Feedback	Improvements
The existing tools to create the photogrammetry not been correctly applied. Why using the three software (QGIS, ENVI, ArcGIS) when you can do it in one software. It adds the uncertainty to the product. Score: 6.5	We have clearly justified the reason of using three software on the website. We used multiple tools even though all the tasks could have been done in one software because tasks were divided among group members, and everyone has different compatibility towards using different software. We also don't see that using different software to perform each task will lead to uncertainty in classification product.
The team compared their product with an existing one using an outdated image, crucial for accurate class comparison, as classes evolve rapidly. Additionally, the existing product's image must be high resolution for a fair assessment. Score: 6	We have clearly mentioned the choice of using this product on the website. The reason that we used LGN product to compare with classification product from orthophoto is we can't find classification product that has high spatial resolution as orthophoto and LGN is the highest spatial resolution classification product that we can find. Unfortunately, the LGN product is only available from year 2018.
The website does demonstrate than they have produced a web mapping service using two spatial layers, but the description is missing. Score: 8	A description on the website is added in the "Web Architecture" section which shows that WMS was used from MapServer.
The team did not understand how to analyse the uncertainty in their product because the existing geoinformation is less accurate their there already generated classified raster. Score: 5	The justification of using LGN product is added in the "Collect and explore data" section on the website. The LGN product that we used for comparison has 51 classes. For our study, we have merged these classes into 3 major classes. The LGN product isn't less accurate but that is meant for different scale of study. We used it as a reference because we couldn't find any other high-resolution classified product that could have been compared with.
The project team does have worked autonomously. Score: 8	Not applicable
There is some description of web services, but the team did not mention which web service they used and what are its requirement. Score: 7	A description on the website is added in the "Web Architecture" section which shows that WMS was used from MapServer. We use Open Web Services (OWS), e.g. WMS and WFS, which embraces OGC standards. In this project, we only use WMS (Web Map Service) to provide a capability for requesting land cover classification map images (support PNG and JPEG format) by leveraging MapServer.