

Date:

ITEM 21: Don't try to return a reference when you must return an object.

```
class Rational {  
    public:  
        Rational (int numerator = 0,  
                  int denominator = 1);  
    ...  
    private:  
        int n, d;  
  
    friend const Rational  
    operator* (const Rational & lhs,  
               const Rational & rhs);  
};
```

⇒ This version of operator* is returning its result by value.

To return objects that are to be multiplied together.

```
Rational a(1, 2);  
Rational b(3, 5);
```

```
Rational c = a * b; // c should be 3/10
```


its not possible to expect that there already exist rational number with value $3/10$

that means, we have to create a new object.

→ It can be created in two ways →
on the stack
on the heap.

⇒ Create on stack → define local variable.

```
const Rational & operator * (const Rational& lhs,
                             const Rational& rhs) {
    Rational result (lhs.n * rhs.n,
                     lhs.d * rhs.d);
    return result;
}
```

⇒ we can reject this approach since we had to avoid ctor call.

Problem with above program is that reference result is returned from function & result is local object & gets destroyed when function exists.

⇒ constructing object on heap & returning reference to it.

```
const Rational & operator* (const Rational &lhs,
                           const Rational &rhs)
{
    Rational *result = new Rational(lhs.n * rhs.n,
                                     lhs.d * rhs.d);
    return *result;
}
```

⇒ still have to call ctor & who will delete the object conjured by new?

Rational w, x, y, z;

w = x * y * z;

// same as `operator*(operator*(x, y), z)`

↓
Results in resource leak since we don't have ptr hidden behind the references being returned from call to `operator*`.

⇒ another way → static object.

Date:

```
Const Rational & operator*(Const Rational &lhs,
                             Const Rational &rhs)
{
    static Rational result;
    result = ...;
    return result;
}
```

Problem \Rightarrow Consider below client code.

```
bool operator==(Const Rational &lhs,
                 Const Rational &rhs);
Rational a, b, c, d;
```

```
if (c*a*b == (c*d)) // always results
    { }               in true.
else { }
```

above statement is same as.

```
if (operator==(operator*(a,b), operator*(c,d)))
```


Date:

When `operator ==` is called, there are 2 active calls to `operator *` i.e. (a, b) & (c, d) .

Thus `operator ==` is asked to compare value of static Rational object inside `operator *` with static Rational object of `operator *`.

No surprise, both are always equal.

⇒ Now, we can think of static array.

Problem → we don't know the size of n .

⇒ Right way.

Write a function that must return a new object is to have that function return a new object.

inline `const Rational operator + (const Rational &lhs, const Rational &rhs)`

```
{  
    return Rational ( lhs.n + rhs.n,  
                      lhs.d * rhs.d );  
}
```