- 1 CS342: Operating Systems Lab.
- 2 Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
- 3 Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati
- 4 North Guwahati, Assam 781 039

Exercise T-01

5

- 6 OS Lessons: Busy wait, Thread states, Timer Alarms
- 7 Rating: Moderately difficult
- 8 Last update: 15 August 2017

9

10 Primary reference: Pintos by Ben Pfaff (Referred below as PintDoc)

11

- 12 Our focus includes training the students about the *Developmental Tools* (Appendix F)
- and Debugging Tools (Appendix E) suggested in PintDoc. To support and set a PintOS
- specific goal we will add a kernel augmentation exercise from PintDoc. This exercise
- is described in Section 2.2.2 Alarm Clock. We also add the first two paragraphs of
- 16 Section 2.2.3 *Priority Scheduling* in this exercise. Rest of this document adds to the
- description provided in PintDoc to guide the students towards a solution for this
- 18 exercise.
- 19 We do recognize that at this time of the semester CS342 students are yet to learn
- 20 concurrent programming topics; specifically, how the activities happening
- 21 independently yet simultaneously coordinate their actions inside a computer try
- 22 walking in a crowded room with everyone blindfolded. This document explains how
- 23 to organize your program and active entities called threads. You must use the tools
- 24 listed in the previous paragraph to locate functions in PintOS code: understand them
- and use them as needed to develop the solution code.
- 26 A typical OS kernel hosts a number of threads. A thread is an independent activity
- 27 capable of executing its instructions on a computer. We will ignore all issues that
- 28 concern with the creation, resource allocation and termination of a thread to focus
- 29 on how a thread is scheduled to run on a computer processor.
- 30 Assume a single processor computer; only one thread can be executing at any given
- 31 time. The thread is said to be in state THREAD RUNNING. The other threads must
- 32 wait for their turns. A threads waiting for its turn to use the processor is a ready
- 33 thread. And, its state is THREAD READY. The threads that are not seeking use the
- 34 processor at a point in time are blocked threads in state THREAD BLOCKED. These
- 35 threads may be waiting for an event. In the exercise for this week, the events of
- 36 interest to the waiting/blocked threads are the timer events. These are also called
- 37 timer alarms or just alarms.

38 To ensure that all ready threads receive a fair use of the processor time, the ready

39 threads are scheduled by a mechanism whose details we will ignore in this exercise.

40 All that matters to us is the fact that each thread gets a small amount of the

41 processor time (4 ticks of a clock) to run before being told to wait for the next turn.

42 As explained in PintDoc, time is measured inside a processor as ticks. A tick counting

43 mechanism is included in the given PintOS code. A thread that wants to sleep and

44 not do anything for a certain number of ticks, may pass or waste its turn till the time

has progressed adequately. The current implementation wastes the time by calling

46 thread yield() - the thread lets some other unknown thread use the

47 processor time. This is bad because the scheduler must keep asking every thread

48 frequently and/or periodically if the thread wants to use the processor. Further, the

49 thread being asked to use the slack time may not be interested – the thread will in

turn pass the option to yet another thread. The chain of threads unwilling to use the

available processor time slot may be arbitrarily long. The blind thread yield()

does not direct the request to make use of the free processor time to the threads

willing to use the time. An obvious waste of the processor time.

45

50

51

54

55

56

80

A better method is to make the threads waiting for alarms blocked till the required number of ticks have been counted. The better algorithm is outlined as the changed code of function timer sleep() in file devices/timer.c:

```
57
    /* Sleeps for approximately TICKS timer ticks.
58
        Interrupts must be turned on. */
59
60
    timer sleep (int64 t ticks)
61
62
      int64 t start = timer ticks ();
63
             int64 t wakeup at = start + ticks;
64
65
      ASSERT (intr get level () == INTR ON);
66
67
             /* Put the thread to sleep in timer sleep queue */
68
             thread priority temporarily up ();
69
             thread block till (wakeup at, before);
70
71
      /* original code -- to be decommissioned */
72
    — while (timer elapsed (start) < ticks)</pre>
       thread yield (); */
73
74
75
             /* Thread must quit sleep and also free its successor
76
                if that thead needs to wakeup at the same time. */
77
             thread set next wakeup ();
78
             thread priority restore ();
79
     }
```

- 81 Outline of the proposed algorithm
- 82 A thread planning to sleep must arrange to be woken up at a suitable time. Once the
- arrangement is made, the thread can block itself. The OS scheduler will not consider
- 84 the blocked threads for allocation of time slot for execution on the processor.
- 85 The OS, however, must keep track of the time at which a blocked thread needs
- 86 unblocking. Obviously, the thread of interest for this purpose is the one that has the
- 87 earliest wakeup time among those who are blocked/sleeping for their timer alarms.
- The OS only needs to watch one (wakeup) time: the time for the next wakeup.
- The time for the next alarm that the OS tracks can change only under two conditions
- 90 (and, no other!):

