

Name : JOMAYARAN, J

Class : MCA - I

Date : 2016-11-17.

Sub : Software Engineering and Project Management

Type : CCE 2

Regno : 2202561.

SECTION - A

① COCOMO - I

case study - 1.

Library Automation System (organic Mode).

A college Library automation system is expected to require 12 kLOC of code using COCOMO - I organic mode - estimate :

1. Total Efforts (PM)
2. Development Time (Months).
3. Average staffing required (persons).

so:

organic mode constraints:

$$\text{Effort} = 2.4 \times (\text{kLOC})^{1.05}$$

$$\text{Time} = 2.5 \times (\text{Effort})^{0.38}$$

Step 1 : Effort.

$$\text{Effort} = 2.4 \times (12)^{1.05}$$

$$= 2.4 \times (12)^{1.05} = 18.8$$

$$= 2.4 \times 18.8 = 33.12 \text{ PM} //$$

Step 2 : Development Time.

$$\text{Time} = 2.5 \times (33.12)^{0.38}$$

$$= (33.12)^{0.38} = 3.3$$

$$= 2.5 \times 3.3 = 8.25 \text{ Months} //$$

Step 3 : Staff.

$$\text{Staff} = \text{Effort} / \text{Time}$$

$$= 83.12 / 8.25 \\ = 4 \text{ people} //.$$

Ans//

1. Effort : 83.12 PM.
2. Time : 8.25 Months.
3. Staff : 4 people.

Section - B.

② COCOMO - II.

Case Study - I. online shopping portal.

An online shopping portal is estimated to be 20 kLOC. The sum of scale factors (SF) is 18 and EAF (Effort Adjustment Factor) from cost drivers. = 1.15

Using COCOMO II post-Architecture model estimate :

1. Effort (PM)
2. Development Time (Schedule).

Sol:

COCOMO II formula :

$$\text{Effort} = 2.94 \times (\text{kSLOC}^F) \times \text{EAF}. \\ F = 0.91 + 0.01 \times \sum \text{SF}.$$

Given Value :

$$\text{kSLOC} = 20.$$

$$\text{SF} = 18.$$

$$\text{EAF} = 1.15$$

Step 1 : Exponent

$$F = 0.91 + 0.01 \times 18 \\ = 1.09 //.$$

Step 2 : Effort.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Effort} &= 2.94 \times (20^{1.09}) \times 1.15 \\
 &= 20^{1.09} = 25.1 \\
 &\Rightarrow 2.94 \times 25.1 \times 1.15 = 84.9 \text{ PM} //
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3 : Time.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Total Development Time} &= 3.67 \times (\text{Effort})^{0.28} \\
 &= 3.67 \times (84.9)^{0.28} \\
 &= (84.9)^{0.28} = 3.0 \\
 &= 3.67 \times 3.0 = 11 \text{ Months} //
 \end{aligned}$$

Answers :

1. Effort : 84.9 PM.

2. Development Time : 11 Months.

Function pointer Analysis (FPA) case Studies.

(3) Case Study - I - Student Registration & Result System.

The Student Registration & Result System has the following.

1. External Input (EI) : 5 (average).
2. External Output (EO) : 4 (high).
3. External Enquiries (EQ) : 3 (Low).
4. Internal Logical Files (ILF) : 3 (average).
5. External Interface file (EIF) : 2 (low)
6. Given Rating sum GSC ($S(GSC)$) : 22.

Calculate :

1. UFP.
2. TCF
3. Final Function points (Fp).

Solution:

weight Table:

Component	Low	Avg	High
EI	3	4	6
EO	4	5	7
EQ	3	4	6
ILF	7	10	15
EIF	5	7	10

Given Value:

$$EI = 5 (\text{avg})$$

$$EO = 4 (\text{high})$$

$$EQ = 3 (\text{low})$$

$$ILF = 3 (\text{Avg}).$$

$$EIF = 2 (\text{Low}).$$

$$\Sigma(GSC) = 22.$$

Step 1: UFP.

$$EI = 5 \times 4 = 20.$$

$$EO = 4 \times 7 = 28.$$

$$EQ = 3 \times 3 = 9.$$

$$ILF = 3 \times 10 = 30.$$

$$EIF = 2 \times 5 = 10.$$

$$UFP = 20 + 28 + 9 + 30 + 10 \\ = 97 //.$$

Step 2: TCF.

$$TCF = 0.65 + 0.01 * \Sigma(GSC). \\ = 0.65 + 0.01 \times 22 \\ = 0.87 //.$$

Answer.

$$1. UFP : 97$$

$$2. TCF : 0.87$$

$$3. FP : 84$$

Step 3: FP.

$$FP = UFP \times TCF \\ = 97 \times 0.87. \\ = 84 FP //.$$