accounting the expression within parentheses in the last equation is called the **balance on the current account** or, simply the current account (CA). The equation above then yields a slightly updated version of the national income identity:

$$GNDI = C + I + G + CA. \tag{2.8}$$

The reader should be warned, however, that I will use the terms net exports (NX) and current account balance (CA = NX + NIF + NUT) interchangeably throughout this book, because—as was pointed out a short while back in Section ??—both NIF and NUT tend to be small in magnitude.

2.6 Prices and Inflation

Inflation is a topic of major concern in economics as well as in our daily lives. Therefore, it is important to understand what causes it and how it can be controlled. But before we can get to that, we need to discuss how inflation is measured.

The measurement of inflation can be quite tricky. While the prices of some goods may rise from one year to the next, the prices of other goods may fall. In such cases, one needs to come up with *one* number that summarizes the *overall* change in prices.