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

112

113

114115

116

117

118

- A new thread joins the set of sleeping threads and has wakeup time before
 the current earliest/next wakeup time. This change can be made by the
 thread planning to sleep before it actually blocks. Function
 thread_block_till (wakeup_at, before) implements this
 requirement. Or,
 - A sleeping thread is woken at the end of its sleep time. The thread must look at all the remaining threads that are sleeping to find the nearest time for the next wakeup. Set the system to perform next wakeup at this new time. This is among the jobs for function thread set next wakeup ().
- We can let function thread_tick() do *one* unblock of *one* sleeping thread at each wakeup time. (Significance of emphasis on words *one* will become clear a little later. A simple way to impose this restriction is by changing next-wake-time to the end of time horizon while unblocking the waiting thread. This unblocked thread when prudent will correctly set next-wakeup-time before resuming its normal task.)
- 106 There are more details to attend but we must wait for them.
- 107 If you are wondering about two other functions
- 108 thread_priority_temporarily_up() and
- 109 thread_priority_restore(), the answer has many parts:
- The former function is called when a thread is about to block, so its
 temporary higher priority is not too restrictive to the other threads.
 - Note there are several sleeping threads. So we need a list of sleeping
 (blocked) threads. This list is a shared list accessible by all threads. A shared
 resource such as this list is only usable serially on one-thread-at-a-time basis
 (mutual exclusion). To keep the wall-clock time for the use-duration short we
 may wish to run the threads using the list at the highest priority levels.
 - The thread that was blocked was good to the other threads as other threads could use the freed processor time. When a thread wakes, the benefitted

- threads may be nice to it in-turn. We delay the restoration of the priority
- levels to the last step in the proposed algorithm.
- 121 Task 1:
- 122 It is instructive to work on these two priority-changing functions first to gain
- familiarity with the kernel code. Search the code using the development tools
- 124 (cscope and/or ctags) to see how a ready list is used to record all ready
- threads in the system. It will take about a dozen lines of new code and changes to
- 126 implement functions thread priority temporarily up() and
- 127 thread_priority_restore(). You will require a new member in struct
- 128 thread to save priority.
- 129 How to Determine If Your Changes Are Right?
- 130 When you run make check to test unmodified PintOS implementation under
- directory pintos/src/thread you have only 19 failed tests. On completion of
- the priority changing functions (we are still using the original while-loop with
- thread yield() to wait for the specified number of ticks in function
- 134 timer sleep()), your success count for command make check should remain
- unchanged.
- 136 Also, note that on completion of this stage, ready list is a sorted list sorted by
- thread priority. And, you would have written a function of type list less func
- to compare threads in ready list for their correct position in the list.
- 139 Task 2:
- Now is the time to introduce another sorted list to hold threads that are blocked on
- their timer alarms. For convenience, we refer to it as list sleepers. Remember
- that this list should only be accessed by one thread at a time. Only after a thread has
- satisfied its needs is list sleepers allowed to be accessed by another thread.
- 144 Let us now describe an algorithm for other two functions: thread block till
- 145 (wakeup at, before) and thread set next wakeup (). Function
- 146 parameter before is a function to compare the threads in list sleepers and its
- 147 prototype is bool before (const struct list elem *a, const
- 148 struct list elem *b, void *aux UNUSED) also aliased as
- 149 list less func.
- 150 As list sleepers may be a long list, some list functions described in
- 151 kernel/list.h may take multiple ticks to complete. This may cause other
- threads to be unblocked and they too may access list sleepers before a previous
- thread has finished its use of the list. This is not safe and we do not want to let it
- 154 happen.
- 155 We must control access to the list by a proper synchronization tool from synch.h.
- 156 The tool will deny access to the list by other threads while one thread is changing the

- list. To keep these "busy" durations short, we have already arranged for temporarily
- increase of the scheduling priority of the relevant threads around these two
- 159 functions.
- The thread must release the synch object before it enters the block state. If access to
- list sleepers is not free when the "controlling" thread is blocked, other threads
- 162 will remain unable to access list sleepers. Even a tiny time (just a few
- instructions) between the release of a synchronization control and the actual block
- of the thread is a potential trouble spot. You must manage it. Fortunately, the task is
- not too difficult. Surely, there are available solutions in the kernel code itself for you
- to learn the trick.
- 167 Task 3:
- 168 When a sleeper is woken (unblocked), the situation is trickier. On any given
- single timer tick, there may be several sleeping threads seeking to wake up. How do
- 170 you attend to all of them within a single tick by calling thread unblock() for
- 171 each of them?
- 172 We suggest, you write code for this in function thread set next wakeup ()
- 173 which was primarily designed to remove thread thread current () from list
- 174 sleepers when the unblocked thread is scheduled after it is woken/unblocked
- 175 from its sleep by function thread ticks().
- 176 This is not all. The function must also make sure that if there are other sleeping
- threads that have the same wakeup time as the thread just woken up, then they are
- a not left blocked. If a sleeping thread with the matching wakeup time is left waiting,
- then the waiting thread can only wakeup on a later timer tick. On the other hand, we
- cannot spend too much time waking all such threads because we do not want to
- hold the timer-tick in disabled state for too long. A missed tick would drift the
- system clock. We solved the problem by limiting to one thread unblock in function
- 183 thread ticks().
- 184 The task of unblocking other simultaneous wakeups is easily shared among the
- multiple threads. Each unblocked thread is required to unblock one thread that has
- the same wakeup time as itself. This action will recursively unblock all threads which
- 187 have the matching wakeup times. All except the first call to thread unblock ()
- 188 will be from function thread set next wakeup (). An unblocked thread
- that does not unblock another thread sets the next-wake-up time. Suffice to remind
- that this time was set far into the future to prevent multiple unblocks from function
- 191 thread tick().
- 192 DONOT unblock a sleeping thread if there is another blocked thread with an earlier
- 193 <u>wakeup time.</u>

```
Reminders
194
195
     Be sure to check out and check-in the versions of your program from and into your
196
     version control repository. Promptly and properly annotate your program with
197
     comments.
198
     You may also note that we have implemented basic thread priority idea as it makes
199
     this implementation simpler. This is a bit more than PintDoc 2.2.2 Alarm Clock target.
200
     You may wish to see PintOS-T03 guide for an alternate implementation guide.
201
     Results of make check Command Execution:
     pass tests/threads/alarm-single
202
203
     pass tests/threads/alarm-multiple
204
     pass tests/threads/alarm-simultaneous
205
     pass tests/threads/alarm-priority
206
     pass tests/threads/alarm-zero
207
     pass tests/threads/alarm-negative
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-change
208
209
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-one
210
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple
211
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple2
212
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-nest
213
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-sema
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-lower
214
215
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-fifo
216
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-preempt
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-sema
217
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-condvar
218
219
     FAIL tests/threads/priority-donate-chain
220
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-1
221
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-60
222
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-avq
223
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-recent-1
224
     pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-2
225
     pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-20
226
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-2
227
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-10
228
     FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-block
229
     19 of 27 tests failed.
230
     make[1]: *** [check] Error 1
```

232

231

233234235	Describe yourself as a computer processor. You also have a number of subject books to read. Each reading is a thread.
236 237	You do not read a book in one sitting; you allocate say an hour for each subject. This is 4-ticks time quantum for a single period of reading.
238 239 240 241	You can read only one book at a time. Nevertheless, you are concurrently reading several books! Hope you get the meaning of word concurrent. Books whose reading is suspended is ready list of books. You will go to one of them when the book you are reading now is suspended.
242 243	Now consider your bookshelf where all the books you have suspended are located with bookmarks on the pages you were reading.
244 245 246 247	The arrangement you use is simple. You read a book for a period. On completion of the period, you insert the bookmark into the book. Determine the book to resume reading (scheduling). Take the selected book out of shelf and put the book you were reading on the shelf. This is switch function in your exercise code.
248 249 250	If your reading period is too short then overheads is excessive. Too much time is lost in replacing books and picking the books. If reading period is too long, you will not be responding to the learning each subject to match the progress in your classes.
251 252	Note that thread (reading) is progressing on each book. You can read only one book at a time. This is all there to a thread!
253 254	Sometimes your friend borrows your book. That reading is blocked. You cannot resume the reading until the book is back on your shelf.
255 256 257	The present exercise is about a case where you may decide that you do not want to read a book for a few days. Instead of picking undesired book from shelf and putting it back on shelf, make arrangements as if your friend has borrowed it!
258 259 260	Finally, when you are inserting bookmark in the book you do not want to be distracted as you may insert the marker at a wrong page. Excluding distractions is called synchronization. Activities must be synchronized to avoid "race" condition.
261	
262	Contributing Authors:
263	Vishv Malhotra, Gautam Barua, Rashmi Dutta Baruah